ISSN 1451 - 9372 (Print) ISSN 2217 - 7434 (Online) OCTOBER-DECEMBER 2024 Vol.30, Number 4, 275-371

Chemical Industry & Chemical Engineering Quarterly



The AChE Journal for Chemical Engineering, Biochemical Engineering, Chemical Technology, New Materials, Renewable Energy and Chemistry www.ache.org.rs/ciceq



Journal of the Association of Chemical Engineers of Serbia, Belgrade, Serbia

# Chemical Industry & Chemical Engineering

### EDITOR-In-Chief

Vlada B. Veljković Faculty of Technology, University of Niš, Leskovac, Serbia E-mail: veljkovicvb@yahoo.com

#### Srđan Pejanović

Department of Chemical Engineering, Faculty of Technology and Metallurgy, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia

#### ASSOCIATE EDITORS

Dunja Sokolović Faculty of Technical Sceinces, University of Novi Sad, Serbia Ivona Radović Faculty of Technology and Metallurgy, University of Belgrade, Serbia

#### EDITORIAL BOARD (Serbia)

Đorđe Janaćković, Ivanka Popović, Viktor Nedović, Goran Nikolić, Sanja Podunavac-Kuzmanović, Siniša Dodić, Zoran Todorović, Olivera Stamenković, Marija Tasić, Jelena Avramović, Jasna Canadanovic-Brunet, Ivana Karabegović

#### ADVISORY BOARD (International)

Dragomir Bukur

Texas A&M University, College Station, TX, USA

#### Milorad Dudukovic

Washington University, St. Luis, MO, USA

Jiri Hanika

Institute of Chemical Process Fundamentals, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Prague, Czech Republic

Maria Jose Cocero

University of Valladolid, Valladolid, Spain

Tajalli Keshavarz

University of Westminster, London, UK

Zeljko Knez

University of Maribor, Maribor, Slovenia

laor Lacik

Polymer Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, Bratislava, Slovakia

Denis Poncelet

ENITIAA, Nantes, France

Ljubisa Radovic

Pen State University, PA, USA

Peter Raspor

University of Ljubljana, Ljubljana, Slovenia

Constantinos Vayenas University of Patras, Patras, Greece

Xenophon Verykios

University of Patras, Patras, Greece

Ronnie Willaert Vrije Universiteit,

Brussel, Belgium Gordana Vunjak Novakovic

Columbia University, New York, USA

Dimitrios P. Tassios

National Technical University of Athens, Athens, Greece Hui Liu

China University of Geosciences, Wuhan, China

FORMER EDITOR (2005-2007) Professor Dejan Skala

University of Belgrade, Faculty of Technology and Metallurgy, Belgrade, Serbia

#### FORMER ASSOCIATE EDITORS

Milan Jakšić, ICEHT/FORTH, University of Patras, Patras, Greece Jonjaua Ranogajec, Faculty of Technology, University of Novi Sad, Novi Sad, Serbia



Journal of the Association of Chemical Engineers of Serbia, Belgrade, Serbia

# Chemical Industry & Chemical Engineering

Vol. 30

#### Belgrade, October-December 2024

No. 4

Chemical Industry & Chemical Engineering Quarterly (ISSN 1451-9372) is published quarterly by the Association of Chemical Engineers of Serbia, Kneza Miloša 9/I, 11000 Belgrade, Serbia

> *Editor.* Vlada B. Veljković veljkovic@yahoo.com

Editorial Office: Kneza Miloša 9/I, 11000 Belgrade, Serbia Phone/Fax: +381 (0)11 3240 018 E-mail: shi@ache.org.rs www.ache.org.rs

> For publisher. Ivana T. Drvenica

Secretary of the Editorial Office: Slavica Desnica

Marketing and advertising: AChE Marketing Office Kneza Miloša 9/I, 11000 Belgrade, Serbia Phone/Fax: +381 (0)11 3240 018

Publication of this Journal is supported by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technological Development of the Republic of Serbia

Subscription and advertisements make payable to the account of the Association of Chemical Engineers of Serbia, Belgrade, No. 205-2172-71, Komercijalna banka a.d., Beograd

> *Technical Editor*. Marija B. Tasić

Journal manager. Aleksandar B. Dekanski

Printed by:

Faculty of Technology and Metallurgy, Research and Development Centre of Printing Technology, Karnegijeva 4, P.O. Box 3503, 11120 Belgrade, Serbia

Abstracting/Indexing.

Articles published in this Journal are indexed in *Thompson Reuters* products: *Science Citation Index - Expanded*<sup>™</sup> - access *via Web* of *Science*<sup>®</sup>, part of *ISI Web of Knowledge*<sup>SM</sup>

### CONTENTS

Anita Smailagić, Sonja Veljović, Steva Lević, Tatjana Šolević Knudsen, Viktor Nedović, Vladimir Pavlović, Maja Natić, Chemical characterization of different wood fragments and their volatile composition in model spirit solutions
Stefan Vukmanović, Jasmina Vitas, Snežana Kravić, Zorica Stojanović, Ana Đurović, Biljana Cvetković, Radomir Malbaša, Influence of main production variables on nutritional characteristics of winery effluent kombucha
Kumutha Ramalingam, Ilaiyavel Sivakumaran, Mathanbabu Mariappan, Barathiraja Rajendran, Effect of salt bath nitriding on tribological properties of AISI52100 steel coatings using response surface methodology295
Saman Khawaja, Muhammad Rashid Usman, Rabya Aslam, Conceptualization and process simulation of a CO <sub>2</sub> based methanol production plant
Golden Stepha Nallathambi, Bharathi Gowri Sasi Kumar, Guvva Swathy, The magnetohydrodynamic Williamson fluid flow on an extending sheet with thermophoresis and chemical reaction
Chitra Devi Venkatachalam, Premkumar Bhuvaneshwaran, Mothil Sengottian, Sathish Raam Ravichandran, <b>Reliability-based</b> design optimization of screw shaft for continuous high- pressure hydrothermal co-liquefaction process
Itumeleng Kohitlhetse, Suter Kiplagat Evans, Musamba Banza, Robert Makomere, Blast furnace slag for SO <sub>2</sub> capture: Optimization and prediction using response surface methodology and artificial neural network
Muhammad Thoriq Al Fath, Ghendis Ekawati Ayu, Gina Cynthia Raphita Hasibuan, Nisaul Fadilah Dalimunthe, Vikram Alexander, <b>The effect of glycerol and sago starch addition on</b> <b>the characteristics of bioplastics based on orange peel pectin</b> 359
Contents: Vol 30 Issues 1-4 2024 367
Author Index, Vol. 30, 2024

# The activities of the Association of Chemical Engineers of Serbia are supported by:



MINISTRY OF SCIENCE, TECHNOLOGICAL DEVELOPMENT AND INNOVATION OF REPUBLIC OF SERBIA







Institute for Technology of Nuclear and Other Mineral Raw Materials, Bekgrade



Faculty of Technology, University of Novi Sad







Institute of Chemistry, Technology and Metallurgy, University of Belgrade



Faculty of Technical Sciences, University of Priština, Kosovska Mitrovica



Faculty of Technical Sciences University of Novi Sad



IMS Institute, Belgrade





ANITA SMAILAGIĆ<sup>1</sup> SONJA VELJOVIĆ<sup>2</sup> STEVA LEVIĆ<sup>3</sup> TATJANA ŠOLEVIĆ KNUDSEN<sup>4</sup> VIKTOR NEDOVIĆ<sup>3</sup> VLADIMIR PAVLOVIĆ<sup>3</sup> MAJA NATIĆ<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University of Belgrade, Innovation Center, Faculty of Chemistry Ltd, Belgrade, Serbia

<sup>2</sup>University of Kragujevac, Faculty of Hotel Management and Tourism, Vrnjačka Banja, Serbia

<sup>3</sup>University of Belgrade, Faculty of Agriculture, Belgrade, Serbia

<sup>4</sup>University of Belgrade, Institute of Chemistry, Technology and Metallurgy -Department of Chemistry, Belgrade, Serbia

<sup>5</sup>University of Belgrade, Faculty of Chemistry, Belgrade, Serbia

#### SCIENTIFIC PAPER

UDC 582.632.2:66:663.5

Available online at Association of the Chemical Engineers of Serbia AChE www.ache.org.rs/CICEQ Chem. Ind. Chem. Eng. Q. 30 (4) 275–284 (2024)

CI&CEQ

### CHEMICAL CHARACTERIZATION OF DIFFERENT WOOD FRAGMENTS AND THEIR VOLATILE COMPOSITION IN MODEL SPIRIT SOLUTIONS

#### **Article Highlights**

- Volatile profiles of wood staves used to hasten brandies' aging were obtained
- Among wood samples, the lowest lignin content is detected in the wild cherry wood (23.58%)
- Volatile profiles of alternative woods and oaks significantly differ
- Sakuranin is a potential marker for wild cherry wood identification
- GC-MS is a suitable tool for distinguishing brandies aged with the alternatives

#### Abstract

This study characterizes oak (sessile and pedunculate oak) and alternative wood (black locust, Myrobalan plum, wild cherry, and mulberry) species as important sources of volatile compounds of aged spirits. Nowadays, their fragments are used to hasten the brandies' aging process. The ATR-FTIR spectra of analyzed wood samples are similar, only the mulberry FTIR spectrum contains unique peaks primarily due to its highest lignin content (40.93%). Using the untargeted GC-MS approach, a total of forty-one volatile compounds were identified in the wood extracts in a model spirit solution. The volatile profiles of alternative wood extracts in a model spirit solution were significantly different, both quantitatively and qualitatively, compared to those of oak. Coniferyl (23.14 µg/g-26.6 µg/g) and sinapyl (23.56 µg/g-25.82 µg/g) alcohols were the most abundant volatile compounds in investigated oak extracts. Resorcinol and coniferyl alcohol were the most abundant volatile compounds in black locust, sakuranin in wild cherry, while resorcinol and  $\beta$ -resorcinaldehyde in mulberry wood. To the best of our knowledge, sakuranin has not been detected in wild cherry wood until now. Besides wood chemical characteristics, the technology used during the aging process strongly influences on volatile profiles of aged brandies, thus, these compounds are potential chemical markers for discrimination between wood species as well as aging technologies.

Keywords: oak, alternative wood, volatile compounds, model spirit solutions.

Wood barrels have been used for centuries in the process of aging brandies, which contribute to

E-mail: pecic84@hotmail.com; sonja.vejovic@kg.ac.rs

Paper received: 9 March, 2023

Paper revised: 23 November, 2023

Paper accepted: 24 December, 2023

https://doi.org/10.2298/CICEQ230309015S

significantly better sensorial features (such as appearance and aroma), as well as the quality of brandy [1–4]. Among wood species, oak wood is distinguished as the most convenient and reputable material due to its unique mechanical, physical, and chemical properties [5]. Despite disadvantages, such as expense and length, aging has been established as a notable and irreplaceable process in the production of high-quality brandies [6].

During the process of aging, the contact between brandy and wood surface provokes a wide variety of

Correspondence: S. Veljović, University of Kragujevac, Faculty of Hotel Management and Tourism, Vojvodjanska bb, 36210 Vrnjačka Banja, Serbia.

physical and chemical interactions, resulting in a release of extractable compounds as well as hydrolysis of the wood's constituents (e.g. hemicelluloses and lignin) [3,4,7]. Namely, the hardwoods, exclusively utilized for barrel production, have a highly heterogeneous chemical composition, including three main components: cellulose (40-44%), hemicelluloses (15-35%), and lignin (18-25%), as well as extractives (up to 2%) [8]. In addition, the porosity and density of different wood species affect the composition of the final extract [9]. While wild cherry is highly porous, black locust and mulberry wood possess low and medium porosities, respectively [2]. During the process of aging, the linkages between the main lignin constituents (guaiacyl (G), syringyl (S), and *p*-hydroxyphenyl (H) units [10] are broken and the compounds are released from the wooden cask by alcoholysis in the initial alcohol-water mixture [11].

Since the importance of aging is unguestioned and even more fundamental for the quality of a large number of wines and spirits, the investigation of this process has been subjected to current studies to accelerate the traditional process without any quality impairment [5,8]. The International Organization of Vine and Wine (OIV) approved the use of wood fragments (wood staves or sticks) to accelerate the aging process of wines and spirits, which usage is regulated by the Oenological Codex (resolution OENO 3/2005, Oeno 430/2010) [12]. In addition, oak chips are approved for the aging of spirits according to ECFR regulation [13]. According to EEC regulation No. 1507/2006, 11 October 2006, it is possible to use oak and chestnut pieces for hastening the process of aging wine [12].

Additionally, the utilization of alternative wood fragments species from local regions to hasten aging, such as Eastern Europe or Mediterranean countries, has the potential to be another novel trend introduced into global cooperage [2,14]. Furthermore, the wood fragment made of local oaks and wood alternatives is commonly used for aging brandies in Balkan countries, mostly in homemade production, with expansion in the local industry. To our knowledge, the influence of utilized local wood fragments on the volatile profile of aged brandies has not been investigated, although a report on Slavonija oak chips can be found in the literature [15].

The present study aimed to study the volatile composition of heartwood of different wood species using model spirit solutions as well as to determine the wood samples' surface and the total lignin content. Volatile profiles of experimentally aged brandy samples were examined to collect data for differencing brandies aged by wood fragment addition used to hasten the brandies' aging process.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Wood samples

In this study, eight different wood stave samples utilized in Balkan cooperage were investigated. They were produced by local wood cask producer VBX-SRL. D.O.O. from Kraljevo, Central Serbia. Considered staves were made of the heartwood from several botanical species, including mulberry (M) (Morus alba L.), Myrobalan plum (MP) (Prunus cerasifera Ehrh.), black locust (BL) (*Robinia pseudoacacia* L.), wild cherry (C) (Prunus avium (L.) L.), and oak (Quercus petraea (Matt.) Liebl. (SRO), and Q. robur L. (PSO, PGO, POO)). Besides wood samples originating from the territory of the Republic of Serbia, two samples: Slavonija oak (PSO) and Olovo (POO) oak were obtained from Croatia and Bosnia and Herzegovina, respectively. Before analysis, the wood staves were grounded in a wood mill, to enhance the extraction of volatiles from wood samples. The granulation of the obtained sawdust was in the range of 0.5-1.5 mm [16].

#### Wood analysis

#### ATR-FTIR spectroscopy

The wood samples' surface chemistry was characterized using an ATR-FTIR spectrometer IR-Affinity (Shimadzu, Japan). The spectra were obtained in the wavenumber range of  $4000-600 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  at a resolution of 4 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

#### Determination of the lignin in wood samples

The lignin content in wood samples was determined according to the procedure reported by Soutar and Bryden [17]. In brief, sample (2 g) was added to 25 ml 72% H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, steeped for 75 min at room temperature, diluted with 600 ml water, reflux for 2 h, filtered through a weighted Gooch crucible, washed acid-free with water, dried at 105 °C, cooled and weighed. The lignin content was calculated from the ratio between the mass of lignin and the mass of the wood sample (determined before the treatment with H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>).

#### Determination of moisture sorption in wood samples

The moisture sorption (M, %) was determined by the thermogravimetric method using the Infrared Moisture Analyzer (Sartorius MA35). Before moisture sorption measurement, milled wood samples were exposed to 65% relative air humidity for 24 h. The average of three measurements for each sample was considered.

#### Preparation of wood extracts in a model spirit solution

The extraction was carried out by a widely extended procedure using wood pieces after double distillation to simulate the aging of spirits in the cask. Hydroalcoholic wood extracts were obtained according to the methodology reported by Smailagić *et al.* [16]. In short, wood sawdust (100 g), with a granulation between 0.5 and 1.5 mm, was extracted with 1000 mL of ethanol (60%, v/v), with constant stirring in a laboratory shaker at a speed of 100 rpm for 7 days in dark at room temperature ( $20 \pm 2 \degree$ C). Extracts were filtered through filter paper (80 g/m<sup>2</sup>) and kept in the refrigerator (4 °C) for further analysis. All extracts were prepared in triplicate.

#### GC-FID and GC-MS analysis of the wood extracts

Qualitative and quantitative analyses of the wood extracts in a model spirit solution were conducted using GC-MS and GC-FID, respectively. All wood extracts were prepared using liquid-liquid extraction, according to the procedure described by Veljović et al. [18]. Briefly, a 50 mL aliquot of each extract was diluted with 100 mL of distilled water and then mixed with 20 mL of internal standard solution (methyl-10-undecanoate diluted in dichloromethane (0.01 mg/mL)) and 10 g of NaCl. Each resultant mixture was stirred on a magnet stirrer in iodometric closed flasks (Erlenmeyer Polishing) for one hour. After mixing, the organic layer was separated from the water layer. The upper organic layer was dried with Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and then filtered and evaporated on a vacuum-evaporator (45 °C, 10 kPa) (Heidolph Hei-VAP Value rotary evaporator, Schwabach, Germany) to a volume of 2 mL. After preparation, the samples were stored in a refrigerator (4 °C) until analysis.

GC-MS was used for the identification of wood compounds. The injections of prepared extracts (1 µl) were carried out in splitless mode. For GC-MS analyses, an Agilent 7890N gas chromatograph with an HP5-MS capillary column (30 m × 0.25 mm × 0.25  $\mu$ m) was used. The following temperature program was employed: 60 °C for 0 min; then 3 °C/min to 280 °C and then held for 20 min. Helium was used as a carrier gas with a flow rate of 1 mL/min. The GC was coupled to a Hewlett-Packard 5972 MSD operated at 70 eV and scanning masses in the 40-550 range. The obtained peaks were identified by comparison of their retention times (calculated relative to n-alkanes) with the literature data as well as by comparison of the mass spectra fragmentation pattern with the mass spectra in the databases (NIST/EPA/NIH mass spectral library NIST2000, Wiley/NBS registry of mass spectral data, 7th ed., electronic versions) [19,20].

GC-FID was used for semi-quantitative analyses

of wood compounds. For GC-FID analyses, an Agilent 4890A gas chromatograph with an HP5-MS capillary column (30 m × 0.25 mm × 0.25  $\mu$ m) was used. The employed temperature program was the same as that used for GC-MS analyses. Hydrogen was used as carrier gas (1 mL/min). The GC was coupled to a FID detector operating at 300 °C. The results of GC-MS analyses were expressed as milligrams of methyl-10-undecanoate equivalents per liter of analyzed wood extracts [18]. The limit of detection was established using the US EPA 3 $\sigma$  approach.

#### Statistical analyses

Tukey's test was used to compare the mean values of lignin content, done in triplicate, with the level of statistical significance set at 0.05 (Statistica v. 12). Principal Component Analysis (PCA) of ATR-FTIR spectra was realized using PAST software [21]. For all PCA analyses, the spectra (1800–800 cm<sup>-1</sup>) were preprocessed Spectragryph software [22] as follows: the spectra were smoothed using Savitzky-Golay filters with 5 points and a second-order polynomial function, baseline corrected, while the intensities were normalized (Standard Normal Variate-SNV).

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### Characterization of the wood samples

The wood samples were characterized in terms of their surface chemistry (ATR-FTIR) and lignin content. The FTIR analysis, a rapid and non-destructive method, was performed to distinguish differences in the chemical composition of the wood samples. Fig. 1 shows the spectra of analyzed samples separated into two groups: the FTIR spectra of samples that originated from various tree species (Fig. 1a) and the FTIR spectra of wood samples from various oak varieties (Fig. 1b).



Figure 1. FTIR spectra of tested wood samples: a) Different wood samples from various tree species; b) Oak wood samples.

The FTIR spectra of all samples have major bands that are related to their primarily chemical compounds such as cellulose, hemicellulose, and lignin as well as other less abundant compounds in the wood (e.g. aromatic compounds). The main wood compound is cellulose and its bands are identified in all analyzed spectra: ~1157 cm<sup>-1</sup> (symmetric C-O-C stretching vibrations) [23]; ~1030 cm<sup>-1</sup> (C-C and C-O vibrations), ~1115 cm<sup>-1</sup> (C-O), (C-C) [24]; 896 cm<sup>-1</sup> (C-H vibrations, cellulose and hemicellulose) indicates presence of the  $\beta$ -glycosidic linkages between the cellulose glycosidic units [23, 25-26]. Also, spectra exhibit several common bands that could be related to various wood compounds: ~1733 cm<sup>-1</sup> (C=O groups); ~1460 cm<sup>-1</sup> (CH<sub>2</sub> scissoring vibrations, most probably from cellulose); ~1423 (asymmetric cm<sup>-1</sup> C-H deformation) [23]. Also. the bands near 1423–1460 cm<sup>-1</sup> can be associated with the C-H bend (aromatic skeletal vibration and asymmetric C-H deformations) [27] and C=C-C aromatic ring stretch modes of lignin [28].

Lignin as well as other aromatic compounds can be identified by bands at around 1596-1593 cm<sup>-1</sup> and ~1505 cm<sup>-1</sup> due to skeletal vibrations of the aromatic ring (C=C vibrations), while some of these bands could not be clearly visible in the case of the mulberry; this sample exhibits more intense band at 1515 cm<sup>-1</sup> (most lignin other probably from and aromatic compounds) [23]. Also, the presence of lignin in the samples was detected by the bands at around 1370 cm<sup>-1</sup> (symmetric C-H deformation). However, this band in the case of mulberry is broader and shows low intensity compared to other samples. The bands with a maximum of around 1237 cm<sup>-1</sup> are visible in all samples (sample mulberry shows a low-intensity band around this position) that, according to Gupta et al. [23], originates from ring breathing of guaiacyl 'G'. According to the same authors, the band at 1315 cm<sup>-1</sup> is due to ring breathing of syringyl 'S' and could be clearly visible in the case of sample mulberry, while the oak samples cluster doesn't show any strong vibrations at this position. Also, the FTIR spectrum of mulberry shows a strong band at 825 cm<sup>-1</sup> most probably due to C-H vibration of aromatic compounds [23] (C-H out-of-plane bends [29]).

The results of lignin content are presented in Fig. 2. Tukey's test showed a significant difference in lignin content among wood staves. Furthermore, the investigated samples possess large variability between their lignin content; it ranges from 23.58% to 40.93%, which can be explained by the parallel influence of multiple factors including botanical species, age, the sampling position, etc. [3,11]. Among all wood samples, the lowest lignin content is detected in the wild cherry

wood (23.58%). Furthermore, the oaks have lignin content in the range between 29.91% (Bosna Olovo) and 34.37% (Slavonija). According to Peterson and Perumalla [30], the lignin content of a very diverse group of oaks, which differed in species, geographical location, and application, varied from 20.1 to 30.1%. Additionally, Puech [31] analyzed the lignin content of French and foreign oak, particularly intended for barrel production, and reported its content in the range from 25.3 to 28.5%. The lignin content in black locust (35.09%, Figure 2) is significantly higher than in hardwood of mature wood (20.9–25.8%) since the wood sample investigated in this research paper is much older than in Latorraca *et al.* [32].

The results of moisture content are presented in Fig. 3. Tukey's test showed no significant difference in moisture content among wood staves. Myrobalan plum (MP) is characterized by the highest porosity as well as the highest moisture content (8.45%) compared to the pedunculate oak (Gornji Radan) (PGO) and sessile oak (SRO) (7.10 and 6.38%, respectively). Although samples PGO and SRO belong to the same group of woods (i.e. oaks), the sample PGO (pedunculate oak) has smaller vessels and higher moisture content compared to the sample SRO (sessile oak).



Figure 2. Lignin content of the analyzed wood fragment. The samples with the same letter are not statistically different  $(\alpha = 0.05)$ .



Figure 3. Moisture content of the analyzed wood fragment.

The PCA analysis (Figure 4a) confirmed that mulberry (M) showed the most distinctive spectrum among tested wood samples. As we pointed out, these differences in the mulberry spectrum primarily originated from the difference related to the lignin chemical compositions, i.e. its aromatic compounds. This is supported by loadings of PC 1, where the peaks that can be associated with the chemical composition of mulberry, such as those at 825, 972, 1157, 1311, 1519, and 1591 cm<sup>-1</sup> are identified. The second group of samples separated by PC 2 is black locust (BL), Myrobalan plum (MP), and wild cherry (C), while the third group represents all studied oaks (PSO, PGO, POO, and SRO). The differences in the chemical structure between these two groups can be associated with the presence of less prominent chemical compounds, such as extractives, that in small concentrations may be crucial for the formation of specific brandy aromatic profiles.

Chem. Ind. Chem. Eng. Q. 30 (4) 275–284 (2024)



Figure 4. (a) Score plot of PCA for tested wood samples; (b) Loading plots of PC 1 and PC 2.

#### GC-MS analysis

A total of forty-one compounds were quantified using gas chromatography (GC-MS), Table 1. According to volatile profiles, oaks' aged spirits significantly differ from aged spirits with alternative wood species (i.e. black locust, Myrobalan plum, wild cherry, and mulberry). Although the volatile profiles of analyzed oak-aged spirits are similar, they are opposite from existing published data. Namely, the coniferyl (23.14–26.60 µg/g) and sinapyl (23.56–25.82 µg/g) alcohols are the most abundant volatile compounds in oaks' aged spirits (Table 1). Opposite to this study, De Rosso *et al.* [33] and Flamini *et al.* [34] reported that coniferyl alcohol was found in a low amount within 50% hydroalcoholic oaks' extracts (model spirit), while there was no data about the content of sinapyl alcohol.

All identified compounds in oaks' aged spirits appear due to the lignin degradation and then their oxidation during aging. As it is well known, coniferyl and sinapyl alcohols are the main lignin compounds, whose presence in the wood fragments was confirmed by the ATR-FTIR (Figure 1). During aging, these alcohols are released and converted to aromatic aldehydes (coniferyl aldehyde, and sinapyl aldehyde) relatively quickly by oxidation and to respective acids by further oxidation [7]. In general, the differences between the present and previously published results occur because the decreasing of the coniferyl and sinapyl alcohols' content, and simultaneously increasing the amount of aromatic aldehydes and acids, is necessary to prolong the aging period. Thereby, the amount of vanillin (0.30–0.78 μg/g) and syringaldehyde (1.71-2.17 µg/g), as aromatic aldehydes whose formation depends on the oaks aging time, is lower. Moreover, the amount of syringaldehyde is lower (1.71 µg/g) in sessile oak (SRO) than in pedunculate oaks (PSO, PGO, and POO) (1.85-2.17 µg/g). On the other hand, the highest amount of vanillin has PGO  $(0.78 \mu g/g)$ , while the lowest Slavonija pedunculate oak (PSO, 0.3 µg/g). The amount of coniferyl aldehyde  $(3.51 \ \mu g/g)$  and sinapyl aldehyde  $(7.95 \ \mu g/g)$  is higher in sessile oak (SRO) than in pedunculate oaks (2.19–2.47 µg/g and 4.49–5.40 µg/g, respectively). Besides vanillin and syringaldehyde, De Rosso et al. [33] found that oaks contain eugenol (aromatic terpene characteristic for oak-aged beverages) [3], methoxyeugenol, and *a*-terpineol. In our case, a-terpineol was not detected. Furthermore, Chira and Teissedre [15] reported the presence of cis- and trans- $\beta$ -methyl- $\gamma$ -octalactone (known as oak lactones) in oaks. The odor intensity of  $\beta$ -methyl- $\gamma$ -octalactone *cis* isomer (woody, coconut odor) is about 2-12 times stronger in comparison with its trans isomer [37,38]. Herein,  $\beta$ -methyl- $\gamma$ -octalactone *cis* isomer is more

	Tab	ole 1. Quantita	ative data	on wood e	xtracts vola	atile compo	ounds.			
		Odor								
Compound (µg/g of wood)	Odor quality	detection threshold (ppm, mg dm <sup>-3</sup> )	PSO	PGO	POO	SRO	BL	MP	С	М
	Orange	<b>u</b> ,								
	peel-like									
	((R)-									
Limonene	Limonene)	0 2039	n d	n d	n d	n d	n d	0 49	0 72	n d
	30,	0.20				ai		0110	0.72	
	Citrusy, orange neel-like <sup>36</sup>									
Acetophenone	Floral <sup>40</sup>	0 25641	n d	n d	n d	n d	n d	n d	0.01	n d
Benzoic acid	Balsamic <sup>35</sup>	0.200	n d	n d	n d	n d	n d	0.30	n d	n d
Coumaran	Baldanio		n d	n d	n d	n d	n d	n d	n d	0.48
Vinylquaiacol	Smokv <sup>40</sup>	0 20941	0.08	0.19	0.17	0.26	n d	n d	n d	n d
<i>o</i> -Acetyl- <i>p</i> -cresol	emeny	0.200	n d	nd	nd	n d	0.26	n d	n d	n d
	Clove <sup>35,37</sup>		11.0.	n.a.	ma.	in.a.	0.20	ma.	n.a.	ma.
	spicy.									
Eugenol	sweet <sup>37</sup>	0.007038	0.08	0.54	0.48	0.03	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
9	Flowery,									
	clove <sup>35</sup>									
	Spicy,									
	phenolic <sup>37</sup>									
Syringol	Burnt,	0 5 9 38	0.16	0.24	0.24	0.27	1 / 0	nd	nd	1 50
Synngoi	smoke,	0.56**	0.10	0.34	0.34	0.27	1.40	n.a.	n.a.	1.59
	burned									
	wood <sup>38</sup>									
Methylresorcinol			n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	1.33
Resorcinol			n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	10.07	n.d.	n.d.	62.00
$\beta$ -Resorcinaldehyde			n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	3.46	n.d.	n.d.	13.76
Resacetophenone			n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	2.08	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
trans Creatively	Coconut <sup>c37</sup>									
<i>trans-β</i> -metnyl-γ-	Sweet.	0.79 <sup>38</sup>	0.17	0.25	0.24	0.72	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
octalactone	flowery <sup>38</sup>									
cic R mothyl y	Coconut <sup>37</sup>									
cis-p-ineuriyi-y-	Coconut,	0.067 <sup>38</sup>	0.34	1.65	1.59	0.05	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
octalactorie	sweet38									
Vanillin	Vanilla <sup>35-39</sup>	0.022 <sup>38</sup>	0.30	0.78	0.76	0.64	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
Isoeugenol (cis- or trans-)			n.d.	0.16	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
Homovanilly alcohol			0.28	0.38	0.35	0.43	0.20	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
Vanillyl propan-2-one			0.69	1.00	0.72	0.12	0.95	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
Vanillic acid			n.d.	0.05	0.19	0.88	n.d.	n.d.	0.09	n.d.
Propiovanillone			0.32	0.36	0.32	0.09	nd	nd	nd	n d
3 4 5-Trimethoxy-phenol			n d	n d	n d	n d	n d	0.09	7 64	n d
Methoxyeugenol			0.32	0.56	0.26	0.79	0.67	n d	n d	n d
Homovanillic acid			0.52	0.50	0.20	0.44	n d	n d	n d	n.d.
Methyl homovanillate			0.30	0.30	0.00	0.44	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
Wethyr Homovarillate	aroon		0.55	0.52	0.27	0.44	n.u.	n.u.	n.u.	n.u.
	woodv <sup>32</sup>									
Svringaldehvde	areen		1 85	2 17	2 04	1 71	0 94	0.20	n d	n d
Cymgalaonydd	woody.		1.00	2.17	2.01		0.01	0.20	n.a.	ma.
	sweet <sup>33</sup>									
Svringic acid			0.25	0.92	0.32	1.02	n.d.	n.d.	0.12	n.d.
Syringyl propan-2-one			0.73	0.73	0.50	0.86	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
Butvrosvringone			0.52	0.57	0.47	0.54	nd	n d	n d	n d
Conifervl alcohol			26.6	25 73	24 47	23 14	9 75	n d	n d	0.36
Coniferyl aldehyde			2 47	2 21	2 19	3.51	2 14	n d	n d	1.55
4-hydroxy-3 5-			2.47	2.21	2.10	0.01	2.14	n.u.	n.a.	1.00
dimethoxybenzoic acid			n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	0.18	n.d.	n.d.
Scopoletin			n d	n d	n d	n d	n d	0.03	n d	n d
Sinanyl aldehyde			5.40	4 89	4 49	7.95	4 88	n d	0.1	n.d.
Sinapyl alcohol			23 56	24 84	24 71	25 82	1 53	0.03	nd	n d
Dibydrosinanyl alcohol			0.00	0.53	0.58	0.51	n.00	0.00 n d	n.d.	n.d.
Dinocombrin			0.05 n.d	0.00 n d	0.00 n.d	0.01 n.d	n.u.	n.u.	0 20	n.u.
Tostochnicin			n.u.	n.u.	n.u.	n.u.	n.u.	וו.u. הל	0.00 220 1E	n.u.
			n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	220.15	n.a.
Z,U-			n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	0.30	n.d.
Chargin			<b>ہ</b> م	<b>م</b> م	<b>م</b> م	<b>~</b> d	لمحر	ام مر	467 00	<b>n</b> d
Chrysin			11.0. ต.ศ	11.Q.	11.Q.	n.a.	וו.a.	וו.a. היי	407.22	n.a.
Sakulaliili Naringani:-			n.a.	n.a.	11.Q.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	090.00	n.a.
ivaringenin			n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	/5.91	n.d.
i otal volatile compounds			65.04	69.67	65.84	70.22	38.41	1.32	1669./1	81.07

 Total volatile compounds
 65.04
 69.67
 65.84
 70.22
 38.41
 1.32

 n.d. - not detected. Results are expressed as milligrams of methyl -10-undecanoate equivalents per liter of analyzed wood extracts

Chem. Ind. Chem. Eng. Q. 30 (4) 275–284 (2024)

abundant in pedunculate oak samples  $(0.34-1.65 \mu g/g)$ , while *trans* isomer is more abundant in sessile oak  $(0.72 \mu g/g)$ . These two isomers, along with vanillin are major oak wood aroma compounds that have a great influence on wine aroma [30].

The main characteristic of wild cherry volatile profile, in comparison with other analyzed wood samples, was the high content of flavonoids, whose presence was also previously confirmed by HPLC-MS [16]. Five flavonoids including three flavanones (pinocembrin, naringenin, and sakuranin) and two flavones (467.22 µg/g chrysin and 220.15 µg/g tectochrysin) are exclusively detected in wild cherry (C). It is good to mention that the amount of sakuranin (896.65 µg/g) found in wild cherry is significantly higher than the two other flavanones. In another study, besides the aforementioned flavonoids, Vinciguerra et al. [42] identified three more flavanones (sakuranetin, pinostrobin, dihydrowogonin) and one dihydroflavonol (aromadendrin-7-methylether) in the heartwood of Prunus avium. Moreover, several flavonoids such as eriodictyol, sakuranetin, pinocembrin, and chrysin are suggested as markers of cherry hardwood and wine aged in cherry wood [16,43]. To our knowledge, sakuranin has not previously been detected in wild cherry wood, consequently. Additionally, it can be concluded that the hardwood of Prunus avium L. (wild cherry) species contains a significant amount of flavonoids compared with oak wood species, which is in agreement with the literature [2]. Wild cherry wood aged spirit (C) contains a high amount (7.64 µg/g) of 3,4,5-trimethoxy-phenol and a low amount of volatile compounds, which is in agreement with the previously published research [33,34].

Myrobalan plum has a significantly lower amount of volatiles (1.32 µg/g, Table 1) compared to the oaks (65.04–70.22 µg/g). Quantitatively, the most abundant volatile compounds in Myrobalan plum are terpene limonene (0.49  $\mu$ g/g) and benzoic acid (0.30  $\mu$ g/g). According to Bandeira Reidel et al. [44], limonene was detected as the most abundant compound in a stem of P. cerasifera 'Pissardii', while this compound was not detected in a stem of P. cerasifera. The presence of limonene was also confirmed in apple brandies aged with mulberry and sessile oak [5], which is opposite from our research, whereas limonene is detected in Myrobalan plum and wild cherry. The limonene, with citrus and herbal aroma notes, is the only detected terpene in all analyzed samples. Moreover, scopoletin was detected exclusively in Myrobalan plum-aged spirit. This is the opposite of literature data, where scopoletin was first considered as a marker for oak wood, but later it was detected also in chestnut and wild cherry wood [6].

Besides in black locust, the resorcinol is dominant compound in mulberry (M) with an amount of 62.00 µg/g, followed by a significant amount of  $\beta$ -resorcinaldehyde (13.76 µg/g), syringol (1.59 µg/g). De Rosso et al. [33] found a large amount of  $\beta$ -resorcinaldehyde in mulberry wood extract in a model spirit solution. Interestingly, the volatile profile of black locust (BL) differs from the results reported in the literature. In our case, the most abundant compound in black locust is resorcinol (10.07 µg/g), followed by coniferyl alcohol (9.75 µg/g), while the contents of coniferyl aldehyde and syringaldehyde were slightly lower (2.14  $\mu$ g/g and 0.94  $\mu$ g/g, respectively). Fernández de Simón et al. [46] also detected resorcinol, but not as the most abundant compound in black locust. De Rosso et al. [33] and Flamini et al. [34] found syringaldehyde as the dominant black locust compound as well as a large amount of vanillin, which is not found in the investigated black locust. On the other hand, Fernández de Simón et al. [45] observed coniferyl alcohol and sinapyl aldehyde as dominant compounds in seasoned black locust wood aged spirit. A high amount of  $\beta$ -resorcinaldehyde (3.46  $\mu$ g/g) and no eugenol were found in the investigated black locust wood-aged spirit, which was in accordance with the findings of De Rosso et al. [33], and Flamini et al. [34]. In addition, the presence of methoxyeugenol, as in our case, was also confirmed by De Rosso et al. [33]. Generally, the composition of black locust wood extract in the model spirit solution is in accordance with the previous studies.

Herein, some volatile compounds were unique components of the volatile profile of certain alternative and oak species, which can contribute to the identification of specific wood species. Therefore, 2,6-dimethoxybenzoquinone and volatile flavonoids (pinocembrin, naringenin, sakuranin, chrysin, and tectochrysin) were quantified only in wild cherry wood. The coumaran and methylresorcinol are present only in mulberry (M), while o-acetyl-p-cresol and resacetophenone are present only in the black locust (BL). Benzoic acid, scopoletin, and 4-hydroxy-3,5dimethoxybenzoic acid were found only in Myrobalan plum. Many compounds, such as oak lactones, vinylguaiacol, eugenol, vanillin, propiovanillone, homovanillic acid, methyl homovanillate, syringyl propan-2-one, butyrosyringone, and dihydrosynapic alcohol were found only in oak samples. Interestingly, isoeugenol was found only in oak from Gornji Radan (PGO).

#### CONCLUSION

The main idea of the current research was about the chemical degradation of lignin-based matrices in 281 the presence of hydroalcoholic solution, as well as surface chemistry and lignin content of local wood fragments traditionally used in Balkan countries for hastening brandies' aging. The ATR-FTIR spectra of the samples are similar, only the mulberry FTIR spectrum contains unique peaks due to its highest lignin content (40.93%). Wild cherry stood up from other wood species by the lowest lignin content, as well as the richness in flavonoids in its volatile profile. The profiling of volatile compounds released from local wood fragments can provide valuable data on local wood and aged spirits to improve the products' quality. In addition to GC-MS analyses, FTIR spectral analysis in combination with PCA can be promising as a potentially effective tool used to distinguish oak and alternative wood samples.

To conclude, with the presented results we are closing the loop. The outcomes from the current research, together with our previously published results on characteristic phenolic profiles of the same wood pieces, may be considered as a good starting point to consider the utilization of wood pieces of alternative wood species from local regions for hastening brandy aging to decrease the cost of aging technology. To the best of our knowledge, the wood particles (smaller than 2mm) used in this research could be used for aging, but the aging time must be optimized. Concerning the type of wood used in the aging process, mulberry wood stood out with the highest lignin content, and its aging potential can be further investigated. Collected data can pave the way to establish geographical indicators of famous aged fruit brandies to protect authenticity and locally sourced products, as well as reduce fraudulent production. Furthermore, this study could be the initial step for establishing regulation in the aging process of alcoholic brandy at the local and regional levels.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was supported by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technological Development, Republic of Serbia (Contact No. 451-03-68/2022-14/200116, 451-03-68/2022-14/200135 and 451-03-65/2024-03).

#### REFERENCES

- M. Carpena, A.G. Pereira, M.A. Prieto, J. Simal-Gandara. Foods 9 (2020) 1160. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/foods9091160</u>.
- [2] A. Martínez-Gil, M. Del Alamo-Sanza, R. Sánchez-Gómez, I. Nevares, Beverages 4 (2018) 94–118. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/beverages4040094</u>.
- [3] J.R. Mosedale, J.L. Puech, Trends Food Sci. Technol. 9

(1998) 95–101. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0924-</u> 2244(98)00024-7.

- B. Zhang, J. Cai, C.-Q. Duan, M.J. Reeves, F.A. He, Int. J. Mol. Sci. 16 (2015) 6978–7014. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms16046978</u>.
- [5] P. Híc, M. Horák, J. Balík, K. Martinák, Wood Sci. Technol. 55 (2021) 257–270. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00226-020-01225-x</u>.
- [6] T.E. Coldea, C. Socaciu, E. Mudura, S.A. Socaci, F. Ranga, C.R. Pop, F. Vriesekoop, A. Pasqualone, Food Chem. 320 (2020) 126643. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2020.126643</u>.
- [7] S. Cernîsev, Food Control. 73 (2017) 281–290. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2016.08.015.
- [8] M. Guerrero-Chanivet, M.V, García-Moreno, M.J., Valcárcel-Muñoz, D.A., Guillén-Sánchez, Wood Sci Technol (2023) 861–878. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00226-023-01478-2</u>.
- [9] E. Sjöström, R. Alén, Analytical methods in wood chemistry, pulping, and papermaking, Verlag Berlin Heidelberg: Springer, Berlin (1999), p. 1–19. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-03898-7\_1</u>.
- [10] L. Wang, H. Ni, J. Zhang, Y. Zhao, G. Tian, Z. Wang, Sci. Total Environ. 717 (2020) 137241. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.137241</u>.
- [11] A. Le Floch, M. Jourdes, P.L. Teissedre, Carbohydr. Res. 417 (2015) 94–102. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carres.2015.07.003.
- [12] Organisation Internationale de la Vigne et du Vin (OIV).
   International Code of Oenological Practices; OIV: Paris, France, (2015), pp. 1–17. ISBN 979-10-91799-10-2.
- [13] European Union. Commission Regulation (EC) No 1507/2006. Off. J. Eur. Union 2006, 280, 1–9.
- [14] C. Bargalló-Guinjoan, P. Matias-Guiu, J.J. Rodríguez-Bencomo, F. López, Wood Sci. Technol. 57 (2023) 307– 323. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00226-022-01435-5</u>.
- [15] K. Chira, P.L. Teissedre, Eur. Food Res. Technol. 240 (2015) 533–547. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00217-014-2352-3</u>.
- [16] A. Smailagić, S. Veljović, U. Gašić, D. Dabić Zagorac, M. Stanković, K. Radotić, M. Natić, Ind. Crops Prod. 132 (2019) 156–167. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2019.02.017</u>.
- T. H. Soutar, M. Bryden (1955) J. Textile Inst. Transactions, 46 (1955) 8, T521–T528, <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/19447027.1955.1075033</u>.
- [18] S. Veljović, N. Tomić, M. Belović, N. Nikićević, P. Vukosavljević, M. Nikšić, V. Tešević, Food Technol. Biotech. 57 (2019) 408–417. https://doi.org/10.17113/ftb.57.03.19.6106.
- [19] R.P. Adams, Identification of essential oil components by gas chromatography/mass spectrometry, Allured Publishing Corporation, Carol Stream, IL (2007), p. 1902– 1903. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jasms.2005.07.008</u>.
- [20] R. Flamini, P. Traldi, Mass spectrometry in grape and wine chemistry. USA: John Wiley & Sons, Inc, New Jersy (2010) p. 95–116. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/9780470552926.ch5</u>.
- [21] Ø. Hammer, D.A.T. Harper, P.D. Ryan. Palaeontol.

282

Electron. 4(1) (2001) 9. <u>http://palaeo-</u> electronica.org/2001\_1/past/issue1\_01.htm.

- [22] F. Menges, "Spectragryph optical spectroscopy software", Version 1.2.8, 2018, <u>http://www.effemm2.de/spectragryph/.</u>
- [23] B.S. Gupta, B.P. Jelle, T. Gao, (2015) Int. J. Spectrosc., 521938, <u>https://doi.org/10.1155/2015/521938</u>.
- [24] I. Kubovský, D. Kačíková, F. Kačík, Polymers 12 (2020)
   485. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/polym12020485</u>.
- [25] Z. Shi, G. Xua, J. Denga, M. Dong, V. Murugadoss, C. Liuc, Q. Shaoe, S. Wufand, Z. Guo, Green Chem. Lett. Rev. 12 (3) (2019) 235–243. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/17518253.2019.1627428</u>.
- [26] P. Bock, N. Gierlinger, J. Raman Spectrosc.50 (2019) 778–792 <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/jrs.5588</u>.
- [27] H. Schulz, M. Baranska, Vib. Spectrosc. 43 (2007) 13–25. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.vibspec.2006.06.001.
- [28] C. Ganne-Chedeville, A.-S. Jääskelaäinen, J. Froidevaux, M. Hughes, P. Navi, Holzforschung 66 (2012) 163–170. <u>https://doi.org/10.1515/HF.2011.148</u>.
- [29] Ö. Özgenç, S. Durmaz, I.H. Boyaci, H. Eksi-Kocak, Spectrochim. Acta A Mol. Biomol. Spectrosc. 171 (2017) 395–400. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.saa.2016.08.026</u>.
- [30] C.A. Peterson, C.J Perumalla, J. Exp. Bot. 35 (1984) 51– 57. <u>http://www.jstor.org/stable/23688558</u>.
- [31] J.L. Puech, Am. J. Enol. Vitic. 35 (1984) 77–81. https://doi.org/10.5344/ajev.1984.35.2.77.
- [32] J.F.V. Latorraca, O. Dünisch, G. Koch, An. Acad. Bras. Cienc. 83 (2011) 1059–68. <u>https://doi.org/10.1590/s0001-37652011005000016</u>.
- [33] M. De Rosso, D. Cancian, A. Panighel, A. Dalla Vedova, R. Flamini, Wood Sci. Technol. 43 (2009) 375–385. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00226-008-0211-8</u>.
- [34] R. Flamini, A. Dalla Vedova, D. Cancian, A. Panighel, M. De Rosso, J. Mass Spec. 42 (2007) 641–646. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/jms.1193</u>.
- [35] R. Ghadiriasli, M.A.A. Mahmoud, M. Wagenstaller M, J.W.

van de Kuilen, A. Buettner, Food. Res. Int. 150 (2021) 110776. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2021.110776</u>.

- [36] R. Ghadiriasli, M. Wagenstaller, A. Buettner, Anal. Bioanal. Chem. 410 (25) (2018) 6595–6607. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00216-018-1264-7</u>.
- [37] L. Culleré, B. Fernández de Simón, E. Cadahía, V. Ferreira, P. Hernández-Orte, J. Cacho, LWT - Food Sci. Technol. 53 (1) (2013) 240–248. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2013.02.010</u>.
- [38] Caldeira, R. Santos, J. M. Ricardo-da-Silva, O. Anjos, H. Mira, A.P. Belchior, S. Canas, Food Chem. 211 (2016) 937–946. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2016.05.129</u>.
- [39] H. Tamura, S. Boonbumrung, T. Yoshizawa, W.
   Varanyanond. Food Sci. Technol. Res., 7 (1) (2001) 72– 77. <u>https://doi.org/10.3136/fstr.7.72</u>.
- [40] S. Chen, J. Tang, S. Fan, J. Zhang, S. Chen, Y. Liu, Q. Yang, Y. Xu. Foods10(10) (2021) 2392. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/foods10102392</u>.
- [41] J.S. Câmara, M.A. Alves, J.C. Marques, Anal. Chim. Acta 563 (2006) 188–197. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aca.2005.10.031</u>.
- [42] V. Vinciguerra, M. Luna, A. Bistoni, F. Zollo, Phytochem. Anal. 14 (2003) 371–377. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/pca.730</u>.
- [43] F. Chinnici, N. Natali, A. Bellachioma, A. Versari, C. Riponi, LWT - Food Sci. Technol. 60 (2015) 977–984. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2014.10.029</u>.
- [44] R. V. Bandeira Reidel, P. L. Cioni, L. Pistelli, Biochem. Syst. Ecol. 75 (2017) 10–17. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bse.2017.10.001.
- [45] B. Fernández de Simón, M. Sanz, E. Cadahía, N. Sanz, E. Esteruelas, A.M. Muñoz, J. Mass Spec. 49 (2014) 353– 70. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/jms.3347</u>.
- [46] B. Fernández de Simón, E. Esteruelas, A.M. Muñoz, E. Cadahía, M. Sanz, J. Agri. Food Chem. 57 (2009) 3217– 3227. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/jf803463h</u>.

ANITA SMAILAGIĆ<sup>1</sup> SONJA VELJOVIĆ<sup>2</sup> STEVA LEVIĆ<sup>3</sup> TATJANA ŠOLEVIĆ KNUDSEN<sup>4</sup> VIKTOR NEDOVIĆ<sup>3</sup> VLADIMIR PAVLOVIĆ<sup>3</sup> MAJA NATIĆ<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Univerzitet u Beogradu, Inovacioni centar Hemijskog fakulteta, Beograd, Srbija

<sup>2</sup>Univerzitet u Kragujevcu, Fakultet za hotelijerstvo i turizam, Vrnjačka Banja, Srbija

<sup>3</sup>Univerzitet u Beogradu, Poljoprivredni fakultet, Beograd, Srbija

<sup>4</sup>Univerzitet u Beogradu, Institut za hemiju, tehnologiju i metalurgiju - Departman za hemiju, Beograd, Srbija

<sup>5</sup>Univerzitet u Beogradu, Hemijski fakultet, Beograd, Srbija

### HEMIJSKA KARAKTERIZACIJA RAZLIČITIH FRAGMENATA DRVETA I SASTAV ISPARLJIVIH JEDINJENJA U MODEL RASTVORU ALKOHOLNIH PIĆA

U ovoj studiji okarakterisane su različite vrste hrasta (hrast kitnjak i lužnjak) i alternativne vrste drveta (bagrem, džanarika, trešnja i dud) kao važan izvor isparljivih jedinjenja u alkoholnim pićima koja su odležavala u kontaktu sa drvetom. Danas se fragmenti navedenih vrsta drveta koriste za ubrzavanje procesa odležavanja rakija. ATR-FTIR spektri analiziranih uzoraka drveta su slični, jedino FTIR spektar duda sadrži jedinstvene pikove uglavnom zbog najvećeg sadržaja lignina u ovom uzorku (40,93%). Koristeći neselektivnu metodu gasne hromatografije (GC-MS), identifikovano je ukupno četrdeset i jedno isparljivo jedinjenje u model rastvoru alkoholnih pića. Profil isparljivih jedinjenja u ekstraktima alternativnih vrsta drveta u model rastvoru su se kvalitativno i kvantitativno značajno razlikovali u poređenju sa profilom hrasta. Najzastupljenija isparljiva jedinjenja u analiziranim ekstraktima hrasta bili su koniferil (23,14 µg/g–26,6 µg/g) i sinapil (23,56 µg/g-25,82 µg/g) alkohol. Rezorcinol i koniferil alkohol su bili najzastupljenija isparljiva jedinjenja u bagremu, sakuranin u divljoj trešnji, dok su rezorcinol i β-rezorcinaldehid bili najzastupljeniji u drvetu duda. Koliko nam je poznato, sakuranin do sada nije otkriven u drvetu divlje trešnje. Pored hemijskih karakteristika drveta, tehnologija korišćena tokom procesa odležavanja značajno utiče na profil isparljivih jedinjenja u rakijama koje su odležavale u kontaktu sa drvetom, tako da su navedena jedinjenja potencijalni hemijski markeri za razdvajanje između korišćenih vrsta drveta kao i tehnologija odležavanja.

Ključne reči: hrast, alternativne vrste drveta, isparljiva jedinjenja, model rastvor alkoholnih pića.

NAUČNI RAD



STEFAN VUKMANOVIĆ<sup>1</sup> JASMINA VITAS<sup>1</sup> SNEŽANA KRAVIĆ<sup>1</sup> ZORICA STOJANOVIĆ<sup>1</sup> ANA ĐUROVIĆ<sup>1</sup> BILJANA CVETKOVIĆ<sup>2</sup> RADOMIR MALBAŠA<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Technology Novi Sad, Bulevar cara Lazara 1, Novi Sad, Serbia

<sup>2</sup>University of Novi Sad, Institute of Food Technology, Bulevar cara Lazara 1, Novi Sad, Serbia

#### SCIENTIFIC PAPER

UDC 582.282.23:663.2

Available online at Association of the Chemical Engineers of Serbia AChE www.ache.org.rs/CICEQ Chem. Ind. Chem. Eng. Q. 30 (4) 285–294 (2024)

CI&CEQ

## INFLUENCE OF MAIN PRODUCTION VARIABLES ON NUTRITIONAL CHARACTERISTICS OF WINERY EFFLUENT KOMBUCHA

#### Article Highlights

- Total sugars, nitrogen, and phosphorus consumption rates were determined
- The influence of temperature on sugar, nitrogen, and phosphorus content was observed
- Sugar was the most influential nutrient for kombucha winery effluent fermentation

#### Abstract

Determination of sugar, nitrogen, and phosphorus consumption by kombucha culture is necessary to gain additional insight into the kombucha metabolic pathways and nutritional value of the produced beverages and to set a basis for optimizing the conditions for large-scale production. Kombucha beverage was made using effluent obtained from grape must clarification phase of white wine production. The fermentation medium was prepared by diluting the sterilized initial medium with approximately 16% of total reducing sugars to 3, 5, and 7% of total reducing sugars. The duration of the fermentation was nine days at 20, 25, and 30 °C. Sugars, nitrogen, and phosphorus content were measured using DNS, Kjeldahl, and molybdenum blue methods, respectively. The highest sugar content was in the initial medium (16.34%) and the lowest was after nine days of fermentation at 30 °C with 3% initial sugar content (0.17%). Residual levels of sugars, nitrogen, and phosphorus after three days were modeled using response surface methodology. The proposed mathematical models for sugars and nitrogen content showed an exceptional correlation with the experimentally obtained values. With this study insight into kombucha's consumption of basic nutrients, nutritional value of the obtained products, and optimization of product composition was provided.

Keywords: kombucha, nutrient utilisation, sugars, nitrogen, phosphorus.

Kombucha is a fermented beverage produced by a symbiotic culture of yeasts and bacteria (SCOBY) using a medium rich in nutrients [1]. Traditional kombucha is made using black or green tea (1.5 g/L) decocts sweetened by sucrose (7% w/v) with a typical

E-mail: vitasj@uns.ac.rs

Paper accepted: 16 January, 2024

https://doi.org/10.2298/CICEQ231002001V

fermentation period of 7 days at 25 °C [2,3]. Tea is used as a nitrogen source [4], while sucrose is a source of carbon, being hydrolyzed to glucose and fructose [5]. Symbiotic metabolic interactions between species in SCOBY influence kombucha's physical, chemical, biological, and sensory properties [6]. Two phases can be observed during kombucha fermentation, sour liquid beverage and floating cellulose pellicle [7].

Health benefits associated with kombucha consumption have been attributed to the polyphenolic compounds, organic acids, vitamins, amino acids, antibiotics, and micronutrients synthesized during kombucha fermentation [8]. Kombucha beverage is nowadays available in many forms and flavors, which

Correspondence: J. Vitas, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Technology Novi Sad, Bulevar cara Lazara 1, 21000 Novi Sad, Serbia.

Paper received: 2 October, 2023

Paper revised: 25 December, 2023

together with confirmation of its beneficial properties by many researchers contributed to its popularity and increased widespread availability [9]. Particularly in the United States, kombucha has been popularized by social media, highlighting its health benefits [10]. The recommended daily consumption of kombucha beverages is around 120 mL for healthy adults, according to the USA Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

It is very well known that nutrients represent the substances present in food that determine biological activity and are vital for the human body. They are categorized as carbohydrates, proteins, minerals, fats, and vitamins. They are involved in building all parts (proteins), producing energy (carbohydrates), and keeping the good working order (minerals) of the human organism.

The carbon availability is an essential growth factor for any microbial culture. Previous studies demonstrated that kombucha products can be successfully obtained by using winery effluent as an alternative substrate for the fermentation process [32]. For kombucha cultivated on winery effluent, the main carbon sources are simple sugars, i.e. glucose and fructose.

Although at the very early stadium of the grape berry development, there is a certain amount of sucrose, at later stadiums sucrose is almost completely hydrolyzed to glucose and fructose, but that ratio is never 1:1. At the beginning, the glucose content is higher, and it drops during the harvest, whilst the fructose content is constant, so at the end, the grape contains negligible more fructose than glucose [11]. Scientific research established that the carbon source influences different metabolic pathways activation in kombucha culture microorganisms. For example, fructose and sucrose stimulate ethanol synthesis, while glucose has the opposite effect. Sucrose also enables the biosynthesis of lactic acid [12].

Nitrogen is an essential element for all forms of life and is the structural component of amino acids [13]. Only six members of *Acetobacteriacaeae* can fix nitrogen, among which *Gluconobacter kombuchae* sp. nov. was isolated from kombucha tea [14]. Several strains of *Komagataeibacter* spp. express nitrogen fixation with *K. hanseii* RG3 displaying the ability to synthesize cellulose besides the fixation of nitrogen [15]. The Recommended Dietary Allowance of nitrogen for adults both men and women is 800 mg/day of body weight [16].

Phosphorus is an essential mineral element found in rocks, soil, plants, and animal tissues, while in aquatic systems phosphorus plays a critical role in the 286 process of eutrophication, as the nutrient that limits the growth of phytoplankton. Phosphorus homeostasis in the body is controlled by hormonal and renal control systems [17,18]. It makes up from 0.65 to 1.1% of an adult body, 85% of which is in bones and the Recommended Dietary Allowance for both men and women older than 19 years is 700 mg/day [19]. The presence of carbon, phosphorus, and nitrogen in kombucha beverages on winery effluent was also related to its very well-known presence in wastewater used for fermentation.

This article aimed to determine the basic nutrients consumed by kombucha winery effluent-based culture to gain an additional understanding of the kombucha metabolic pathways and nutritional composition of the beverage and to set the basis for the optimal conditions for the kombucha on winery effluent production on a larger scale.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Kombucha starter culture

Traditional kombucha culture, which represented the basis for this investigation, consisted of two bacterial strains of the *Acetobacter* genera and five yeast strains (*Saccharomycodes ludwigii*, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, *Saccharomyces bisporus*, *Torulopsis* sp., and *Zygosaccharomyces* sp.) [20,21].

The kombucha starter culture used in this investigation for obtaining novel kombucha products was produced by three passages of the traditional kombucha culture, on the winery effluent with 7% of total reducing sugars at 25 °C, during 6 days. Only the liquid part of the obtained kombucha starter culture was added in the amount of 10% (v/v) to the appropriate fermentation medium. Winery effluent was cultivated in the incubator in sterilized glass beakers covered with sterile cheesecloth.

# Initial medium, fermentation medium, and process parameters

The initial medium (IM) was filtrated and sterilized winery effluent generated after must flotation using gelatine, in the white wine production. The plate filter press and the filter paper were used for filtration. Sterilization was performed in an autoclave (121 °C, 20 min).

The initial medium contained 16.34% of total reducing sugars. The fermentation medium was prepared by the dilution of the initial medium with boiled tap water to three different sugar levels (3, 5, and 7% of total reducing sugars). These sugar levels referred to the initial total reducing sugar content.

The fermentation process was performed at three different temperatures (20, 25, and 30 °C) and the samples were collected at the start of the fermentation (day 0), after 3, 6, and 9 days.

The initial medium and fermentation mediums were analyzed as well.

#### Determination of total reducing sugar content

Total reducing sugar content was determined by the Miller method [22]. The reaction mixture consisted of 0.5 mL of the sample and 0.75 mL of the DNS reagent. After heating to the boiling point for 5 min, the mixture was cooled to room temperature, and 3.75 mL of the distilled water was added. The sample reaction mixture was homogenized and the absorbance was measured 540 (LLG-uniSPEC2 at nm Spectrophotometer, Meckenheim, Germany). The blank sample used distilled water instead of the sample. The ripe grape contains almost equal amounts of glucose and fructose. Since both of these sugars react in the same way with the DNS reagent, glucose was selected for the preparation of the calibration curve [23,24]. Results were expressed as grams of glucose per liter of the sample.

#### Determination of total nitrogen content

Total nitrogen content was determined by the Kjeldahl method [25,26]. The appropriate volume of the sample (1 or 2 mL, depending on the sample) was transferred to the Kjeldahl flask, and 2 mL of concentrated sulphuric acid and 1 g of catalyst mixture were added. The obtained reaction mixture was heated until the solution changed color to slightly green. The prepared sample was transferred to the distillation flask by using a small amount of distilled water. To the Erlenmeyer flask, 10 mL of 0.01 mol/L HCI and 3 drops of the mixed indicator were added. To the distillation flask, gradually, 33% sodium hydroxide was added until the alkaline reaction (the flask content is dark blue and all of the nitrogen is extruded in the form of ammonia). The distillation process was conducted for 6 minutes, in total. After that, the unreacted excess of HCI is retitrated with 0.01 mol/L of sodium hydroxide, in the presence of the mixed indicator, until the color changes to green. Results were expressed as milligrams of nitrogen per liter of the sample.

#### Determination of total phosphorus content

Phosphorus was analyzed by spectrophotometry using the molybdenum blue method [25]. Five mL of the samples were dried until dryness at 115 °C and allowed to cool to room temperature. The dry residue of each sample was digested using 5 mL of concentrated sulphuric acid and 15 drops of nitric acid. The obtained mixture was set on a hot plate until a clear or slightly green color was obtained (after around 1 h). After cooling, digested samples were transferred to the volumetric flask and neutralized by adding several drops of 30% NaOH (1% solution of phenolphthalein was used for the indication), and finally diluted with doubly distilled water to 100 mL. Furthermore, a certain volume of diluted sample (5, 10, 15, or 20 mL depending on the sample) was transferred to the 100 mL volumetric flask, and 5 mL 5% ammonium heptamolybdate, 1 mL 11% sodium sulfite and 1 mL 0.5% hydroquinone were added. After 45 min the absorbance was measured at 750 nm (UV-2100 Spectrophotometer, Cole Parmer, Vernor Hills, IL, USA) and the results were expressed as mg/L. The calibration curve was defined with KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> from 0.05 to 0.4 mg P.

#### Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis and graphical representation of the data were performed using Statistica 13.5 software (StatSoft, USA). The coefficient of determination ( $R^2$ ), model *p*-value (*p* = 0.05), and Fvalue were used to evaluate the adequacy of the model. Response *Y* was fitted by RSM using seconddegree polyoma:

$$Y = b_0 + \sum b_i X_i + \sum b_{ii}^2 X_{ii}^2 + \sum b_{ij} X_i X_j$$
(1)

where  $b_0$  is the intercept, b,  $b_i$ , and  $b_j$  are the linear, quadratic, and interaction effects of the factors, respectively. The factor variables were the fermentation temperature,  $X_1$ : 20, 25, and 30 °C, and the initial total reducing sugar content,  $X_2$ : 3, 5, and 7%). As determined previously by sensory analysis, the kombucha beverage after three days was suitable for consumption, therefore RSM modeling was performed only on those samples.

All analyses were performed in triplicate, and the data reported represent the average values of three determinations ± standard deviation (mean±StDev).

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

## Total reducing sugar content in kombucha products on winery effluent

The total reducing sugar content of kombucha products on winery effluent and the fermentation mediums are given in Table 1. It is very well known that the bacterial strains of *Acetobacter* genera, yeasts *Saccharomycodes ludwigii, Saccharomyces cerevisiae,* and *Zygosaccharomyces* use sugars as the main nutrients [37,38]. Fermentation medium, only for fermentations with 7% of initial total reducing sugar content, showed a slightly higher value than expected (7.57%), potentially because the homogenization was not good enough after the dilution of the sterilized effluent with the boiling tap water. Second homogenization was performed after the inoculation, when the value dropped by around 10%, in regards to the 7% of the initial content, which agreed with the expectation. During the entire course of the fermentation, sugar content decreased continually, but in different dynamics, depending on the initial content.

The most pronounced decrease was established after three days of fermentation, at 30 °C, when the initial sugar content was the lowest; from 2.9% on day 0 to just 0.4%. For the samples with 5% of initial total reducing sugar content, the decrease was over 40% during the first three days of the process. On the contrary, when the initial total reducing sugar content was the highest, the decrease was merely from 6.3 to

6.1%. This fact indicated the possibility of substrate inhibition. Therefore, it is more reasonable to use fermentation mediums with a slightly lower reducing sugar content, because it stimulates the bioprocess dynamics, lowers the initial costs, and provides the beverage with lower sugar content, although some authors successfully produced kombucha beverage with 10% of the initial sugar [27]. The differences in sugar metabolism can be also explained by the fact that winery effluent contains glucose and fructose as carbon sources. Therefore, there is no need for the invertase activity by the kombucha culture to break sucrose into glucose and fructose, which are further metabolized by kombucha.

At the fermentation temperature of 25 °C, when the reducing sugar content was taken into account, the bioprocess dynamics were the most pronounced. After just three days of fermentation, the initial total sugar

Total reducing sugar content (g/L) <sup>a</sup>									
		Initial total reducing sugar content (%)							
t (°C)	τ (day)	7	5	3					
fermentatio	n medium	75.73±0.31	50.23±0.56	29.89±0.41					
day 0		63.08±0.62	46.93±0.56	29.17±0.06					
30	3	61.09±0.37	28.43±0.50	4.19±0.08					
	6	33.47±0.12	11.73±0.04	2.07±0.05					
	9	12.39±0.05	9.37±0.02	1.68±0.01					
25	3	22.29±0.34	21.17±0.41	10.82±0.34					
	6	14.28±0.06	8.10±0.01	3.82±0.02					
	9	10.35±0.10	4.05±0.07	3.24±0.03					
20	3	54.07±0.65	30.11±0.62	16.80±0.16					
	6	45.12±0.12	28.71±0.34	13.14±0.23					
	9	36.31±0.78	15.29±0.55	5.99±0.14					

Table 1. Total reducing sugar content of kombucha products on winery effluent and the fermentation mediums.

<sup>a</sup>mean±StDev, n=3.

content of 6.3% was reduced to almost one-third. The same pattern was established when the initial content was 2.9%. For the products with 4.7% of the initial content, the total residual reducing sugar content was decreased by over 50%. At the fermentation temperature of 20 °C, the kombucha culture showed similar activity: in the products with 3% of the initial sugar content, the residual sugar content decreased by almost 50%, for products with 5% of the initial sugar content, the residual sugar content was lowered for 40%. Products with 7% of the initial sugar content had the least pronounced decrease, which amounted to around one-quarter.

After nine days of fermentation, at 25 and 30  $^{\circ}$ C, for products with 5 and 3% of the initial total reducing sugar content, the residual total reducing sugar content was below 1%.

The consume day kombucha products contained 0.84 to 12.22 g/200 mL (i.e. a glass of the beverage), which was significantly lower than commercially available non-alcoholic beverages, which commonly contain over 20 g/200 mL of sugar [39].

Experiments by other authors, that obtained kombucha products with different herbal teas, indicated a significantly less pronounced lowering in total sugar content. From 10% at the start of the fermentation process, only the kombucha product with yerba mate, after 30 days, showed 50% lower content. The rest of the kombucha samples had sugar content that amounted to almost 75% of the initial value [28]. Kombucha beverage with seaweed (*Porphyra dentata*) produced at 25 °C, had a mild increase in sugar content in comparison with the beginning of the process, until the 16<sup>th</sup> day, when the sugars started to decrease, but

after 22 days it was still higher than at the fermentation start. At the fermentation temperature of 30 °C, with minor oscillations, the decrease was constant and after 20 days it amounted, to approximately, a fifth of the initial content [29]. Leonarski et al. [30] demonstrated that kombucha microorganisms showed a preference for glucose as a carbon source, when acerola byproduct, which contains the same amounts of glucose and fructose, was used as the fermentation medium. The sugar consumption was directly proportional to acerola by-product content and different studies confirmed that yeasts species metabolize glucose over fructose. Products with high sugar content can be applied in bacterial cellulose production [31], which is also generated as a result of kombucha metabolic activity [2].

# Modelling of residual total reducing sugar content in kombucha beverages on winery effluent after three days of fermentation

Results measured for the residual total reducing sugar content after three days of kombucha fermentation were modeled by response surface methodology (Figure 1a). Results of the ANOVA analysis of variance, regression equation coefficients, and their p-values were presented in Table 2.

The model was significant, at the confidence interval of 95%, with a *F*-value of 5890.123. The coefficient of determination was 0.999, which indicated the excellent reliability of the model (Table 2). Statistically significant influence on the model showed

Table 2. Analysis of variance (ANOVA), regression equation coefficients, and their p-values of the modeled response of the residual total reducing sugars, residual total nitrogen, and residual total phosphorus content in kombucha beverages on winery effluent after three

days of fermentation.										
Response	Residual					Model				
								F- value	<i>p</i> -value	$R^2$
	DF	SS	MS	S	DF	SS	MS			
Residual total sugar content	18	3.230	0.181		8	8534	1067	5890.123	0.000	0.999
Residual total nitrogen content	8	162.424	9.024		8	107111	13388	1483.773	0.000	0.998
Residual total phosphorus content	24	26405.91	0 1100.	246	5	48891	9778	8.890	0.000	0.649
Effects			Intercept	Li	near		Quadra	atic	Interaction	n
			<b>b</b> <sub>0</sub>	b		b <sub>2</sub>	<b>b</b> 11	<b>b</b> 22	<b>b</b> <sub>12</sub>	
Residual total sugar content	е	stimate	409.510	-3	1.405	0.574	-0.757	0.004	4 0.04	19
	Þ	value	0.000ª	0.	000ª	0.000ª	0.467	0.62	6 0.04	19ª
Residual total nitrogen content	е	stimate	-381.140	5.	987	0.063	17.243	-0.13	-0.0	86
	Þ	value	0.523	0.	894	0.943	0.018ª	0.02	2ª 0.58	34
Residual total phosphorus content	е	stimate	56.372	-7	.531	0.121	3.488	-0.08	3 <sup>a</sup> 0.20	)7ª
	Þ	value	0.875	0.	778	0.817	0.397	0.01	7ª 0.03	85ª

DF - degree of freedom, SS - sum of squares, MS - mean squares. <sup>a</sup>Effects are statistically significant,  $\rho < 0.05$ ; 1 - temperature; 2 - initial sugar content.

linear effects of the initial total reducing sugar content and fermentation temperature, as well as their interaction. Both were expected, since the residual total reducing sugar content had to be correlated with the initial, and the bioprocess temperature significantly influences the velocity of all metabolic functions. Higherinitial total reducing sugar content, as expected, provided higher residual total reducing sugar content. The influence of temperature was not that clear (Table 2).

The lowest value of the residual total reducing sugar content had samples produced at 25 °C and the measured content was as follows: 1.1% for products with 3% of the initial sugars and almost equal values were established for samples with 7 and 5% of the initial total reducing sugars - 2.2 and 2.1%. The highest content showed products obtained at 30 °C (the highest value was 6.1% for samples with 7% of the initial sugars). This fact suggested that 7% of initial sugars

and fermentation temperature of 30 °C were above the optimal conditions for the kombucha culture metabolic activity. Since the sugar amount in the beverage is highly important for the consumer, it can be concluded that a fermentation temperature of 25 °C is the most suitable. It is reasonable that the majority of the population wants to lower indiscriminate calorie consumption, as well as the simple sugar calories that possess a high glycaemic index.

# Total nitrogen content in kombucha products on winery effluent

After the carbon, nitrogen is the most important macroelement, necessary for protein synthesis in all cells. The source of nitrogen greatly affects the production of bacterial cellulose by kombucha. Research has demonstrated that yeast extract powder (freeze-dried) was the most suitable, both in shaking and static conditions while yeast extract, beef extract, tryptone, or peptone caused low levels of bacterial cellulose production [15]. It is very well known that yeasts, such as *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, need nitrogen and phosphorus for their metabolic activity, besides carbon [38]. The total nitrogen content is given in Table 3. The initial medium contained 370.2 mg/L of total nitrogen.

Contrary to expectations, the total nitrogen content in fermentation mediums was inversely proportional to the total reducing sugar content, meaning that the dilution process caused the increase in the values. The addition of kombucha inoculum to fermentation mediums (day 0) showed no clear influence on nitrogen content, which remained the same for samples with 7% of initial sugars. For products with 5 and 3% initial sugar, the nitrogen values were lower than the ones measured in fermentation mediums and higher for samples with 5% initial sugar. Values for day 0 products were in the range 106.68–144.33 mg/L. In consume day samples, the highest total nitrogen content showed samples with 7%

Table 3. Total nitrogen content of kombucha products on winery effluent and the fermentation mediums.

i otal hitrogen content (mg/L) <sup>a</sup>									
initial total reducing sugar content (%)									
t (°C)	τ (day)	7	5	3					
fermentat	ion medium	106.68±3.14	166.29±1.57	261.98±1.57					
day 0		106.68±0.00	144.33±3.14	109.81±3.14					
30	3	269.83±3.14	203.94±3.14	166.29±0.00					
	6	294.93±3.14	156.88±0.00	40.79±1.57					
	9	288.65±0.00	200.80±0.00	47.06±1.57					
25	3	109.81±3.14	288.65±0.00	164.72±4.71					
	6	149.03±1.57	181.98±1.57	100.40±6.28					
	9	194.53±1.57	480.04±3.14	323.16±1.57					
20	3	225.90±3.14	181.98±3.14	87.85±3.14					
	6	247.86±3.14	214.92±3.14	47.06±3.14					
	9	228.25±0.78	181.98±1.57	144.33±3.14					

amean±StDev, n=3.

of the initial total reducing sugar content, when the fermentation was performed at 30 and 20 °C, and it amounted to 269.8 mg/L and 225.9 mg/L, respectively. At 25 °C, the highest nitrogen content was measured for samples with 5% of initial total reducing sugars (288.7 mg/L).

During the fermentation, the total nitrogen content changed improperly, without a clear pattern concerning the fermentation temperature or the initial total sugar content. Despite that, samples with 7% of initial total reducing sugars produced at 25 °C showed a linear increase in content during the fermentation. This trend and decrease in values, respectively, were established for products with 5% and 3% of initial total reducing sugars after six and three days of the process, with the decrease in fermentation temperature. The highest value was established for the kombucha product obtained at 25 °C that contained 5% of the initial total sugar content, after nine days of the process (480 mg/L), which was the only sample with higher nitrogen content than the initial medium. The lowest value was measured after six days of fermentation at 30 °C with 3% of the initial total reducing sugar content (40.8 mg/L). Mendes-Ferreira et al. [40] suggested that nitrogen content control was crucial for the metabolism

of nitrogen by yeasts.

The increase in nitrogen content during fermentation compared to the initial fermentation medium is a consequence of the growth of yeasts microorganisms, bacteria, and during cultivation, which is very intensive. This is confirmed by the previously published results, which indicate a much higher biomass growth of winery effluent kombucha compared to the traditional, black tea kombucha [32]. The above facts indicate that kombucha cultivated on winery effluent uses relatively small amounts of nitrogen for its growth and metabolism. Food waste can be a source of significant amounts of nitrogen (2-15 q/L) and phosphorus (0.5–1 q/L) and therefore be used in value-added products production [33], such as kombucha. Also, microorganisms use nitrogen to produce different types of biofuels [33].

# Modelling of residual total nitrogen content in kombucha beverages on winery effluent after three days of fermentation

Results of the ANOVA analysis of variance, regression equation coefficients, and their *p*-values were presented in Table 2. Results measured for the residual total nitrogen content after three days of kombucha fermentation were modeled by response



Figure 1. Response surface that describes the influence of fermentation temperature and initial total reducing sugar content on the (a) residual total reducing sugar content in kombucha beverages on winery effluent after three days of fermentation, (b) residual total nitrogen content in kombucha beverages on winery effluent after three days of fermentation, (c) residual total phosphorus content in kombucha beverages on winery effluent after three days of fermentation.

surface methodology (Figure 1b). The model showed excellent reliability and it can be regarded that it had completely described the system, at a confidence interval of 95% and a very high *F*-value (1483.773) (Table 2). Statistically significant parameters of the model were just the quadratic effects of both process parameters. The fermentation temperature had more influence (Table 2). From the graphical representation of the model, it can be observed that the highest total nitrogen content was predicted at the fermentation temperature of 25 °C. This can be explained by the fact that the biomass production, determined in a previous

study, was lower at that temperature and the amount of nitrogen that is in cellulosic pellicle was lower [32]. Therefore, the higher nitrogen content is in the kombucha beverage.

## Total phosphorus content in kombucha products on winery effluent

Phosphorus is naturally occurring in many different foods; mostly in the form of phosphates and phosphate esters [34]. The total phosphorus content (Table 4) of 250.0 mg/L was determined in the initial medium. The dilution of the initial medium correlated with the phosphorus values measured for the fermentation mediums. The addition of kombucha inoculum to fermentation mediums caused an increase in phosphorus values, and with the lowering of the initial sugars, the phosphorus values were higher. This fact is an indication of phosphorus presence in the added kombucha inoculum, which could be related to the degradation of ATP and/or the increase in the number of microorganisms. The range of all other samples was between 80.33 mg/L after 9 days at 30 °C with 3% initial total reducing sugar content to 262.41 mg/L also after 9 days at 30 °C, but with 7% initial total reducing sugar content. Samples with 5 and 7% total initial sugar content had higher phosphorus content than in the fermentation medium, after nine days, when fermentation temperature was 25 and 30 °C, while for 3% of initial total reducing sugars phosphorus content was higher only at 25 °C. All kombucha products with 7% of initial sugars obtained at 30 °C had higher phosphorus content than samples from the fermentation start (day 0). This fact was established for samples produced at 25 °C after 6 and 9 days, as well. The products obtained at 20 °C had lower content than fermentation start products. Samples with 5 and 3% of initial sugars after 6 days of the process at 20 °C, as well as the product with 3% of the initial sugars after 9 days at 25 °C showed also higher phosphorus content than the products from the beginning of the process.

Other authors measured 6.637 mg/L of phosphorus at the beginning of the fermentation (day 0) of kombucha using black tea and cane sugar, which reduced slightly, to 6.448 mg/L after 14 days. Green tea kombucha contained less phosphorus, 4.201 mg/L at the beginning and 5.332 mg/L after 14 days of fermentation. The highest content was determined in the white tea kombucha, 8.865 mg/L at the beginning (day 0) and 10.31 mg/L after 14 days of fermentation [35].

During the fermentation, the total phosphorus content, as nitrogen, changed improperly, without a clear pattern in relation to the fermentation temperature or the initial total sugar content. Samples produced at 25 °C with 5% of initial sugars showed linear decrease

rotal phospholas content (ing/2)									
Initial total reducing sugar content (%)									
t (°C)	τ (day)	7	5	3					
Fermentat	ion medium	159.28±13.61	148.50±12.32	145.39±0.35					
Day 0		176.56±14.17	202.48±18.07	218.84±16.88					
30	3	242.29±25.56	199.70±21.54	144.80±17.12					
	6	230.87±13.47	202.17±13.84	149.89±8.18					
	9	262.41±7.12	158.23±9.13	80.33±1.44					
25	3	85.58±1.99	195.24±11.12	152.67±9.85					
	6	222.57±12.75	183.22±13.84	205.91±9.89					
	9	213.86±9.93	172.11±4.98	232.76±23.43					
20	3	108.52±2.81	121.19±16.92	90.31±3.45					
	6	166.10±11.54	247.57±12.10	253.12±6.60					
	9	156.84±17.92	118.88±12.45	128.14±12.52					

Table 4. Total phosphorus content of kombucha products on winery effluent and the fermentation mediums.
Total phosphorus content (mg/L) <sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>mean±StDev, n=3.

in values during the fermentation time, whilst products with 3% of initial sugars had an increase in values during the process. After three days of fermentation, the increase in fermentation temperature caused an increase in phosphorus content for beverages with 5% of initial sugars. This trend was also established for products with 7% of initial sugars after six and nine days of fermentation. For products with 3% of initial sugars, after six days of the process, the increase in temperature caused the lowering of phosphorus content. Relatively high phosphorus contents during fermentation indicate similarity with nitrogen as a nutrient, where it can also generally be considered that the phosphorus content required for kombucha activity is relatively low. Phosphorus was determined in green crop fractions and it was established that juice, deproteinized juice, and leaf protein concentrate contain phosphorus in low amounts. The pressed crop showed the highest values. The distribution of phosphorus was not uniform [36].

# Modelling of residual total phosphorus content in kombucha beverages on winery effluent after three days of fermentation

Results of ANOVA analysis of variance, regression equation coefficients, and their p-values were presented in Table 2. Results measured for the residual total phosphorus content after three days of kombucha fermentation were modeled by response surface methodology (Figure 1c). The model did not show reliability, explaining only around two-thirds of modeled values with an *F*-value of 8.89 (Table 2).

Statistically significant parameters were the quadratic effect of the initial total reducing sugar content and the interaction between it and fermentation temperature (Table 2). From the graphical display of the model (Figure 1c), it can be concluded that samples

with 7 and 3% initial total sugars had lower phosphorus content which indicates that at those conditions phosphorus consumption rate was higher. Contrary to that, higher temperature meant lower phosphorus intake with higher values measured at higher temperatures, for all three sugar contents.

#### CONCLUSION

Sugar content changed through the fermentation according to the expectation, i.e. to the pattern established for the traditional kombucha fermentation. After three days of the process, the temperature did not show a significant effect, although samples fermented at 25 °C had the lowest residual total reducing sugar content regardless of initial total reducing sugar content, compared to those fermented at 20 and 30 °C.

To our knowledge, this is the first extensive measurement of nitrogen and phosphorus contents in kombucha products on an alternative medium, through fermentation. Changes were inconsistent. Based on the obtained results, this study suggested that the most significant nutrient for kombucha culture activity on winery effluent was carbon, i.e. sugar consumption. The comprehensive nitrogen and phosphorus analysis indicated that these elements play a minor role in the kombucha metabolism of winery effluent. Further studies are necessary to elucidate which microorganisms utilize examined nutrients and in what amount. By the determined contents of carbon, nitrogen, and phosphorus in winery effluent-based kombucha beverages, it can be concluded that these products could represent a part of the daily wellbalanced nutrition of healthy adults.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was supported by the Ministry of

Science, Technological Development and Innovations, Republic of Serbia (Grant number 451-03-65/2024-03/200134.).

#### REFERENCES

- D. Laureys, S.J. Britton, J. De Clippeleer, J. Am. Soc. Brew. Chem. (2020) 165–174. https://doi.org/10.1080/03610470.2020.1734150.
- [2] R. Jayabalan, R.V. Malbaša, E.S. Lončar, J.S. Vitas, M. Sathishkumar, Compr. Rev. Food Sci. Food Saf. 13 (2014) 538–550. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/1541-4337.12073</u>.
- [3] J.S. Vitas, S. Vukmanović, J. Čakarević, L. Popović, R. Malbaša, Chem. Ind. Chem. Eng. Q. 26 (2020) 157–170. <u>https://doi.org/10.2298/ciceq190708034v</u>.
- [4] N.H. Avcioglu, M. Birben, I. Seyis Bilkay, Process. Biochem. 108 (2021) 60–68. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procbio.2021.06.005</u>.
- [5] A. Sknepnek, S. Tomić, D. Miletić, S. Lević, M. Čolić, V. Nedović, M. Nikšić, Food Chem. 342 (2021) 128344. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2020.128344</u>.
- [6] B.M. Bortolomedi, C.S. Paglarini, F.C.A. Brod, Food Chem. 385 (2022) 132719. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2022.132719</u>.
- [7] C. Chen, B.Y. Liu, J. Appl. Microbiol. 89 (2000) 834–839. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2672.2000.01188.x.
- [8] R. Vijayaraghavan, M. Singh, P.V. Rao, R. Bhattacharya, P. Kumar, K. Sugendran, O. Kumar, S.C. Pant, R. Singh, Biomed. Environ. Sci. 13 (2000) 293–299. <u>https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/11351863/</u>.
- G.Ö. Sinir, C.E. Tamer, S. Suna, in Fermented Beverages, A.M. Grumezescu, A.M.Holban Eds., Woodhead Publishing, Sawston (2019) pp. 401–432. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-815271-3.00010-5.</u>
- [10] P. Bishop, E.R. Pitts, D. Budner, K.A. Thompson-Witrick, Food Chem. Adv. 1 (2022) 100025. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.focha.2022.100025</u>.
- [11] W.M. Kliewer, Am. J. Enol. Vitic. 18 (1967) 33–41. https://doi.org/10.5344/ajev.1967.18.1.33.
- J. Reiss, Z. Lebensm.-Unters. Forsch. 198 (1994) 258– 261. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01192606</u>.
- [13] G. Hanrahan, G. Chan, in Encyclopedia of Analytical Science, 2nd Edition, P. Worsfold, A. Townshend, C. Poole Eds., Elsevier, Amsterdam (2004), pp. 191–196. ISBN-10: 0127641009.
- [14] D. Dutta, R. Gachhui, Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 57 (2007) 353–357. <u>https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.64638-0.</u>
- [15] J. Li, G. Chen, R. Zhang, H. Wu, W. Zeng, Z. Liang, Biotechnol. Appl. Biochem. 66 (2019) 108–118. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/bab.1703</u>.
- [16] Recommended Dietary Allowances, 10th Edition, National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine, The National Academies Press, Washington (1989). https://doi.org/10.17226/1349.
- [17] I.D. McKelvie, A. Lyddy-Meaney, in Encyclopedia of Analytical Science, 2nd Edition, P. Worsfold, A.Townshend, C. Poole Eds., Elsevier, Amsterdam

Chem. Ind. Chem. Eng. Q. 30 (4) 285–294 (2024)

(2004), pp. 167-173. ISBN-10: 0127641009.

- [18] H. Robles, in Encyclopedia of Toxicology, 3rd Edition, P. Wexler Ed., Academic Press, Amsterdam (2014), pp. 920–921. ISBN: 9780123864550.
- [19] Dietary Reference Intakes for Calcium, Phosphorus, Magnesium, Vitamin D, and Fluoride Institute of Medicine (US) Standing Committee on the Scientific Evaluation of Dietary Reference Intakes National Academies Press (US), Washington (DC) (1997) https://doi.org/10.17226/5776.
- [20] S. Markov, R. Malbaša, M. Hauk, D. Cvetković, Acta Period. Technol. 32 (2001) 133–138. <u>http://scindeksclanci.ceon.rs/data/pdf/1450-7188/2001/1450-71880132133m.pdf</u>.
- [21] S. Markov, D. Cvetković, A. Velićanski, Arch. Biol. Sci. 64 (2012) 1439-1447. <u>https://doi.org/10.2298/ABS1204439M</u>.
- [22] G.L. Miller, Anal. Chem. 31 (1959) 426–428. https://doi.org/10.1021/ac60147a030.
- [23] R.S. Jackson, in Wine Science: Principles and Applications, 4th Edition, R.S. Jackson, Ed., Academic Press, San Diego (2014), pp. 69–141, ISBN: 978-0-12-381468-5.
- [24] R.S. Jackson, in Wine Science: Principles and Applications, 4th Edition, R.S. Jackson, Ed., Academic Press, San Diego (2014), pp. 347–426. ISBN: 978-0-12-381468-5.
- [25] S. Kravić, Z. Stojanović, Analiza hrane, vode, zemljišta, vazduha u predmeta opšte upotrebe - praktikum, Univerzitet u Novom Sadu, Tehnološki fakultet Novi Sad, Novi Sad, Serbia (in Serbian) (2016), pp. 18–24, pp. 54– 60. ISBN: 978-86-6253-062-2.
- [26] J. Trajković, J. Baras, M. Mirić, S. Šiler, Analize životnih namirnica, Univerzitet u Beogradu, Tehnološko-metalurški fakultet, Beograd, Jugoslavija (in Serbian) (1983), pp. 75– 80.
- [27] P. Blanc, Biotechnol. Lett. 18 (1996) 139–142. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00128667.
- [28] Y.A. Ramírez Tapias, M.V. Di Monte, M.A. Peltzer, A.G. Salvay, Food Chem. 372 (2022) 131346. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2021.131346</u>.
- [29] T. Aung, J.B. Eun, LWT Food Sci. Technol. 154 (2022) 112643. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2021.112643</u>.
- [30] E. Leonarski, K. Cesca, E. Zanella, B.U. Stambuk, D. de Oliveira, P. Poletto, LWT - Food Sci. Technol. 135 (2021) 110075. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2020.110075</u>.
- [31] S. Varjani, W. Yan, A. Priya, F. Xin, C.S.K. Lin, Curr. Opin. Green Sustain. Chem. 41 (2023) 100806. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cogsc.2023.100806</u>.
- [32] S. Vukmanović, J. Vitas, A. Ranitović, D. Cvetković, A. Tomić, R. Malbaša, LWT - Food Sci. Technol. 154 (2022) 112726. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2021.112726</u>.
- [33] P. Sharma, V.K. Gaur, R. Sirohi, S. Varjani, S.H. Kim, J.W.C. Wong, Bioresour. Technol. 325 (2021) 124684. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2021.124684</u>.
- [34] <u>https://ods.od.nih.gov/factsheets/Phosphorus-</u> <u>HealthProfessional/</u> [accessed 28 May 2023].
- [35] M.I. Kluz, K. Pietrzyk, M. Pastuszczak, M. Kacaniova, A. Kita, I. Kapusta, G. Zaguła, E. Zagrobelna, K. Struś, K. Marciniak-Lukasiak, J. Stanek-Tarkowska, A.V. Timar, C.

Chem. Ind. Chem. Eng. Q. 30 (4) 285–294 (2024)

Puchalski, Foods 11 (2022) 1523. https://doi.org/10.3390/FOODS11101523.

- [36] R.K. Jadhav, Int. J. Bioassays 4.9 (2015) 4329–4332. https://www.ijbio.com/articles/distribution-of-ash-calciumand-phosphorus-in-the-byproducts-of-green-cropfractionation.pdf.
- [37] A.N. Hall, I. Husain, K.S. Tiwari, T. K. Walker, J. Appl. Bacteriol. 19 (1956) 31–35. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-</u>
- [40] A. Mendes-Ferreira, A. Mendes-Faia, C. Leao, J. Appl. Microbiol. 97 (2004) 540–545. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2672.2004.02331.x.</u>

STEFAN VUKMANOVIĆ<sup>1</sup> JASMINA VITAS<sup>1</sup> SNEŽANA KRAVIĆ<sup>1</sup> ZORICA STOJANOVIĆ<sup>1</sup> ANA ĐUROVIĆ<sup>1</sup> BILJANA CVETKOVIĆ<sup>2</sup> RADOMIR MALBAŠA<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Univerzitet u Novom Sadu, Tehnološki fakultet Novi Sad, Bulevar cara Lazara 1, 21000 Novi Sad, Republika Srbija

<sup>2</sup>Univerzitet u Novom Sadu, Naučni institut za prehrambene tehnologije, Bulevar cara Lazara 1, 21000 Novi Sad, Republika Srbija

NAUČNI RAD

2672.1956.tb00040.x.

- [38] J.R. Broach, Genet. 192 (2012) 73–105. <u>https://doi.org/</u> 10.1534/genetics.111.135731.
- [39] V.E. Agbazue, A. Ibezim, N.R. Ekere, Int. J. Chem. Sci. 12 (2014) 327–334. <u>https://www.tsijournals.com/articles/assessment-of-sugar-levels-in-different-soft-drinks.pdf</u>.

## UTICAJ GLAVNIH PROIZVODNIH PROMENLJIVIH NA NUTRITIVNE KARAKTERISTIKE KOMBUHE NA OTPADNOM TOKU IZ PROIZVODNJE VINA

Određivanje potrošnje šećera, azota i fosfora od strane kulture kombuhe je potrebno da bi se dobio dodatni uvid u metaboličke puteve kombuhe i nutritivnu vrednost proizvedenih napitaka kao i da bi se postavila osnova za optimizaciju uslova proizvodnje na industrijskom nivou. Kombuha napitak je dobijen korišćenjem otpadnog toka koji nastaje u fazi bistrenja šire grožđa u proizvodnji belog vina. Fermentacioni medijum je pripremljen razblaživanjem sterilizovanog početnog medijuma, koji je imao oko 16% ukupnih redukujućih šećera, na sadržaj ukupnih redukujućih šećera koji je iznosio 3, 5 i 7%. Vreme trajanja fermentacije je bilo devet dana na temperaturi od 20, 25 i 30 °C. Sadržaj šećera, azota i fosfora je određen primenom DNS, Kjeldal i molibden plavo metode, redom. Najveći sadržaj šećera je izmeren u početnom medijumu (16.34%), a najmanji je određen u uzorku nakon devet dana fermentacije na 30 °C sa 3% početnog sadržaja šećera (0.17%). Preostali nivoi šećera, azota i fosfora u uzorcima nakon tri dana fermentacije su modelovani primenom metode odzivne površine. Predloženi modeli za sadržaj šećera i azota su pokazali izuzetnu korelaciju sa eksperimentalno dobijenim vrednostima. Ovim radom je obezbeđeno dobijanje uvida u potrošnju osnovnih nutrijenata od strane kulture kombuhe, nutritivnu vrednost i optimizaciju sastava kombuha proizvoda.

Ključne reči: kombuha, iskorišćenje hranljivih materija, šećeri, azot, fosfor.



Available online at Association of the Chemical Engineers of Serbia AChE www.ache.org.rs/CICEQ

Chem. Ind. Chem. Eng. Q. 30 (4) 295-307 (2024)

CI&CEQ

### KUMUTHA RAMALINGAM<sup>1</sup> ILAIYAVEL SIVAKUMARAN<sup>2</sup> MATHANBABU MARIAPPAN<sup>3</sup> BARATHIRAJA RAJENDRAN<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mechanical Engineering, Loyola Institute of Technology, Palanchur, Chennai, India

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mechanical Engineering, Sri Venkateswara College of Engineering, Pennalur, Sriperumbudur, Chennai, India

<sup>3</sup>Department of Mechanical Engineering, Government College of Engineering, Bargur, Krishnagiri, Tamilnadu, India

<sup>4</sup>Department of Mechanical Engineering, Einstein College of Engineering, Tirunelveli, Tamilnadu, India

## EFFECT OF SALT BATH NITRIDING ON TRIBOLOGICAL PROPERTIES OF AISI52100 STEEL COATINGS USING RESPONSE SURFACE METHODOLOGY

#### Article Highlights

- · This investigation employs the salt bath nitriding to extend the service life
- Improve the surface characteristics of AISI 52100
- Surface hardness, friction coefficient, wear coefficient, loss in wear is measured
- The response surface methodology (RSM) design approach was performed to find the best outcomes
- Processing maps to be developed can be effectively used to identify the feasible working range

#### Abstract

This investigation employs the salt bath nitriding to extend the service life and improve the surface characteristics of AISI 52100, commonly employed in bearing applications. A wear test was conducted using a pin-on-disc device according to the ASTM G-99 standard, and the findings show a 30% reduction in wear loss. Sliding wear experiments were conducted at 1.5 m/s with a 5 N force at room temperature on uncoated and nitrided pins which was obtained as optimum value from RSM. The three variables and five levels of central composite design (CCD) were utilized to reduce the number of trials and the model relations were examined through ANOVA. Surface hardness, friction coefficient, wear coefficient, and loss in wear are measured over pins without coating and nitride pins. Investigations were done into how 5W30 oil affected passive and drip lubrication. Nitride substrates had a hardness of 590 HV. Also, nitriding has a favorable effect on the friction coefficient, lowering it by up to 23%. The 5W30 lubricant will further decrease the friction coefficient. The lowest friction coefficient was seen with the addition of 14% drip oil lubrication. Significantly less wear loss in the pin was caused by a combination of high hardness and low friction coefficient.

#### SCIENTIFIC PAPER

UDC 669.14-155.3:621:620:061.23

Keywords: salt bath nitriding, wear, hardness, friction coefficient, lubrication.

AISI 52100 steel is used as a bearing element predominantly in a number of applications like bearings for antifriction, cams, crankshafts, etc. [1]. The major element present in AISI 52100 steel is chromium, along

E-mail: kamaleshmp@gmail.com Paper received: 24 August, 2023 Paper revised: 6 January, 2024 Paper accepted: 11 February, 2024

https://doi.org/10.2298/CICEQ230824002R

with carbon, manganese, and silicon. Although the presence of chromium makes this steel more corrosiveresistant and wear-resistant, since these bearings are working relentlessly, they may undergo wear and corrode. One of the most versatile methods to protect against wear and corrosion is surface treatment [2]. Several coating methods are available to maintain the performance of the bearing during operation [3]. The most commonly used coating process for improving the wear resistance of steel is salt bath nitriding [4]. In comparison to other surface treatment processes like carburizing and carbo nitriding, this salt nitriding

Correspondence: K. Ramalingam, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Loyola Institute of Technology, Palanchur, Chennai-600123, India.

process is found to be best at improving surface hardness and corrosion resistance [5].

In the salt bath nitriding process, the nitrides are deposited in the layer of steel. This nitride then reacts with chromium to form a passive layer of CrN, which is white or pale yellow. This layer is extremely hard and will not corrode or wear easily. The over-hardness of the surface may lead to brittleness, so it is machined in some cases [6,7]. The passive layer formed is in. It is thin and extremely hard. The use of lubricants is an avoidable factor in the case of bearings, so it is important to analyze the performance of surfacetreated bearings with the application of lubricants [8]. One of the simplest and most reliable methods of lubrication that is commonly used is drip oil lubrication, which involves dripping oil periodically onto the bearing surfaces, creating a thin film that reduces friction and wear [9]. Passive oil lubrication is another promising method of lubrication in which the flow of lubrication is achieved without the aid of external forces [10]. Since many parameters are involved, optimization of the process is important, which can be done by the RSM method due to its efficacy in producing results [11].

Nitriding is an old-age technique that is followed still to improve the performance of various types of steel, so a lot of work has been done in nitriding on various types of steel. Low-temperature salt nitriding was done on austenitic stainless steel by Charan et. al. [12]. The results of the wear test conducted using a pin-on-disc apparatus revealed that the wear rate was decreased for nitrided specimens in comparison to uncoated specimens. AISI 421 martensite steel was salt bath nitrided by Deshini et.al. [13]. Initially, tempering treatments were carried out followed by salt bath nitriding. SEM image results showed uniform coating and a reduced wear rate was observed in the pin and disc experiment. Srikanth et.al. [14], subjected three specimens of austenitic stainless steel to salt nitriding at 570 °C for different timings of 60 minutes, 120 minutes, and 180 minutes. It was found that wear volume was reduced to a great extent after nitriding. Jun Wang et al. [15] performed salt bath nitriding on 304 austenitic stainless steel. The outcomes of the experimentation revealed that the thickness of the coating improved with an increase in nitriding time. The specimens that were subjected to nitriding for 16 hours were found to have the best corrosive resistance.

Colombini *et al.* [16] used response surface methodology to optimize the parameters involved in the nitriding process. Using RSM it was able to obtain the number of surface hardness values and different wear rates for various parameters. The minimum wear rate was observed for the sample which was laser quenched at 1150 °C. Hamad *et al.* [17] incorporated

the design of an experimental statistical method to optimize the laser nitriding process. The optimized parameters were 2.84 kW of laser power, 5 mm/s scanning speed, and a 2076 L/h nitrogen flow rate. Based on the prediction, the maximum microhardness was 1920 HV0.15, but the maximum microhardness was 1382 HV0.15. In this investigation, three variablefive levels central composite design (CCD) were utilized to reduce the number of trials, and the model relations were examined through ANOVA.

Although a lot of work has been carried out in the field of salt bath nitriding for various types of steel, no literature records were found for nitriding on AISI 52100 steel along with drip and passive lubrication. This makes this research a novel contribution to the field of nitriding. The foremost aim of salt bath nitriding is to increase the AISI 52100 steel's service life and further reduce wear loss and friction *coefficient* (COF) through passive and drip lubrication. This study attempts to scientifically observe the surface characteristics, such as wear and hardness, of salt-nitrided AISI steel for coated and uncoated specimens. Response surface methodology is used for optimizing the number of parameters involved to find the best outcome.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Sample preparation

The material used is AISI 52100 steel purchased in the form of substrates and rods. The specimens used are shown in Figure 1. The chemical composition of the steel is listed in Table 1a.

Using the CNC machine, from the steel rod purchased, the pins for the wear test were prepared for 8 mm diameter and 32 mm length. The specimens were initially ground, and to achieve a good surface finish, polishing was done using emery paper of different granule sizes, from 240 to 2000 mesh. The ASME Y 14.5 standard was used to verify the flatness, roughness, and perpendicularity of the material.

#### Nitriding

Three processes make up the nitriding process: pre-oxidation, salt bath nitriding, and cooling. Before being nitrided, the samples were pre-oxidized at 350 °C in a salt bath pre-oxidation medium or an air furnace.

The nitriding process done is salt bath nitriding. It consists of three steps: pre-oxidation, salt bath nitriding, and cooling. In the pre-oxidation process, the steel is heated in air at a temperature of 350 °C in an air furnace. A thin oxide layer is formed during preoxidation, which improves adhesion, enhances the

Table 1a. Material composition in weight percentage.									
С	Cr	Si	Mn	S	Р	Fe			
1.1	1.4	0.26	0.39	0.019	0.011	Bal			

Table 1b. Salt proportion in weight percentage								
Salt	Proportion in weight %	Purpose						
Potassium nitrate (KNO <sub>3</sub> )	70	Diffusion of nitrogen						
Sodium carbonate (Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> )	20	Fluxing agent						
Carbamide (CO(NH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	5	Adjust melting point						
Borides (Na <sub>2</sub> B <sub>4</sub> O <sub>7</sub> )	4	Enhance wear resistance						
Aluminium oxide (Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> )	1	Nitride layer adhesion						



Figure 1. (a) Specimens used for experimentation and (b) different layers observed in SEM-EDS (compound layer, diffusion zone, and white layer).

diffusivity of nitrogen atoms and reduces white layer formation. Then the substrate is dipped in a molten salt bath. The proportion of each salt in the salt bath is tabulated in Table 1b.

The samples are immersed in a salt bath for three hours at 565  $\pm$  8 °C. The range of temperature for nitriding is from 500 °C to 700 °C for low-temperature nitriding [18], which represents the ferritic that occur at 570 °C and 700 °C, respectively. The austenitic phase occurs above 900 °C. This is high-temperature nitriding. Two types of nitridings are commonly carried out: ferritic nitrocarburizing (FNC) and higher

temperature nitriding known as austenitic nitrocarburizing (ANC). In this work, FNC is carried out. Finally, the specimens were cooled in water at room temperature [19].

#### Lubricant

5W30 oil was employed as the lubricant in this experiment. The oil has a kinematic viscosity of  $63.2 \text{ m}^2$ /s at 40 °C and 10.5 at 100 °C. It has a density of 0.859 x 10<sup>3</sup> kg/m<sup>3</sup>. Before conducting wear testing, nitriding was applied over passive, and drip oil lubrication was done for about 10 minutes at room

temperature. Oil lubrication reduces the heat produced by friction.

#### Surface characterization

#### Surface roughness (Ra) and Hardness

According to the ASTM E950 standard, the

surface roughness was assessed using a profilometer. The roughness value was first determined after the pin's perpendicularity and flatness were verified. The micro-Vickers hardness tester was used to measure surface hardness. The hardness with respect to the depth is shown in Figure 2a.



Figure 2. (a) Microhardness with respect to depth (b) EDS image for uncoated; and (c) EDS pattern for coated AISI 52100 Pins.

#### Wear

The wear performance of materials is frequently determined through testing on pin-on-disc machinery using the ASTM G99 standard approach. It provides a standard procedure for conducting sliding wear testing in laboratories. The experiments were carried out using a force of 5 N as well as a uniform sliding radius of 10 mm at a speed of 1.5 m/s which is selected as the optimum combination from the RSM optimization. The disc is rotated at 300 rpm, and the sliding distance is 3000 m. Load cell series were used to measure the tangential force during testing, and a computerized data-collecting system kept track of it. In each case, the average value of the pins was utilized to estimate the friction coefficient, wear loss, and wear coefficient of the pin.

#### Morphology and structural composition

By observing the coated specimen at various magnifications (10  $\mu$ m, 20  $\mu$ m, 50  $\mu$ m, 100  $\mu$ m, and 200  $\mu$ m) in the VEGA3 TESCAN SEM equipment it was able to make the microstructural examination visible. Double-sided conductive carbon adhesive tapes were used to position and secure the specimen to the SEM holder and prevent charge buildup while it was exposed to the electron beam.

This test is also useful in determining the component's chemical composition along with the corresponding weight proportion, which is known as EDX analysis. This research will assist in improving the structural composition of the material concerning the appropriate chemical elements that are present.

The fundamental requirement in any process is the selection of appropriate input parameters for generating the best outcome. For the present study, three important parameters were considered: type of coating, sliding velocity, and applied load. The output performances considered were wear and COF. The RSM approach was utilized to achieve the salt bath nitriding process on AISI52100 Steel. The levels, the machining variables, and the DoE are listed in Table 2. Optimal DOE was implemented to reduce the required experimental trials [20,21].

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The variation in microhardness of nitriding-coated substrates for different layers can be seen in Figure 2. Surface hardness values show evidence of nitriding, which is represented graphically in Figure 3. The values obtained are in line with those obtained by researchers in the literature for other steels that were nitride. The microstructure of the salt bath layer is depicted in Figure 1b. Optical microscope observations revealed that 321.9 HV0.1 is the core hardness, and the yellow highlighted area (Figure 2) represents the cutoff hardness at a depth of 315 micrometers. There was a compound layer between 11 and 13 microns. The diffuse zone is also seen in Figure 2, beneath the white layer. [22]. The diffusion layer and two compound layers make up the nitriding layer [23].

The EDX analysis of the substrate shows the phases of the steel matrix as well as a group of phases that are linked to chromium and are spread out in the structures of the material. The peaks in the matrix belong to Fe, whereas the remaining phases are carbides produced by alloying elements. The EDS image and spectrum are displayed in Figure 2b.

According to the outcome of the energydispersive X-ray investigation, as shown in Figure 2b, the uncoated sample contained the key components (C, Fe, Ni, and Cr). The greatest amounts of the unnormalized percentage of weight concentration, the normalized percentage of weight concentration, the proportion of atomic weight, and the weight percentage concentration inaccuracy at the sigma level one are all present in the carbon element. The results also showed that the phosphorus components have the lowest atomic weight percentage, unnormalized weight percentage. concentration normalized weight concentration proportion, and concentration of weight percentage error at the one sigma level.

#### Influence of process parameters on wear

As seen in Figure 3, the material was noticeably peeled off, along with the fissures that had developed on the uncoated specimen surface. The properties of the diffusion zone were examined in this work. A clear and distinct compound layer is visible in every SEM image, and the compound layer will have incredibly small micro-etches pits.

Figures 3b and Figures 3c depict the thick free compound layers found in the etch pits 3 (b). When small amounts of nitrogen dissolve just below the compound region, the substrate's wear resistance is affected by the forms of nitrides that are rarely made. On the other hand, when there are higher nitrogen diffusion zones, more nitrides are made, which strengthens the wear resistance.

The nitride pin's wear track is shown in Figure 3d. The interior of the wear track is visible with wear debris and delamination. For steady-state wear, Archard [24] proposed Eq. (1) for volumetric material loss as:

$$V = \frac{K_{S} \cdot P \cdot L}{3H} \tag{1}$$

299

Factors/Level	Type of co	pating	Sliding velocity (	B) in m/s	Applied load (A) in N
-1	Coating 1 (Niti	1(0.3 m/s	5)	5	
0	Coating 2 (Nitriding+D	2(1 m/s)	)	25	
1	Coating 3 (Nitriding+ par	ssive oil Lubrication)	3(1.5 m/s	5)	50
	Proc	ess Parameters			Responses
Exp. No.	Type of coating	Sliding	Applied load (A) in	Wear (µm)	Coefficient of
		velocity (B) in	Ν		Friction
		m/s			
1	1	1 (0.3 m/s)	5	0.0647563	0.54945
2	1	1	25	0.0475563	0.446506
3	1	1	50	0.0462875	0.282919
4	1	2 (1 m/s)	5	0.0709812	0.3627
5	1	2	25	0.0545875	0.370225
6	1	2	50	0.0548187	0.341662
7	1	3 (1.5 m/s)	5	0.02895	0.155006
8	1	3	25	0.0124937	0.242981
9	1	3	50	0.013475	0.31705
10	2	1	5	0.0433562	0.315606
11	2	1	25	0.0293625	0.357637
12	2	1	50	0.0328	0.372287
13	2	2	5	0.0531063	0.0856937
14	2	2	25	0.0397187	0.239725
15	2	2	50	0.0434875	0.3857
16	2	3	5	0.0134562	-0.213031
17	2	3	25	0.00074375	0.0742437
18	2	3	50	0.0049625	0.33905
19	3	1	5	0.00909375	0.302638
20	3	1	25	0.0245625	0.242119
21	3	1	50	0.0650312	0.131944
22	3	2	5	0.0324813	0.191238
23	3	2	25	0.0492125	0.241363
24	3	2	50	0.1029	0.2703
25	3	3	5	0.003725	0.0530938
26	3	3	25	0.0214625	0.174613
27	3	3	50	0.059175	0.325694

Table 2. Variables, levels, and RSM optimal design with experimental results.

where V is the volumetric material loss, L is the slide over a length L P is the perpendicular force toward the worn layer, H is the pin's Brinell hardness value, and Ks is the standard wear coefficient.

Considering specific parameters of V, P, L, and H, the normal coefficient of wear can be determined using Eq. (2):

$$K_{S} = \frac{3HV}{PL}$$
(2)

Volumetric wear loss can be calculated using the weight loss W and density.

The larger preliminary running-in rate of wear, according to Yang [24], will initially have a greater value inside the transitional wear phase and may gradually 300

acquire a constant level whenever the wear loss approaches a uniform level. The wear coefficient varies as there is a change in the distance of sliding, as seen in Figure 4a. It has been found that greater sliding distance causes a decrease in the wear coefficient. Nitriding with drip oil lubrication pins, however, exhibits the lowest wear coefficient under identical circumstances. The lowest volumetric loss ever observed is the main factor. According to the literature [25], the dehydrated, as well as the changed fresh surface coating, is more reactive to lubrication than the original Huralite.

Figure 4a depicts the sliding distance and wear. It reveals the wear COF of uncoated, coated, nitriding with passive oil lubrication, and nitriding with drip oil



Figure 3. SEM image for nitride specimen.







Figure 4. (a) Sliding distance vs wear and (b) wear loss with respect to coating.

lubrication. The starting and ultimate weights of the pin are used to compute the wear loss. When nitriding, drip oil-lubricated pins show lower wear loss than uncoated pins. Table 3 shows the ANOVA for wear. From that, it is observed that the sliding velocity is the most predominant parameter that affects the surface quality. The  $R^2$  value for wear is 0.9920. The model is significant.

From Figure 4b, it is evident that the uncoated sample will have a more significant wear loss compared to the coated specimen. Nitriding with drip oil lubrication, Nitriding with passive oil lubrication, and Nitriding coating methods were used in this experimentation. Among all the three coating methodologies, the Nitriding with drip oil lubrication methodology was observed to produce less wear loss. When compared to the surface of the AISI steel substrate, there was a significant drop. The wear markings on AISI steel are much wider than the wear marks on the other samples, which are at different widths. This is so that the AISI 52100 steel's wear resistance may be greatly increased by the nitriding surface. The correlation graphs between the predicted and actual values for wear and COF are displayed in Figure 5 (a,b).

Figure 5 (c–e) presents the 3D surface images for the wear of nitriding, nitriding with drip oil lubrication, and nitriding with passive oil lubrication. It is clearly observed that the applied load is directly proportional to the wear. Figure 5c illustrates the increased wear that the nitriding pin produces. During the nitriding process, the amount of nitrogen diffused into the sample surface determines the wear resistance of the specimen. From the detailed experimental procedure, it can be inferred that when the nitriding process is aided by proper lubrication, a higher concentration of nitrogen will diffuse into the steel periphery. In relevance to the characteristics, the Nitriding process

			ANOVA of Wear			
Source	Σ <sup>2</sup>	Dof	Mean Squares	F-statistics	p-value	Remarks
Model	0.0152	11	0.0014	168.52	< 0.0001	significant
A-Sliding Velocity	0.0017	1	0.0017	211.55	< 0.0001	
<b>B-Applied Load</b>	0.0007	1	0.0007	81.29	< 0.0001	
C-Type of coating	0.0011	2	0.0006	67.07	< 0.0001	
AB	3.156E-06	1	3.156E-06	0.3844	0.5446	
AC	0.0008	2	0.0004	47.53	< 0.0001	
BC	0.0055	2	0.0028	335.54	< 0.0001	
A²	0.0049	1	0.0049	593.73	< 0.0001	
B²	0.0005	1	0.0005	66.46	< 0.0001	
Residual	0.0001	15	8.209E-06	R²		0.9920
Cor Total	0.0153	26		Adjusted R	2	0.9861
				Predicted F	<b>}</b> ²	0.9728
				Adeq Precision		49.5553
			ANOVA of COF			
Source	Σ2	Dof	Mean Squares	F-statistics	p-value	Remarks
Model	0.5751	11	0.0523	353.05	< 0.0001	significant
A-Sliding Velocity	0.1246	1	0.1246	841.00	< 0.0001	
<b>B-Applied Load</b>	0.0506	1	0.0506	341.75	< 0.0001	
C-Type of coating	0.0935	2	0.0468	315.80	< 0.0001	
AB	0.1562	1	0.1562	1054.73	< 0.0001	
AC	0.0438	2	0.0219	147.71	< 0.0001	
BC	0.0940	2	0.0470	317.20	< 0.0001	
A <sup>2</sup>	0.0107	1	0.0107	72.09	< 0.0001	
B <sup>2</sup>	0.0019	1	0.0019	12.51	0.0030	
Residual	0.0022	15	0.0001	R²		0.9962
Cor Total	0.5774	26		Adjuste	d R²	0.9933
				Predicte	ed R <sup>2</sup>	0.9853
				Adeq Pre	cision	91.1079

Table 3. ANOVA of Wear and COF.

without lubrication will eventually diffuse less nitrogen than the Nitriding aided by drip and passive lubrication systems.

It can in turn result in insignificant wear resistance improvement compared to the nitriding methods with lubrication. The sliding velocity and applied load can influence the wear values of the nitrided steel. However, the range of wear will be high in the plain nitride process when compared with the other methods. Out of all the three methods of nitriding investigated in this research, the drip lubrication nitriding procedure proved to be capable of depositing a greater quantity of nitrogen on the surface. This makes the specimen treated with drip lubrication nitriding have good wear properties when compared with the other two methods. The outcome can be clearly understood from Figure 5d.

From Figure 5 (c–e), the amount of nitrogen deposition determines the wear properties of any metal. It is understood from the inference that nitriding methodologies with lubrication can ultimately deposit a significant quantity of nitrogen on the steel surface. The amount of nitrogen sediment on the sample surface in the case of nitriding with passive lubrication will be higher than in the plain nitrogen-adding process. It can make the specimen that undergoes passive lubrication nitriding have better wear characteristics than those of the normal nitriding procedure.

#### Influence of process parameters on COF

The necessary test protocols were used to conduct pin-on-disc testing at around 1.5 meters per



Figure 5. (a–b) Correlation graphs for wear and COF; (c-e) 3D surface graphs of nitriding nitriding with drip oil lubrication nitriding with passive oil lubrication wear; (f-h) COF (i) friction coefficient vs sliding distance.

second as obtained from RSM as an optimized value [26]. The wear, frictional force, and time were measured under a load of 10 N at every 15 minutes of sliding. Figure 5i depicts the relationship between friction coefficient and sliding distance for uncoated, nitrided, nitrided with passive oil, and nitrided with drip oil lubrication.

The difference in the COF with respect to the sliding velocity of the specimens' nitrides with different methods is detailed in Figure 5 (f–h). From the investigation, it is evident that the drip lubrication nitriding method can produce significantly less COF friction than the other two methods. The specimens that are being nitrided with drip lubrication exhibit less wear loss due to their low COF.

It demonstrates the pattern with which the COF reduces with sliding distance, which is a distinctive aspect of the diagrams. The load does not affect the friction coefficient of pure metals; nevertheless, on the surface of a nitrided metal, the breakdown in the nitride layer can change the COF. By nitriding with drip oil lubrication, the friction coefficient can be reduced by 0.1. Table 3 represents the ANOVA for COF. From the table, it can be inferred that the sliding velocity is the most influential parameter that affects the quality.  $R^2$  for COF is 0.9962, and the adequate precision is 91.1079.

Comparing the nitriding, drip lubrication nitriding, and passive lubrication methodologies, the nitriding treatment sample exhibits more COF. The nitriding 303 process without lubrication can result in a more significant variation of COF concerning the sliding velocity and applied load. The plain nitrided samples will have a COF value of 0.5, and the value drastically changes with sliding velocity and load, as shown in Figure 5f. The drip lubrication process, including the nitriding process, can stabilize the variation of COF with respect to sliding velocity and applied load, as shown in Figure 5i. By treating the AISI 52100 steel with a drip lubrication nitriding process, the COF can eventually be reduced, which in turn enhances the wear characteristics of the material. Comparing all three nitriding techniques, the drip lubrication nitriding procedure can restrict wear significantly with its lower COF values. During the passive lubrication nitriding process, a moderate quantity of nitrogen will be deposited over the surface of the specimen. This can restrict the wear to a maximum value of 0.3. While contrasting all three nitriding processes, nitriding with passive lubrication can eventually result in drastic variation in COF with respect to the variation of sliding velocity and applied load. The variation pattern of COF is detailed in Figure 5i.

#### Validation of optimizing procedures

The quadratic models are developed for all of the responses (wear and COF) to find the optimal combinations of input variables. The goal of

optimization is to decrease the wear rate. Table 4a displays the outcome of the optimization parameters. The highest limits of wear and COF are 0.1029 and 0.54945, respectively. The final set of process variables is sliding velocity (1.5 m/s) and applied load (5 N).

Figure 6 shows the various solution models created for validating the models. Five models are constructed for predicting the response, and the outcome reveals that the desirability of the produced model is greater than 0.895, which can be inferred from Table 4b. A validation test was also carried out to validate the optimized findings, as shown in Table 4c, which shows the error percentages attained after running confirmation tests. The obtained error percentage is negligible and is 2.41% for sliding velocity and 3.33% for applied load. Since the validation test is carried out using the variables specified from the previous findings and various combination sets are allocated, the results demonstrate that the features seem closely related [27-40].

#### CONCLUSION

Salt bath nitrided pins of surface roughness 0.3 were successfully tested for their friction, wear, and

Table 4a. Conditions of output responses.									
Parameter	Goal	Lower Limit	Upper Limit	Lower Weight	Upper Weight	Importance			
Sliding Velocity	ls in range	6	10	1	1	3			
Applied Load	Is in range	10	30	1	1	3			
Types of	Is in range	Coating 1	Coating 3	1	1	3			
Coating									
Wear	Minimize	0.000743	0.1029	1	1	3			
COF	Minimize	0.1620	0.54945	1	1	3			

		Table 4b. Opt	timum combination	solutions of	multi-responses	for higher desirabl	ilitv.
--	--	---------------	-------------------	--------------	-----------------	---------------------	--------

No	Sliding Velocity	Applied Load	Types of Coatings	Wear	COF	Desirability	
1	1.5	5	3	0.01311	0.183	0.9192	Selected
2	1.48	5	3	0.01315	0.187	0.9160	
3	1.5	4.8	3	0.01320	0.192	0.9140	
4	1.5	4.5	3	0.01364	0.195	0.905	
5	1.4	4.5	3	0.01370	0.198	0.895	

Table 4c. Confirmation experiments for optimization.							
Variable	Settings	Responses	Prediction Value	Experimental Value	% Error		
Sliding Velocity	1.5	Wear	0.01311	0.0145	2.41		
Applied Load	5	COF	0.1836	0.192	3.33		



Figure 6. Desirability graph of variables for RSM.

wear loss under a 5 N load and a sliding velocity of 1.5 meters per second. The pins were tested for four conditions: uncoated, nitrided, nitrided with passive oil lubrication, and nitrided with drip oil lubrication. The important results of these tests are as follows.

When nitriding using drip oil-lubricated pins, the friction coefficient is significantly less when the pins are uncoated. In comparison to uncoated pins, nitride pins with drip oil lubrication experienced a significant reduction in friction coefficient. Response surface methodology optimization was useful in optimizing the parameters involved in the experimentation with a lowlevel error between actual and predicted values. The optimum combination solution obtained of multiresponse for higher desirability is 1.5 m/s sliding velocity, 5 N applied load, and the coating of nitride with drip oil lubrication which has a low wear rate of 0.0131. The combined effect of nitriding and drip oil lubrication leads to a low friction coefficient of 0.101 is observed under 1.5 m/s and 5 N, which is attributed to the enhanced tribological properties. Drip oil lubrication of nitrided substrates reduced the wear coefficient to 0.00218 under a 5 N load and sliding speed of 1.5 m/s which signifies exceptional wear resistance. Nitriding with drip oil lubrication reduces wear loss due to minimized material degradation. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) provides visual evidence of the superior bonding achieved through nitriding with drip oil lubrication. This enhanced bonding plays a key role in the observed reduction in COF and wear exhibited by these pins.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors are grateful to the Government College of Engineering, Bargur, India, and Gandhigram Rural Institute, Dindugal, India for the characterization facility to carry out this investigation and also thankful to Dr. S. Ramesh Babu, Professor & Head, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Sri Venkateswara College of Engineering, Sriperumbudur, India for continuous encouragement and valuable suggestions for the completion of the experimental work.

#### REFERENCES

- D. Umbrello, J. Hua, R. Shivpuri, Mater. Sci. Eng. A. 374(1-2) (2004) 90–100. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.msea.2004.01.012.
- [2] A. Bendavid, P.J. Martin, T.J. Kinder, E.W. Preston, Surf. Coat. Tech. 163-164 (2003) 347–352. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0257-8972(02)00623-0.
- [3] E.M. Berton, B.C.E.S. Kurelo, A.C. Rovani, T. Cousseau, J.C.K. das Neves, G. Pintaude, P.C. Borges, Surf. Topogr.: Metrol. Prop. 10 (2022) 24006. <u>https://doi.org/10.1088/2051-672X/ac7b3a</u>.
- Y. Lin, J. Wang, D.J. .Zeng, Materi. Eng. Perform. 22 (2013) 2567–2573. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11665-013-0545-8</u>.
- J. Wang, Y. Lin, Metall. Mater. Trans. B. 44 (2013) 1010– 1016. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11663-013-9841-9</u>.
- [6] H. Aydin, A. Bayram, Ş. Topçu, Mater. Sci. 19(1) (2013)
   19–24. <u>https://doi.org/10.5755/j01.ms.19.1.3819</u>.
- [7] A. Mahmoudi, M. Esmailian, Adv. Mater. Res. 83-86 (2009) 41–48.
   <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.4028/www.scientific.net/AMR.83-86.41</u>.
- S.C. Sharma, V. Singh, V.K. Chawla, Wear 257(1-2), (2005) 86–95.
   <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.surfcoat.2019.125216</u>.
- K.D. Bakoglidis, I. Nedelcu, I.G. Ivanov, R. Meeuwenoord, S. Schmidt, E. Janzan, P. Ehret, G. Greczynski, L. Hultman, Tribol. Int. 114 (2017) 141–151. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.triboint.2017.04.006.
- [10] L. Hua, T. Jurkschat, T. Lohne, K. Stahl, Lubricants 6(2)

(2018) 47. https://doi.org/10.3390/lubricants6020047.

- [11] I. Saravanan, A.E. Perumal, S.C. Vettivel, N. Selvakumar, A. Baradeswaran, Mater. Des. 67 (2015) 469–482. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matdes.2014.10.051.
- [12] P.S. Charan, K. Jayakumar, D. Alankrutha, G. Sindhu, R. Subbiah, Mater. Today Proc. 27 (2020) 1541–1544, https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MATPR.2020.03.195.
- A. Deshini, S. Sathish, S. Krishnaraj, A. Kumar, J. Saranya, V.S. Viswanth, R. Subbiah, Mater. Today Proc. 82 (2023) 217–221.
   <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2023.01.119">https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2023.01.119</a>.
- [14] S. Srikanth, A.A. Johnson, N. Sateesh, A. Kumar, J. Saranya, V.S. Viswanth, R. Subbiah, Mater. Today Proc. 82 (2023.) 47–52. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2022.11.262</u>.
- [15] J. Wang, Y. Lin, H. Fan, D. Zeng, Q. Peng, B. Shen, JMEP, 21 (2012) 1708–1713. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11665-011-0077-z.</u>
- [16] E. Colombini, R. Sola, G.I.A.N. Parigi, P. Veronesi, G. Poli, Metall. Mater. Trans. A. 45 (2014) 5562–5573, <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11661-014-2490-z</u>.
- [17] A.R. Hamad, J.H. Abboud, F.M. Shuaeib, K.Y. Benyounis, Adv. Eng. Softw. 41(4) (2010) 674–679, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.advengsoft.2009.10.010</u>.
- [18] T. Peng, M. Dai, W. Cai, W. Wei, K. Wei, J. Hu, Appl. Surf. Sci. 484 (2019) 610–615. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsusc.2019.04.134.
- [19] V.T. Bonow, D.S. Maciel, N.L. Fenner, A.R.A. Zimmer, C.G. Zimmer, Clean. Eng. Technol. 4, (2021) 100169, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clet.2021.100169</u>.
- [20] A. Pattanaik, V. Rayasam, Adv. Powder Technol. 29 (2018) 3404–3414. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apt.2018.09.021.
- [21] C. Gopinath, P. Lakshmanan, S. Palani, Mater. Manuf. Process. 37 (2022) 985–994. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/10426914.2021.1981939</u>.
- [22] J. Spille, J. Wranik, S. Barteldes, J. Mayer, A. Schwedt, M. Zurcher, T. Lutz, L. Wang, W. Holweger, Wear. 477 (2021) 203864. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wear.2021.203864.
- [23] A.P. de Andrade Manfridini, G.C.D. de Godoy, L.de Arruda Santos, J. Mater. Res. Technol. 6 (2017) 65–70. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmrt.2016.07.001</u>.
- [24] B. Liu, S. Bruni, R. Lewis. Wear 490-491 (2022) 204188. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wear.2021.204188.
- [25] L.J. Yang, Wear. 225 (1999) 557–562. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0043-1648(98)00380-9.
- [26] G. Yan, S. Lu, M. Zhang, J. Wang, X. Yang, Z. Zhang, J.

Gu, C. Li, Appl. Surf. Sci. 530 (2020) 147306. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsusc.2020.147306.

- [27] W. Grzesik, Z. Zalisz, S. Krol, P. Nieslony, Wear 261(11-12) (2006) 1191–1200. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wear.2006.03.004.
- [28] E.L. Dalibon, G. Prieto, W.R. Tuckart, S.P. Brühl, Surf. Topogr.: Metrol. Prop. 10 (2022) 34003. <u>https://doi.org/10.1088/2051-672X/ac8946</u>.
- [29] L.D. Tadepalli, A.M. Gosala, L. Kondamuru, S.C. Bairi, A.A. Lakshmi, R. Subbiah, E3S Web Conf. 184 (2020) 01020. <u>https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/202018401020</u>.
- [30] J. Ping, X. Cui, J. Pu, X. Jiang, X. Zhang, Y. Zhong, Surf. Topogr.: Metrol. Prop. 8 (2020) 15005. <u>https://doi.org/10.1088/2051-672X/ab6438</u>.
- [31] A. Peric, B. Nedic, D. Trifkovic, M. Vuruna, J. Mech. Eng. 59 (2013) 443–450. <u>http://doi.org/10.5545/sv-jme.2012.870</u>.
- [32] L. Govind Sanjeev Kumar, D. Thirumalaikumarasamy, K. Karthikeyan, M. Mathanbabu, T. Sonar, Int. J. Interact. Des. Manuf. (2023). <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s12008-023-01501-7</u>.
- [33] M. Mathanbabu, D. Thirumalaikumarasamy, M. Tamilselvi, S. Kumar, Mater. Res. Express. 9 (2022) 096505. <u>https://doi.org/10.1088/2053-1591/ac8857</u>.
- [34] S. Seshaiah, D. Sampathkumar, M. Mariappan, A. Mohankumar, G. Balachandran, M. Kaliyamoorthy, R. Gopal, Adv. Mater. Sci. Eng. (2022) 2022. <u>https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/6022550</u>.
- [35] S. Taktak, S. Ulker, I. Gunes, Surf. Coat. Tech. 202(14) (2008) 3367–3377. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.surfcoat.2007.12.015</u>.
- [36] A. Mohankumar, T. Duraisamy, D. Sampathkumar, S. Ranganathan, G. Balachandran, M. Kaliyamoorthy, M. Mariappan, L. Mulugeta, J. Nanomater. (2022) 7900150. <u>https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/7900150</u>.
- [37] H. Nazari, H. Aghajani, F.H.S. Al-Azawi, Surf. Topogr.: Metrol. Prop. 10 (2022) 15049. <u>https://doi.org/10.1088/2051-672X/ac5a73</u>.
- [38] A. Alok, M. Das, J. Manuf. Process. 46 (2019) 16–25. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmapro.2019.08.016.
- [39] M.S. Liborio, G.B. Praxedes, L.L.F. Lima, I.G. Nascimento, R.R.M. Sousa, M. Naeem, T.H. Costa, S.M. Alves, J. Iqbal, J. Surf. Coat. Technol. 384 (2020) 125327. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.surfcoat.2019.125327</u>.
- [40] L.R. Bhandarkar, M. Behera, P.P. Mohanty, S.K. Sarangi, Measurement. 172 (2021) 108842. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.measurement.2020.108842</u>.
KUMUTHA RAMALINGAM<sup>1</sup> ILAIYAVEL SIVAKUMARAN<sup>2</sup> MATHANBABU MARIAPPAN<sup>3</sup> BARATHIRAJA RAJENDRAN<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mechanical Engineering, Loyola Institute of Technology, Palanchur, Chennai, India

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mechanical Engineering, Sri Venkateswara College of Engineering, Pennalur, Sriperumbudur, Chennai, India

<sup>3</sup>Department of Mechanical Engineering, Government College of Engineering, Bargur, Krishnagiri, Tamilnadu, India

<sup>4</sup>Department of Mechanical Engineering, Einstein College of Engineering, Tirunelveli, Tamilnadu, India

# ISTRAŽIVANJE UTICAJA NITRIRANJA SLANOM KUPATILU NA TRIBOLOŠKA SVOJSTVA PREMAZA ČELIKA AISI52100 KORIŠĆENJEM METODOLOGIJE POVRŠINE ODZIVA

Ovo istraživanje koristi nitriranje u slanom kupatilu da bi se produžio vek trajanja i poboljšale karakteristike površine čelika AISI 52100, koji se obično koristi za izradu ležajeva. Test habanja je sproveden korišćenjem pin-on-disc uređaja prema ASTM G-99 standardu, a nalazi pokazuju smanjenje gubitka od habanja za 30%. Eksperimenti kliznog habanja su sprovedeni pri brzini od 1,5 m/s sa silom od 5 N na sobnoj temperaturi na neobloženim i nitriranim čivijama. Ovi uslovu su određeni kao optimalni korišćenjem metodologije površine odziva i centralnog kompozitnog dizajna sa tri faktora na pet nivoa. Razvijeni model je evalurina analizom varijanse (ANOVA). Površinska tvrdoća, koeficijent trenja, koeficijent habanja i gubitak habanjem mereni su za nepremazane i nitrirane čivije. Istraživanja su sprovedena o tome kako ulje 5V30 utiče na pasivno podmazivanje i podmazivanje kap po kap. Nitriranne podloge su imale tvrdoću od 590 HV. Takođe, nitriranje povoljno utiče na koeficijent trenja, snižavajući ga i do 23%. Mazivo 5V30 dodatno smanjuje koeficijent trenja. Najmanji koeficijent trenja je postignut sa dodatkom 14% ulja kap po kap. Kombinacija visoke tvrdoće i niskog koeficijenta trenja značajno smanjuje gubitke habanjem čivija.

Ključne reči: slano kupatilo, nitriranje, habanje, tvrdoća, koeficijent trenja, podmazivanje.

NAUČNI RAD



## SAMAN KHAWAJA<sup>1,2</sup> MUHAMMAD RASHID USMAN<sup>1,3</sup> RABYA ASLAM<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Chemical Engineering and Technology, University of Punjab, New Campus, Lahore, Pakistan

<sup>2</sup>School of Chemical Engineering, Minhaj University Lahore,Civic Center, Twp, Lahore, Pakistan

<sup>3</sup>Engineering Research Centre, University of Punjab, New Campus, Lahore, Pakistan

#### SCIENTIFIC PAPER

UDC 66:662:546.264-31

Available online at Association of the Chemical Engineers of Serbia AChE www.ache.org.rs/CICEQ Chem. Ind. Chem. Eng. Q. 30 (4) 309–323 (2024)

CI&CEQ

# CONCEPTUALIZATION AND PROCESS SIMULATION OF A CO<sub>2</sub>-BASED METHANOL PRODUCTION PLANT

#### Article Highlights

- A process plant based on CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation to methanol is conceptualized and simulated
- A step-by-step hierarchical procedure is adopted to develop the most profitable flowsheet
- Reactor cooling by boiler-feed water with steam generation is found a more favorable solution
- The price of hydrogen has emerged as a major factor in determining the feasibility of the process

#### Abstract

The present study conceptualizes and simulates a methanol production process through the direct hydrogenation of captured CO<sub>2</sub>. CuO/ZnO/ZrO<sub>2</sub> was employed as the catalyst and Aspen HYSYS was used for the process simulation. Configurational optimization of the process flowsheet was carried out using a step-by-step hierarchical approach. Many alternate flowsheets have resulted, and their capital investment, product cost, and profitability measures were calculated. The discrimination among the competing flowsheets was carried out based on net profit and percent return on investment. The retained flowsheet was further analyzed for optimizing the recycle ratio and evaluating the effect of the price of captured CO<sub>2</sub>, green H<sub>2</sub>, natural gas (fuel), and catalyst on the economic performance of the plant. The optimum value of the recycle ratio was computed to be 4.23. Additionally, it was found that the price of  $H_2$  is the most important parameter in defining the feasibility and profitability of the process. Mathematical correlations were also developed that relate the profitability and price of the above-mentioned feed materials.

*Keywords: CO*<sub>2</sub> *capture, CO*<sub>2</sub> *utilization, methanol economy, CO*<sub>2</sub> *hydrogenation, CuO/ZnO/ZrO*<sub>2</sub> *catalyst.* 

Crude oil, natural gas, and coal supply nearly 82% of the world's energy demand [1]. These fossil fuels are a source of a large quantity of human-derived CO<sub>2</sub> that amounted to 34.9 giga tonne, alone in the year 2021 [2]. CO<sub>2</sub> is a greenhouse gas, the second most

E-mail: mrusman.icet@pu.edu.pk Paper received: 17 August, 2023 Paper revised: 5 February, 2024 Paper accepted: 14 February, 2024

https://doi.org/10.2298/CICEQ230817003K

important after water vapors, that is responsible for increasing the Earth's temperature. Scientists believe that the average temperature rise of the Earth's atmosphere from the preindustrial era must be limited to 2°C [3] to avoid the unparalleled catastrophic effects of global warming. To decrease the CO<sub>2</sub> emissions linked with the burning of fossil fuels, the produced CO<sub>2</sub> must be captured and sequestrated or utilized in the production of useful chemicals. Utilizing CO<sub>2</sub> in the production of useful chemicals, not only helps in the management of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions but also exploits the potential chemical resource of CO<sub>2</sub>. One way to utilize CO<sub>2</sub> is to convert it into methanol in the contest of the so-called "Methanol Economy", an idea initiated by Olah [4]. Methanol is a valuable product that is not only

Correspondence: M.R. Usman, Institute of Chemical Engineering and Technology, University of Punjab, New Campus, Lahore 54590, Pakistan.

an alternative fuel, but it is also a precursor to many important chemicals. Methanol is a feedstock for gasoline (MTG), olefins (MTO), formaldehyde, tertamyl methyl ether (TAME), dimethyl ether, acetic acid, methyl tertiary butyl ether (MTBE), methyl esters, etc. When  $CO_2$  for methanol is obtained from an energy

source such as biomass or captured from a power plant and hydrogen is produced from the electrolysis of water, realized by a renewable source of energy, the whole process is believed to be green and sustainable.

In the 70s copper-based heterogeneous catalyst, CuO/ZnO/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, was developed [5] for the methanol synthesis realized by the hydrogenation of CO and CO2. The said hydrogenation process occurs at a modest temperature (200-300°C) [6,7] and at a fairly high pressure (5-10 MPa) [6,7] where a high heat of reaction is involved. The direct conversion of CO2 to methanol occurs under the same operating conditions but with a lower heat of reaction and the formation of reduced amounts of byproducts [8]. The production of water along with methanol may cause expensive downstream methanol purification and may affect the life of the catalyst [9]. CO<sub>2</sub> to methanol synthesis the following three involves reactions; CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation to methanol, reverse water gas shift reaction (RWGS), and CO hydrogenation to methanol:

CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation:

$CO_2 + 3H_2 \rightleftharpoons CH_3OH + H_2O$	$\Delta h^{\circ} = -49.5 \text{ kJ/mol}$	(1)
RWGS:		
$CO_2 + H_2 \rightleftharpoons CO + H_2O$	$\Delta h^{\circ} = +41.2 \text{ kJ/mol}$	(2)
CO hydrogenation:		
$CO + 2H_2 \rightleftharpoons CH_3OH$	$\Lambda h^{\circ} = -90.8 \text{ kJ/mol}$	(3)

Numerous literature studies discuss the process simulation and economic feasibility of the direct synthesis of methanol from CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>. Van-Dal and Bouallou [10] proposed a flow scheme where they integrated CO<sub>2</sub> into a methanol plant with a CO<sub>2</sub> capture facility. The design and simulation of the process were carried out using Aspen Plus. They found that the cost of the capture is considerably reduced as the methanol plant supplies 36% of the thermal energy needed for CO<sub>2</sub> capture. Also, they showed that abatement of 1.2 tonne of CO<sub>2</sub> per tonne of methanol produced is possible. A different source of CO<sub>2</sub> was employed by Matzen et al. [11] as the CO2 was supplied from an ethanol production facility. Wind-based electrolytic H<sub>2</sub> was used and Aspen Plus software was utilized to develop the methanol synthesis plant. The economic evaluation of the process revealed that the cost of the electrolytic hydrogen is the major factor in defining the feasibility of methanol synthesis. Pérez-Fortes et al. [12] adopted the synthesis scheme of Van-Dal and 310

Bouallou [10] and modified its configuration by applying a pinch analysis. Unlike Van-Dal and Bouallou [10], they developed their flowsheet in CHEMCAD software and performed a rather detailed economic analysis. Comparing their analysis with conventional methanol plants, they estimated a decreased capital cost than the traditional plants, however, the price of raw material, i.e., those of green H<sub>2</sub> and captured CO<sub>2</sub>, financially discouraged their proposed scheme. Another study that was targeted to mitigate CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from a bioethanol plant was carried out by Wiesberg et al. [13]. They compared direct CO<sub>2</sub> conversion to methanol (Route A) with CO<sub>2</sub> to methanol by the bi-reforming process (Route B) and used Aspen HYSYS for their work. In bi-reforming, natural gas, steam, and CO<sub>2</sub> were used as reactants to produce syn gas which was converted to methanol. In each case, the methanol synthesis followed a novel scheme where CO<sub>2</sub> was compressed to a restricted pressure in the first reactor and only partially converted to methanol. After separating the methanol, the remaining CO<sub>2</sub> was compressed to a higher pressure and converted in the second reactor. This novel scheme was adopted to save the cost of compression. The authors claimed 5 times more CO<sub>2</sub> consumption in Route A and reasoned that Route A is more profitable than Route B. However, they found neither of the two processes is feasible economically. The flow scheme of Route A was further studied by Borisut and Nuchitprasittichai [14] to minimize the methanol production cost. They applied response surface methodology (RSM) and non-linear programming for the optimization and successfully optimized the process. As a variety of hydrogen sources and production methods can be used for CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation, Kiss et al. [15] employed by-product wet H<sub>2</sub> from the chloralkali industry. They applied a stripper column where the wet hydrogen was contacted with the methanol-water mixture obtained in the product separator. They reasoned that this way CO and CO<sub>2</sub> are virtually removed from the product and wet hydrogen is dried. The process simulation was carried out in Aspen Plus and the results claimed a significant reduction in utility requirements. Roh et al. [16] reviewed the CO<sub>2</sub> conversion processes and discussed the issues and future possibilities in such processes. They suggested finding new and innovative routes that offer reduced CO<sub>2</sub> impacts, are economically viable, and address improved sustainability aspects. The use of biomass for methanol synthesis was studied by Martín and Grossmann [17]. They described an integrated facility for methanol production from switch grass. The concept was to produce syn gas from the biomass and capture some of the CO<sub>2</sub> present in the syn gas. Both the syn gas and the recovered CO<sub>2</sub> were then used to produce methanol in separate synthesis

trains. The authors concluded that massive energy needs and large capital investment are the principal drawbacks of their strategy. The idea of a photocatalytic hydrogen source was employed by AlSayegh et al. [18]. The risk of H<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>2</sub> explosion was prevented by adding captured CO<sub>2</sub> to the system to escape the explosive limits. A membrane separator was then employed to recover an H<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> mixture of a 3:1 molar ratio. The flow diagram of Van-Dal and Bouallou [10] was employed and simulated in Aspen Plus. The authors reported a high production cost of methanol in comparison to conventional methanol from natural gas. To reduce the energy requirements, Szima and Cormos [19] came up with the idea of utilizing the purge stream in a gas turbine and low-temperature offstreams in organic Rankine cycles. The plant simulation was carried out in CHEMCAD and an economic analysis was carried out. With the above modification, they presented an energy-sufficient plant with decreased operational costs. Realizing the fact that cement production is the biggest source of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, Meunier et al. [20] routed captured CO2 from a cement plant to produce methanol. They also performed the life cycle assessment to identify the areas of main environmental concern. Aspen Plus was employed for the simulation and the flow scheme was based on the work of Van-Dal and Bouallou [10]. The process was not found economically practicable owing to the high hydrogen production cost. Also, the environmental analysis found hydrogen supply to be the main environmental concern. In the same year, Nguyen and Zondervan [21] investigated three routes, bi-reforming, tri-reforming, namely and direct hydrogenation of CO<sub>2</sub> to methanol. The analysis was carried out with the help of Aspen Plus. The results demonstrated that reforming processes are more economically practicable though less environmentally friendly and can be employed for an interim period till the CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation with H<sub>2</sub> from a renewable source becomes economically competitive. An algorithmic approach was used by Lee et al. [22] to optimize the methanol synthesis flowsheet. Similar to the work of Wiesberg et al. [13] and Borisut and Nuchitprasittichai [14], they used adiabatic reactors in series with interstage condensation of methanol. They successfully solved the superstructure and obtained an economically optimized design. Campos et al. [23] also used a multibed reactor with interstage condensation of methanol. They too found a substantial increase in single-pass CO<sub>2</sub> conversion, which helped in reducing the overall cost of the process, especially that associated with the recycle structure. The approach of using interstage methanol separation where enhanced single pass conversion has resulted, sounds promising

and is expected to significantly improve the plant

performance. The simulation of CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation to methanol over a non-conventional In-Co catalyst was carried out by Cordero-Lanzac et al. [24]. The authors developed the kinetics of the catalyst and used Aspen Plus for their simulation. Additionally, they carried out the life cycle assessment of the CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation plant linked with a cement plant. Their findings suggest that the methanol plant can not completely overcome the emissions of a cement plant. To reduce the cost of the produced methanol, Yousaf et al. [25] combined the solid oxide electrolyzer (SOE) employed for hydrogen generation with a CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation plant. A slightly modified flowsheet of Van-Dal and Bouallou [10] was employed and Aspen Plus was used for the simulation. In the modified flowsheet, the waste gases were also sent back to the reactor. The authors found a substantial decrease in the cost of methanol production compared to the literature works with proton exchange membranes (PEM) and alkaline electrolyzers. In a more recent work, Haghighatjoo et al. [26] compared the direct and indirect conversion of CO<sub>2</sub> to methanol. The simulation of the two processes was carried out in Aspen Plus and the operating conditions were optimized. They found that the direct method requires lower fixed capital investment as well as poses decreased environmental threats, whereas the indirect method offers higher net profit. Chiou et al. [27] studied six reactor schemes constituting adiabatic and nonadiabatic reactors and compared the schemes for their economic feasibility. The authors came up with the result that a two-reactor system where a non-adiabatic reactor stage followed by an adiabatic stage is the most economical case. They also developed a suitable control system to handle the changes in flow rates and compositions in their process scheme.

In the literature studies discussed above, some discussed flowsheet researchers development, however, a systematic approach to developing a conceptual flowsheet is found to be missing. The discrimination of various rival flowsheets based on profitability analysis is also not done in the literature. For example, Lee et al. [22] used an algorithmic approach to reach their final flowsheet. It is not clear what schemes were compared, but the major focus was on the development of the reactor system (with interstage condensation and separation) and parametric optimization. Borisut and Nuchitprasittichai [14] optimized the parameters for a selected flowsheet that used adiabatic reactors in series with condensation in between the equilibrium reactors. Roh et al. [16] have only partially studied the CO<sub>2</sub> to methanol process and mostly discussed the issues of process systems engineering for carbon dioxide conversion processes and their integration with

other systems. Martín and Grossmann [17] integrated the methanol synthesis from syn gas produced out of switch grass and the methanol synthesis from  $CO_2$ hydrogenation, where  $CO_2$  was obtained during syn gas purification. Their study focused on the integration of the above two schemes and not flowsheet development for  $CO_2$  hydrogenation to methanol. Chiou *et al.* [27] selected a single flowsheet and compared different configurations of reactor systems only. They used a single adiabatic reactor, a single non-adiabatic reactor, and a two-stage adiabatic reactor. No effort was made towards the development of a process flow scheme.

In the present study, inspired by the original work of Douglas [28], a step-by-step hierarchical procedure is developed to discriminate among the alternate flowsheets and to reach the most profitable flowsheet. Knowledge of process design including heuristics and cost estimation is employed to carry out the technoeconomic analysis of the process with a main focus on the configurational optimization conducted through the methodology developed in the present study. Additionally, CuO-ZnO-ZrO<sub>2</sub>, a non-traditional catalyst has been applied for the analysis.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Process and simulation basis

CO<sub>2</sub> captured from a 100 MW natural gas power plant and hydrogen from a green source, i.e., by electrolysis of water using solar or wind energy, was used as the starting point for the simulation. The amount of CO<sub>2</sub> captured was computed for a 60% thermally efficient power plant [29] and a natural gas of the following composition: 90.45% methane, 3.56% ethane, 3.32% N<sub>2</sub>, 0.05% O<sub>2</sub>/Ar, 0.8% propane, 0.17% *n*-butane, 0.16% isobutane, 0.05% *n*-pentane, 0.07% isopentane, 0.04% C<sub>6</sub>+, and 1.34% CO<sub>2</sub> [30] with 112 kg/E6m<sup>3</sup> H<sub>2</sub>O/MMSCF gas [31]. 10% excess air was employed, and 40% relative humidity of air was assumed. With 0.4% impurity (N<sub>2</sub>) in CO<sub>2</sub> feed [32], 796.7564 kmol/h of CO2 was calculated that entered at 1 bar and 25°C to the methanol plant. Pure hydrogen gas [33] at 25°C and a pressure of 30 bar [34] was used in the simulation. Other conditions employed for the simulation are listed below:

- Aspen HYSYS was employed for the steady-state simulation.

- The non-random two-liquid (NRTL) model was applied as the fluid package in association with the vapor phase Soave-Redlich-Kwong (SRK) property model. The NRTL was selected as polar compounds in the liquid phase were expected to be present and it has been employed by other researchers [11,15,35,36] as well. For a better estimation of liquid density, the Costald method was selected for the estimation of liquid density [37].

- For  $CO_2$  compression, a three-stage compressor was used where the pressure ratio in each stage was kept the same.

- Cooling water inlet was at 90 °F (32.22 °C) and the outlet was at 120°F (48.89 °C). The minimum temperature difference of 10 °F (5.56 °C) was maintained [28]. The hot stream outlet temperature was therefore kept at 100 °F (37.78 °C).

- Aspen HYSYS "Conversion Reactor" unit was used to simulate the water-cooled isothermal reactor that operated at 50 bar and 250 °C. An isothermal reactor was selected as methanol synthesis from direct CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation is moderately exothermic in contrast to methanol from CO (nearly half the heat of reaction for CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation compared to that of CO hydrogenation). Therefore, only a moderate rise in temperature in an adiabatic reactor is expected which can be made virtually isothermal in a multitubular reactor. High rates of reaction can be maintained in an isothermal reactor along with an improved yield of methanol compared to an adiabatic reactor. Also, the excess heat evolved from the reaction can be more conveniently utilized for, say, generating steam compared to a multibed reactor with interstage cooling.

- All three reactions outlined above in Eqs. (1–3) were incorporated into the simulation.

- Owing to the superior performance of the CuO-ZnO-ZrO<sub>2</sub> catalyst over CuO-ZnO-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> [38], the former was used in the present study. Arena *et al.* [38] compared the performance of both these catalysts and found that under identical conditions of 50 bar pressure and 513 K temperature, CuO-ZnO-ZrO<sub>2</sub> gives 22.4% CO<sub>2</sub> conversion and 14.3% methanol yield compared to 19.5% CO<sub>2</sub> conversion and 11.9% methanol yield over the CuO-ZnO-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst.

Over a particle bed of the zirconia-based catalyst, according to the experimental data of Yang *et al.* [39] at 50 bar and 250 °C, 15% conversion was regarded for the CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation reaction (Eq. 1), 10% in the case of the RWGS reaction (Eq. 2), and 50% for the CO hydrogenation to methanol reaction (Eq. 3).

- In each simulation run, the molar ratio of H<sub>2</sub> to  $CO_2$  at the reactor inlet was always kept at a constant value of 3:1. The ratio was fixed by varying the molar flow rate of the inlet (makeup) H<sub>2</sub> flow stream.

- Pumps and centrifugal compressors were considered 75% efficient, while adiabatic compressors

were taken as 90% efficient.

- The pressure drop in each heat exchanger was taken as 10 psi (68.95 kPa) which is commonly considered as the maximum allowable pressure drop across a heat exchanger. The pressure drop across the reactor was used as 100 kPa as expected due to the presence of a particle bed.

- Aspen HYSYS "Distillation Column" unit was used for simulating the methanol product column. The column was fitted with a partial condenser, to remove the lighter ends, and it contained 15 theoretical trays. The top pressure was maintained at 110 kPa for which the dew point remained always higher than 100 °F (37.78 °C) and cooling water was therefore used in the condenser. Methanol product purity was set at 98.5 mol% (99.3 wt%) while water purity at the bottom was fixed at 99.9 mol%. 99.0 mol% of methanol in the distillation column feed was recovered in the distillate product. The number of theoretical trays was optimized in initial trials, and in all cases, it fulfilled the above requirements.

#### Flowsheet development

Initially, a trivial flowsheet without recycle, heat integration, and more effective downstream separation was conceived and simulated in Aspen HYSYS. The requisite equipment design was carried out and both the capital and product costs were estimated. Based on these costs, the profitability of the process was computed. Later. а step-by-step hierarchical modification of the flowsheet was realized in light of heuristics and process design understanding. After each change in the flowsheet, the costs and profitability of the process were figured out. A suitable profitability measure was used, which is to be discussed in the next section, to discriminate among the various alternate solutions (flowsheets). By a step-by-step hierarchical approach we mean that for the trivial flowsheet mentioned above, firstly, a recycle structure was introduced and tested to determine whether recycling was important or not. This was followed by product separation where various separation schemes were compared. Knowing the best scheme, the heat integration was carried out and a couple of heat integration strategies were tried. In the last step, the parametric optimization of the final configured flowsheet was carried out. However, only the effect of recycle ratio was studied which was visualized as the most important parameter.

In total, seven flowsheet configurations or cases were constructed as outlined in Table 1. In the initial flowsheet (Case-I), as mentioned earlier, only essential processes and equipment were introduced and no recycling, heat integration, and efficient product separation were employed. While recycling is often necessary for low-conversion systems, however, in some cases adding recycling negatively affects the profitability of the plant. For example, with gas recycling, the recycling compressor and its associated compression cost have to be considered. Also, the recycling increases the size of the equipment (heat exchangers, heaters, reactor vessels, coolers, and phase separator vessels) and the amount of the utilities required together with the additional piping in the recycle loop, which escalates the associated costs. A recycle and a purge stream were introduced in Case II. Many recycling ratios were studied and a suitable value of recycle ratio was selected and applied in the subsequent cases. A second, but low-pressure vaporliquid equilibrium (LP VLE) phase separator was used in the next case (Case III). An absorption column was tried in Case IV and a stabilizer column was introduced in Case V. After finalizing the purification step, heat integration was carried out with cooling water and boiler feed water, respectively, in Case VI and Case VII. Lastly, the recycle ratio was optimized and the effect of the price of the raw materials, energy, and catalyst on the plant's economics was studied for the optimum case (Case VII-11).

Table 1. List of cases simulated in the present study.

		iuuy.
Case	Description	Figure
Ι	Only the essential equipment is employed	Fig. 1a
	and no recycling is used	
П	A gas recycle stream with a purge is	Fig. 1b
	included in Case I	
Ш	A low-pressure VLE separator is integrated	Fig. 2a
	with Case II	
IV	A gas absorber is incorporated in Case III	Fig. 2b
V	A stabilizer column is employed in Case II	Fig. 2c
VI	Heat integration is carried out with Case III,	Fig. 3a
	and cooling water is used for reactor cooling	
VII	Heat integration of Case III is performed,	Fig. 3b
	and boiler feed water is used for reactor	
	cooling with subsequent steam generation	

#### **Economic evaluation**

For the capital cost estimation, the factorial method based on delivered equipment cost [40] was applied. The purchased cost of equipment was calculated by the cost correlations of Seider *et al.* [41] as validated by Feng and Rangaiah [42]. A 10% delivery cost was added to the total purchased equipment cost to obtain the delivered equipment cost. 2% and 15% of total capital investment were set as land cost and working capital, respectively. The required information for calculating the purchased cost of equipment was obtained from the simulation results and when needed additional information was obtained through the design methods discussed below:

Heat exchanger: The surface area (A) was

calculated from the UA value obtained from Aspen HYSYS and dividing UA by the typical overall heat transfer coefficient (U) for the given situation [43]. An average value of the overall heat transfer coefficient is used from the range provided by Sinnot and Towler [43].

Reactor: The weight of the catalyst was calculated from the space velocity, conversion, and selectivity data of Yang *et al.* [39]. Using the calculated weight, the total number of tubes was figured out while considering 1300 kg/m<sup>3</sup> as the bulk density of the catalyst. Each tube was 42.42/50.8 mm ID/OD with a 7.62 m length. The surface area was calculated for the total number of tubes and the cost was calculated for a fixed-head heat exchanger.

Vapor-liquid separator: The diameter and length of the vessel were calculated by the method given by Branan [44] and Watkins [45].

Distillation and absorption columns: The diameter of the column was calculated based on the widely used Fair correlation [46]. Operating velocity was taken as 80% of the flooding velocity. Sieve trays were employed, and a 10% downcomer area was used. Overall column efficiency was taken as 60% for a distillation column and 55% for a gas absorber. 0.457 m tray spacing was used and 1.524 m additional height was added to obtain the total column height.

The details about the elements of the capital cost estimation method used in the present study are provided in Table S1 (Supplementary material).

For the product cost, again the method of Peters et al. [40] was employed. Labor cost was taken as 15% of total product cost while utility costs were based on process module costs and calculated from the recommendations of Ulrich and Vasudevan [47]. The non-condensables from the distillation column and the purge stream were taken as energy credits and therefore, the equivalent cost of energy of these streams was subtracted from the total cost of utilities. The land price was considered paid, so rent on land was taken as zero. 8400 hours were taken as working hours in a year. A fixed value of depreciation for each year, taken as 10% of the fixed capital investment, was used. The unit price of captured CO<sub>2</sub> was considered to be \$0.0471 [48], \$1.5 for green H<sub>2</sub> [49], \$60/kg for the catalyst (expecting a little higher price than an alumina-contained copper-based catalyst), and \$7.3/MMBtu (\$6.9188/GJ) for the natural gas [50]. The price of \$1.5 for green  $H_2$  is the best-case wind scenario coupled with a low-cost electrolyzer. The detailed breakdown of the product cost calculation is shown in the electronic supplementary material in Table S2. Additionally, chemical (CO<sub>2</sub>) conversion 314

efficiency was also calculated by Eq. (4):

$$%CCE = \frac{\text{moles of methanol produced}}{\text{moles of CO}_2 \text{ fed}}$$
(4)

Net profit (NP), return on investment (ROI), and payback period (PBP, based on fixed capital investment) were calculated for the methanol selling price of \$1.5/kg. Income tax was taken as 35% of the gross profit. For comparison purposes, the selling price of methanol (SPM) for 0% ROI (zero net profit) was also calculated. The formulas employed for the above quantities are given in Eqs. S1 S5.

#### Process description

Case I: Fig. 1a shows the flow scheme for Case I, the simplest among all the flow schemes. CO<sub>2</sub> received from a CO<sub>2</sub>-captured plant is compressed from 1 bar to 50.69 bar in a three-stage compressor (K-101) with interstage cooling. H<sub>2</sub> obtained from an electrolyzer at a pressure of 30 bar and 25 °C is compressed in a single-stage H<sub>2</sub> compressor (K-102), again, to 50.69 bar. Both compressors are selected as the centrifugal type. The two gases are heated in a fixedhead shell-and-tube heat exchanger (HE-102) by highpressure steam. The temperature of the outlet stream (Stream 6) reaches 250 °C. The reaction takes place in an isothermal multitubular fixed bed reactor (R-101) at 50 bar and 250 °C. As the methanol synthesis process is exothermic, cooling water is used to maintain the isothermal reactor conditions. The product gases are cooled in a floating head shell-and-tube heat exchanger (HE-104) again by cooling water. The cooled product is a vapor-liquid mixture and enters V-101, a vertical high-pressure vapor-liquid equilibrium (HP VLE) separator. The gases mainly H<sub>2</sub>, CO, and CO<sub>2</sub> vent out at the top while liquid containing primarily methanol and water leaves at the bottom. The pressure of the liquid stream is decreased to 1.5 bar with the help of an expansion valve (VLV-101) and the liquid is directed to a distillation column (T-101) fitted with a partial condenser (fixed head, HE-105) at the top. Noncondensables leave at the top and methanol is recovered as a distillate product. The wastewater is collected at the bottom of the distillation column where low-pressure steam is employed in a kettle-type reboiler (HE-106).

Case II: The process flowsheet for Case II is shown in Fig. 1b. Unlike Case II, the top product of the HP VLE separator (V-101) is recycled back to the reactor inlet. A recycle compressor (K-103) of centrifugal type is employed for this purpose. As the separator is operated at 48.31 bar, only a small increase in pressure is required for the recycle stream (Stream 9R). Additionally, a small stream (Stream 9P) is also purged to avoid the buildup of the inert present



Figure 1. Simulation flowsheet for: (a) Case I–Basecase; (b) Case II–Introduction of recycle stream with purge.

#### in the feed CO<sub>2</sub>.

Case III: As shown in Fig. 2a, the liquid leaving the high-pressure separator (V-101) is expanded in an expansion valve (VLV-101) to release the gases soluble in the methanol-water mixture. The pressure is decreased from 48.31 bar to 2.19 bar and a second VLE separator (V-102) is operated at this lower pressure. Owing to a large liquid-to-vapor ratio, the second separator is horizontally oriented. The gases leaving at the top of the separator are also pressurized and recycled back to the reactor. A reciprocating compressor (K-104) is called for this duty. The liquid departing at the bottom of the LP VLE is subjected to a further decrease in pressure to reach the distillation column (T-101) inlet at a pressure of 1.5 bar.

Case IV: The case is shown in Fig. 2b. The vapors leaving the high and low-pressure VLE separators and the vent vapors from the top of the methanol distillation column are pressurized to 51.88 bar, cooled, and sent to the gas absorber (19 sieve plates, T-102). The water leaving the distillation bottom is cooled and used as a solvent in the gas absorber, i.e., a part of the distillation bottom is cooled to 37.78 °C and pressurized to absorber conditions and used as a solvent for methanol recovery. The solvent leaving at the bottom of the

distillation column is mixed with the LP VLE separator bottom and sent back to the distillation column. This scheme does not require a separate stripper for solvent recovery.

Case V: Fig. 2c depicts the flowsheet of Case-V. The LP VLE separator of Case-III is replaced by a stabilizer column (35 sieve plates, T-102) that operates at 5 bar. Better separation of non-condensable gases from methanol-water guarantees the absence of noncondensable gases in the methanol distillation column (T-101). The stabilizer top product (Stream 10R) is also pressurized and recycled.

Case VI: Heat integration of Case III was carried out as shown in Fig. 3a. The reactor outlet gases (Stream 7) are used to preheat the reactants (Stream 5A). An additional heat exchanger (HE-102P) was therefore installed for this purpose. Moreover, the distillation bottom product (Stream 14) was used to heat the feed stream (Stream 11) to the distillation column to reduce the heat load on the distillation column. The product stream was cooled thereafter.

Case-VII: The flow scheme for Case VII is shown in Fig. 3b. Boiler feed water (Stream bfw) at 200 kPa and 32. 2 °C was used for cooling in the reactor (R-101) and heated up to the saturated conditions (saturated



Figure 2. Simulation flowsheet for: (a) Case III–Addition of a low-pressure VLE separator; (b) Case IV–Addition of a gas absorption unit; (c) Case V–Addition of a stabilizer unit.

water at 120.2 °C). The saturated water was further heated to saturated steam (Stream s2). Part of the saturated steam was used in the reboiler of the methanol distillation column.

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### Flowsheet configuration

The results of simulation and cost analysis for

different cases of flowsheets are shown in Table 2. For Case I, the simplest formulation, the net profit is  $-6.97 \times 10^7$  (%ROI as -158.0), and the selling price of a kg of methanol for zero net profit is \$4.95. Both a negative net profit and a staggeringly high methanol price are quite undesirable. Upon the addition of a recycling structure (Case II), however, a huge economic benefit resulted. For example, for a split ratio (defined as the molar ratio of the flowrate of stream 9P



Figure 3. Simulation flowsheet for: (a) Case VI–Heat integration to Case III with reactor cooled by cooling water; (b) Case VII– Heat integration to Case III with reactor cooled by boiler feed water leading to steam generation.

to the flowrate of stream 9R) of 0.02, %ROI is increased from -158.0% to +23.96% and the price of a kg-methanol obtained for zero net profit is reduced from \$4.95 to a much lower value of \$1.37. At this stage, various split ratios (recycle ratios) were tried and a moderate split ratio of 0.02 was selected and fixed to

be used in the analysis of the subsequent cases. The above value of split ratio corresponds to the recycle ratio (RCR) of 3.92 calculated by taking the ratio of the molar flowrate of the recycled stream (9R2) to the molar flowrate of the makeup hydrogen stream (2).

Case	TCI	TPC	NP*	ROI*	PBP*	Methanol price for	CCE, Eq. 4
Case	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(%)	(yr)	(\$/kg methanol)	(%)
I	44120602.04	153890631.15	-69708730.43	-157.996	-	4.9486	14.65
II	70250734.29	270123796.24	16828805.84	23.9553	2.6190	1.3688	93.00
111	70333475.16	271777961.70	17460563.31	24.8254	2.5506	1.3653	93.87
IV	74903672.84	276465625.74	16646098.24	22.2233	2.7666	1.3728	94.90
V	70669945.94	276029877.3	16752064.48	23.7047	2.6394	1.3719	94.82
VI	82651188.15	265819566.18	21306871.09	25.7793	2.4796	1.3353	93.82
VII	96475299.74	260212347.28	24975190.36	25.8877	2.4718	1.3070	93.82
VII-11	100537614.7	268107909.19	29269639.83	29.1131	2.2598	1.2843	97.45

Table 2. Results of simulation in terms of cost and profitability me
--

\*For price equivalent to \$1.5/kg methanol.

As mentioned earlier, in the purification step, the load on the distillation column was decreased by using an LP VLE separator, a gas absorption column, or a stabilizer column, respectively as shown in Fig. 2(a–c).

Comparing the three cases, the results show that the LP VLE separator is the most economical method of separation in the present situation. Table 2 shows that the %ROI is 24.83, 22.22, and 23.70, respectively, for

using the LP VLE separator alone, gas absorber, and stabilizer. Out of the three, the case with gas absorption is not only complicated, but it also provides the highest TCI and the lowest net profit. When compared with Case II over which Case III, Case IV, and Case V were developed, it is revealed that the addition of either a gas absorber (Case IV) or a stabilizer (Case V) is proved an economically poor design with adverse economic benefits. In both cases, TCI increased while the net profit decreased. The use of an LP VLE separator is not only a simplified deal, but it has also incremented about 4% net profit for virtually the same TCI. At this point, the integration of the LP VLE separator was approved, and the flowsheet developed hitherto was subjected to heat integration.

For heat integration, as mentioned earlier, two approaches were adopted. In the first scheme, cooling water was used to remove the exothermic heat of the reaction from the methanol synthesis reactor. Whereas in the second approach, boiler feed water (bfw) was used that was heated to the saturated liquid state by the excess heat of the reactor. Additionally, a boiler was installed to convert the saturated liquid water to the corresponding saturated steam. As the heat of the reaction for CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation to methanol is nearly half the heat of the reaction for CO hydrogenation, an opportunity was available to use cooling water for cooling the reactor. Boiler feed water owing to the requirements of additional treatment, is much more expensive than simple cooling water. The cost comparison between using cooling water and boiling feed water was therefore considered imperative. Fig. 2 and Fig. 3 show, respectively, the use of cooling water alone in the reactor and the use of bfw in the reactor with steam generation. For both the heat integration cases (Case VI and Case VII), a massive improvement is observed over the previous best case, Case III. Although capital investment has increased, the net profit has also increased and increased quite appreciably. The net profit increased nearly 22% for Case VI, while it increased about 43% for Case VII. Comparing Case VI and Case VII, a significantly higher net profit is obtained in Case VII though at the cost of a little more TCI which resulted in virtually the same %ROI for the two cases. Based on the highest revenue and slightly better %ROI than Case-VI, with the lowest methanol price for 0%ROI, Case VII was received as the best flowsheet configuration.

#### Effect of recycle ratio

The final flowsheet was additionally analyzed for various recycle ratios. As mentioned earlier, the recycle ratio was varied by varying the split ratio (moles 9P/moles 9R). This was done because it was found much more convenient to set a split ratio in Aspen

HYSYS than to fix a recycle ratio. Fig. 4 shows the effect of the split ratio (recycle ratio) on the ROI of the plant. It is clearly revealed that the recycling ratio has a great effect on the economic performance of the plant. Initially, with a decrease in the recycling ratio, the ROI is linearly increased, it then reached the maximum and then decreased steadily. A mathematical equation was developed using TableCurve 2D between the split ratio (SR) and ROI as shown in Eq. (5). An excellent fit of the data with the sum of squares of the errors (SSE) of only  $7.01 \times 10^{-6}$  was obtained. Taking the derivative of the function with respect to SR, the maximum ROI was obtained at the split ratio of 0.00575.

$$ROI = 0.3266 - 3.1910SR + 2.3189SR^2 - \frac{0.0001046}{SR}$$
(5)

where SR is the split ratio defined as moles of the 9P stream divided by moles of the 9R stream.



Figure 4. ROI values with a change in the split ratio (recycling ratio). The split ratio is the moles of stream 9P divided by the moles of stream 9R.

Case VII was rerun using the new split ratio and the sub-case was called Case VII-11. The material balance for Case VII-11 is shown in Table 3 whereas Tables S1 to S3 provide the detailed cost estimation and profitability analysis for Case VII-11. It can be found from the results that the final flow scheme produced 0.71 kg methanol per kg of CO<sub>2</sub> and that 35 tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub> is ready to mitigate every hour.

It is important to mention here that at the steady state, the feed flow rate of nitrogen and the purge flow rate (plus nitrogen leaving any other stream) should be the same. However, Table 3 shows a slight difference in the two flow rates caused by the tolerance selected for the simulation. It was observed that as the split ratio decreased, the convergence became more and more difficult. A smaller value of tolerance was avoided as it could create more problems in convergence thus giving no result.

#### Effect of the price of feed materials

Case VII-11 was further studied to observe the effect of the price of  $CO_2$ ,  $H_2$ , fuel (NG), and catalyst (together called feed material) on the economic

Table 3. Material balance results for major streams of Case-VII-11, i.e., Case-VII with a split ratio of 0.00575 (RC	CR of 4.2972)
--	---------------

$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Stream	Stream	Т	р	Dhace*	Molar flowrate (kmol/h)						
1       Feed CO2       25.00       1.000       g       793.5694       -       -       -       -       3.1870       796.7564         2       Feed H <sub>2</sub> 25.00       30.000       g       -       2373.0400       -       -       -       -       2373.0400         3       Compressed       169.9       51.38       g       -       2373.0400       -       -       -       -       2373.0400         4       Compressed       92.91       51.38       g       -       2373.0400       -       -       -       -       2373.0400         5B       Preheater Inlet       150.0       50.09       g       3115.3699       9343.7753       307.9612       56.2431       11.3322       532.4433       13367.1261         6       Reactor Inlet       250.0       50.00       g       2336.5274       7010.8237       309.7491       833.2977       790.1757       532.4433       11813.0169         Cooler Inlet       159.3       48.31       g       2336.5274       7010.8237       309.7491       833.2977       790.1757       532.4433       11813.0169         Cooler Inlet       159.3       48.31       g       2336.5274       7010.82	#	Name	(°C)	(bar)	Phase	CO <sub>2</sub>	H <sub>2</sub>	CO	Methanol	H <sub>2</sub> O	N <sub>2</sub>	Total
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1	Feed CO <sub>2</sub>	25.00	1.000	g	793.5694	-	-	-	-	3.1870	796.7564
3         Compressed         169.9         51.38         g         793.5694         -         -         -         -         3.1870         796.7564           4         Compressed         92.91         51.38         g         -         2373.0400         -         -         -         2373.0400           5B         Preheater         62.66         51.38         g         3115.3699         9343.7753         307.9612         56.2431         11.3322         532.4433         13367.1261           6         Reactor Inlet         150.0         50.09         g         3115.3699         9343.7753         307.9612         56.2431         11.3322         532.4433         13367.1261           7         Reactor         155.0         9         g         2336.5274         7010.8237         309.7491         833.2977         790.1757         532.4433         11813.0169           7         Product         155.3         48.31         g         2336.5274         7010.8237         309.7491         833.2977         790.1757         532.4433         11813.0169           Cooler Inlet         -         -         9         HP         37.78         47.62         g/         2336.5274         7010.8237	2	Feed H <sub>2</sub>	25.00	30.00	g	-	2373.0400	-	-	-	-	2373.0400
CO2 Hz HzCO2 (Mz)CO2 (Mz)SolutionSoluti	3	Compressed	169.9	51.38	g	793.5694	-	-	-	-	3.1870	796.7564
4         Compressed         92.91         51.38         g         -         2373.0400         -         -         -         2373.0400           5B         Preheater Inlet         62.66         51.38         g         3115.3699         9343.7753         307.9612         56.2431         11.3332         532.4433         13367.1261           6         Reactor Inlet         250.0         50.00         g         3115.3699         9343.7753         307.9612         56.2431         11.3332         532.4433         13367.1261           7         Reactor         159.0         50.00         g         3115.3699         9343.7753         307.9612         56.2431         11.3332         532.4433         13367.1261           7         Reactor         159.3         48.31         g         2336.5274         7010.8237         309.7491         833.2977         790.1757         532.4433         11813.0169           Collet         NP         37.78         47.62         g/         2336.5274         7010.8237         309.7491         833.2977         790.1757         532.4433         11813.0169           Separator         Napor Outlet         N         g         2328.4598         7007.7248         309.5453         55.5922		CO <sub>2</sub>										
Hz Inlet         Hz Inlet         Fighe Releater Inlet         Hz Isol 15.0 $g$ 3115.3699         9343.7753         307.9612         56.2431         11.332         532.4433         13367.1261           5         Heater Inlet         150.0         50.00 $g$ 3115.3699         9343.7753         307.9612         56.2431         11.3322         532.4433         13367.1261           6         Reactor Inlet         250.0         49.00 $g$ 2336.5274         7010.8237         309.7491         833.2977         790.1757         532.4433         1181.0169           7A         Product         159.3         48.31 $g$ 2336.5274         7010.8237         309.7491         833.2977         790.1757         532.4433         11813.0169           7B         Product         159.3         47.62 $g'/$ 2336.5274         7010.8237         309.7491         833.2977         790.1757         532.4433         11813.0169           9         HP         37.78         47.62 $g'/$ 2336.5274         7010.8237         309.7491         833.2977         790.1757         532.4433         11813.0169           9         HP         37.78         47.62 $g'/$	4	Compressed	92.91	51.38	g	-	2373.0400	-	-	-	-	2373.0400
58         Preheater         62.66         51.38         g         3115.3699         9343.7753         307.9612         56.2431         11.332         532.4433         13367.1261           5         Heater Inlet         150.0         50.09         g         3115.3699         9343.7753         307.9612         56.2431         11.3332         532.4433         13367.1261           6         Reactor Inlet         250.0         9.00         g         2336.5274         7010.8237         309.7491         833.2977         790.1757         532.4433         11813.0169           7A         Product         155.3         48.31         g         2336.5274         7010.8237         309.7491         833.2977         790.1757         532.4433         11813.0169           8         HP         37.78         47.62         g/         2336.5274         7010.8237         309.7491         833.2977         790.1757         532.4433         11813.0169           8         HP         37.78         47.62         g         2336.5274         7010.8237         309.7491         833.2977         790.1757         532.4433         11813.0169           9         HP         37.78         47.62         g         23215.0711         6967.43		H <sub>2</sub>										
Inlet         50         Genetr Inlet         150.0         50.69         g         3115.3699         9343.7753         307.9612         56.2431         11.3322         532.4433         13367.1261           6         Reactor Inlet         250.0         49.00         g         2336.5274         7010.8237         309.7491         833.2977         790.1757         532.4433         13367.1261           7         Reactor         159.3         48.31         g         2336.5274         7010.8237         309.7491         833.2977         790.1757         532.4433         11813.0169           70         Outlet         159.3         48.31         g         2336.5274         7010.8237         309.7491         833.2977         790.1757         532.4433         11813.0169           8         HP         37.78         47.62         g/         2336.5274         7010.8237         309.7491         833.2977         790.1757         532.4433         11813.0169           8         Portoluct         161         9         13.3886         40.2944         1.7799         0.3197         0.06433         3.0615         58.9084           9         HP         37.78         47.62         g         231.8005         6970.7353	5B	Preheater	62.66	51.38	g	3115.3699	9343.7753	307.9612	56.2431	11.3332	532.4433	13367.1261
5       Heater Inlet       150.0       50.06       g       3115.3699       9343.7753       307.9612       56.2431       11.3322       532.4433       13367.1261         7       Reactor       250.0       49.00       g       2336.5274       7010.8237       309.7491       833.2977       790.1757       532.4433       11813.0169         7A       Product       159.3       48.31       g       2336.5274       7010.8237       309.7491       833.2977       790.1757       532.4433       11813.0169         8       HP       37.78       47.62       g//       2336.5274       7010.8237       309.7491       833.2977       790.1757       532.4433       11813.0169         Separator       Inlet       9       HP       37.78       47.62       g       2338.65274       7010.8237       309.7491       833.2977       790.1757       532.4433       11813.0169         9       HP       37.78       47.62       g       13.386       40.2944       1.7799       0.3197       0.06433       3.0615       58.9084         9R       HP       37.78       47.62       g       2321.8005       6970.7353       307.9612       56.2431       11.3332       529.2663       10197.3297 <td>_</td> <td>Inlet</td> <td></td>	_	Inlet										
6       Reactor Inlet       250.0       50.00       g       3115.3699       9343.7753       307.9612       56.2431       11.3332       532.4433       13367.1261         7       Reactor       250.0       49.00       g       2336.5274       7010.8237       309.7491       833.2977       790.1757       532.4433       11813.0169         7A       Product       159.3       48.31       g       2336.5274       7010.8237       309.7491       833.2977       790.1757       532.4433       11813.0169         8       HP       37.78       47.62       g/       2336.5274       7010.8237       309.7491       833.2977       790.1757       532.4433       11813.0169         9       HP       37.78       47.62       g/       2336.5274       7010.8237       309.7491       833.2977       790.1757       532.4433       11813.0169         9       HP       37.78       47.62       g/       2328.4598       7007.7248       309.5453       55.5922       11.1873       532.4263       10244.9357         9       Purge       37.78       47.62       g       2315.0711       6967.4304       307.7654       55.2725       11.1229       529.3649       10186.0273 <t< td=""><td>5</td><td>Heater Inlet</td><td>150.0</td><td>50.69</td><td>g</td><td>3115.3699</td><td>9343.7753</td><td>307.9612</td><td>56.2431</td><td>11.3332</td><td>532.4433</td><td>13367.1261</td></t<>	5	Heater Inlet	150.0	50.69	g	3115.3699	9343.7753	307.9612	56.2431	11.3332	532.4433	13367.1261
7       Reactor       25.0.       49.00       g       2336.5274       7010.8237       309.7491       833.2977       790.1757       532.4433       11813.0169         7A       Product       159.3       48.31       g       2336.5274       7010.8237       309.7491       833.2977       790.1757       532.4433       11813.0169         8       HP       37.78       47.62       g/l       2336.5274       7010.8237       309.7491       833.2977       790.1757       532.4433       11813.0169         9       HP       37.78       47.62       g/l       2336.5274       7010.8237       309.7491       833.2977       790.1757       532.4433       11813.0169         9       HP       37.78       47.62       g       2338.65274       7010.8237       309.5453       55.5922       11.1873       532.4433       11813.0169         9P       Purge       37.78       47.62       g       2315.0711       6967.4304       307.7654       55.5922       11.1873       529.3649       10186.0273         9R       HP       37.78       47.62       g       231.8005       6970.7353       307.9612       56.2431       11.3332       529.2563       10197.3297         9R <td>6</td> <td>Reactor Inlet</td> <td>250.0</td> <td>50.00</td> <td>g</td> <td>3115.3699</td> <td>9343.7753</td> <td>307.9612</td> <td>56.2431</td> <td>11.3332</td> <td>532.4433</td> <td>13367.1261</td>	6	Reactor Inlet	250.0	50.00	g	3115.3699	9343.7753	307.9612	56.2431	11.3332	532.4433	13367.1261
Outlet       Outlet       159.3       48.31 $g$ 2336.5274       7010.8237       309.7491       833.2977       790.1757       532.4433       11813.0169         8       HP       37.78       47.62 $g/l$ 2336.5274       7010.8237       309.7491       833.2977       790.1757       532.4433       11813.0169         9       HP       37.78       47.62 $g'l$ 2336.5274       7007.7248       309.7491       833.2977       790.1757       532.4433       11813.0169         9       HP       37.78       47.62 $g'l$ 2328.4598       7007.7248       309.5453       55.5922       11.1873       532.4263       10244.9357         9       HP       37.78       47.62 $g'l$ 2315.0711       6967.4304       307.7654       55.2725       11.129       529.3649       10186.0273         Separator       Recycle       Recycle       46.81       51.38 $g'llined 0.231.8005$ 6970.7353       307.9612       56.2431       11.3322       529.2563       10197.3297         10       HP       37.78       47.62 $g'llined 0.231.8005$ 6970.7353       307.9612       56.2431       11.3322       529.2563       10197.3297	7	Reactor	250.0	49.00	g	2336.5274	7010.8237	309.7491	833.2977	790.1757	532.4433	11813.0169
/A       Product       159.3       48.31       g       2336.52/4       /010.8237       309./491       833.2977       /90.1757       532.4433       11813.0169         8       HP       37.78       47.62       g/l       2336.5274       7010.8237       309.7491       833.2977       790.1757       532.4433       11813.0169         9       HP       37.78       47.62       g       2336.5274       7010.8237       309.7491       833.2977       790.1757       532.4433       11813.0169         9       HP       37.78       47.62       g       2328.4598       7007.7248       309.5453       55.5922       11.1873       532.4263       10244.9357         Separator       Vapor Outlet       9       HP       37.78       47.62       g       13.3886       40.2944       1.7799       0.3197       0.06433       3.0615       58.9084         9R       HP       37.78       47.62       g       2321.8005       6970.7353       307.9612       56.2431       11.332       529.2563       10197.3297         10       HP       37.78       47.62       /       8.0676       3.0989       0.2038       777.7055       778.9884       0.01701       1568.0813      <		Outlet	150.0	10.01			7010 0007			700 4757	500 4400	
8         HP         37.78         47.62         g/l         2336.5274         7010.8237         309.7491         833.2977         790.1757         532.4433         11813.0169           9         HP         37.78         47.62         g         2338.5274         7010.8237         309.7491         833.2977         790.1757         532.4433         11813.0169           9         HP         37.78         47.62         g         2328.4598         7007.7248         309.5453         55.5922         11.1873         532.4263         10244.9357           9P         Purge         37.78         47.62         g         13.3886         40.2944         1.7799         0.3197         0.06433         3.0615         58.9084           9R         HP         37.78         47.62         g         2315.0711         6967.4304         307.7654         55.2725         11.1229         529.3649         10186.0273           Separator         Recycle         46.81         51.38         g         2321.8005         6970.7353         307.9612         56.2431         11.3332         529.2563         10197.3297           10         HP         37.37         2.189         g/l         8.0676         3.0989         0.2038	/A	Product	159.3	48.31	g	2336.5274	/010.823/	309.7491	833.2977	/90.1/5/	532.4433	11813.0169
8         HP         37.8         47.62         g/l         2336.5274         7010.8237         309.7491         833.2977         790.1757         532.4433         11813.0169           9         HP         37.78         47.62         g         2328.4598         7007.7248         309.5453         55.5922         11.1873         532.4633         10244.9357           9         HP         37.78         47.62         g         13.3886         40.2944         1.7799         0.3197         0.06433         3.0615         58.9084           9R         HP         37.78         47.62         g         2315.0711         6967.4304         307.7654         55.2725         11.1229         529.3649         10186.0273           9R2         Recycle         46.81         51.38         g         2321.8005         6970.7353         307.9612         56.2431         11.3332         529.2563         10197.3297           10         HP         37.77         47.62         /         8.0676         3.0989         0.2038         777.7055         778.9884         0.01701         1568.0813           10A         LP Separator         37.37         2.189         g/         8.0676         3.0989         0.2038	•	Cooler Inlet	07.70	17.00			7010 0007			700 4757	500 4400	
Separator Inlet         Inlet           9         HP         37.78         47.62         g         2328.4598         7007.7248         309.5453         55.5922         11.1873         532.4263         10244.9357           Separator Vapor Outlet         Vapor Outlet         9         Purge         37.78         47.62         g         13.3886         40.2944         1.7799         0.3197         0.06433         3.0615         58.9084           9R         HP         37.78         47.62         g         2315.0711         6967.4304         307.7654         55.2725         11.1229         529.3649         10186.0273           Separator Recycle         46.81         51.38         g         2321.8005         6970.7353         307.9612         56.2431         11.332         529.2563         10197.3297           10         HP         37.78         47.62         /         8.0676         3.0989         0.2038         777.7055         778.9884         0.01701         1568.0813           Inlet         Inlet         Inlet         1         1.2592         0.006298         0.05885         776.7348         778.7781         -         1556.8372           10R         LP Separator         37.37         2.189 <td>8</td> <td>HP .</td> <td>37.78</td> <td>47.62</td> <td>g/I</td> <td>2336.5274</td> <td>/010.823/</td> <td>309.7491</td> <td>833.2977</td> <td>/90.1/5/</td> <td>532.4433</td> <td>11813.0169</td>	8	HP .	37.78	47.62	g/I	2336.5274	/010.823/	309.7491	833.2977	/90.1/5/	532.4433	11813.0169
Interview         Interview <t< td=""><td></td><td>Separator</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>		Separator										
9       HP       37.78       47.62       g       2328.4598       7007.7248       309.5453       55.5922       11.1873       532.4263       10244.9357         9P       Purge       37.78       47.62       g       13.3886       40.2944       1.7799       0.3197       0.06433       3.0615       58.9084         9R       HP       37.78       47.62       g       2315.0711       6967.4304       307.7654       55.2725       11.1229       529.3649       10186.0273         9R       HP       37.78       47.62       g       2321.8005       6970.7353       307.9612       56.2431       11.3332       529.2563       10197.3297         10       HP       37.78       47.62       /       8.0676       3.0989       0.2038       777.7055       778.9884       0.01701       1568.0813         10A       LP Separator       37.37       2.189       g/       8.0676       3.0989       0.2038       777.7055       778.9884       0.01701       1568.0813         10B       LP Separator       37.37       2.189       g       6.8085       3.0400       0.1975       0.9707       0.2104       0.01699       11.2411         10B       LP Separator       <	0	Inlet	07 70	47.00	-	2220 4500	7007 7040	200 5452		11 1070	500 4000	10044 0057
Steparator         Vapor Outlet           9P         Purge         37.78         47.62         g         13.3886         40.2944         1.7799         0.3197         0.06433         3.0615         58.9084           9R         HP         37.78         47.62         g         2315.0711         6967.4304         307.7654         55.2725         11.1229         529.3649         10186.0273           Separator         Recycle           8         8         6970.7353         307.9612         56.2431         11.3332         529.2563         10197.3297           10         HP         37.78         47.62         /         8.0676         3.0989         0.2038         777.7055         778.9884         0.01701         1568.0813           Icipuid Outlet            1.2592         0.006298         0.05885         776.7348         778.7781         -         1556.8372           10R         LP Separator         37.37         2.189         g         6.8085         3.0400         0.1975         0.9707         0.2104         0.01699         11.2441           10R         LP Separator         37.37         2.189         g         6.8085         3.0400	9	HP Concreter	37.78	47.62	g	2328.4598	/007.7248	309.5453	55.5922	11.18/3	532.4263	10244.9357
9P       Purge       37.78       47.62       g       13.3886       40.2944       1.7799       0.3197       0.06433       3.0615       58.9084         9R       HP       37.78       47.62       g       2315.0711       6967.4304       307.7654       55.2725       11.1229       529.3649       10186.0273         Separator       Recycle       982       Recycle       46.81       51.38       g       2321.8005       6970.7353       307.9612       56.2431       11.3332       529.2563       10197.3297         10       HP       37.78       47.62       /       8.0676       3.0989       0.2038       777.7055       778.9884       0.01701       1568.0813         Separator       Liquid Outlet       -       -       -       0.06298       0.02038       777.7055       778.9884       0.01701       1568.0813         10B       LP Separator       37.37       2.189       /       1.2592       0.006298       0.05885       776.7348       778.7781       -       1556.8372         10R       LP Separator       37.37       2.189       g       6.8085       3.0400       0.1975       0.9707       0.2104       0.01699       11.2441         Gas Recycle		Separator Vener Outlet										
SP       Full ge       37.78       47.62       g       13.3860       40.2944       1.7795       0.3197       0.00433       3.0013       35.9044         9R       HP       37.78       47.62       g       2315.0711       6967.4304       307.7654       55.2725       11.1229       529.3649       10186.0273         9R       Recycle       46.81       51.38       g       2321.8005       6970.7353       307.9612       56.2431       11.3332       529.2563       10197.3297         10       HP       37.78       47.62       /       8.0676       3.0989       0.2038       777.7055       778.9884       0.01701       1568.0813         10A       LP Separator       37.37       2.189       g//       8.0676       3.0989       0.2038       777.7055       778.9884       0.01701       1568.0813         10B       LP Separator       37.37       2.189       g       6.8085       3.0400       0.1975       0.9707       0.2104       0.01699       11.2411         10B       LP Separator       37.37       2.189       g       6.8085       3.0400       0.1975       0.9707       0.2104       0.01699       11.2411         10B       LP Separator	00		27 70	17 62	~	12 2006	40 2044	1 7700	0 2 1 0 7	0.06422	2 0615	E0 0001
3R       III - SP.78       37.78       47.02       g       2313.0711       0507.4504       307.7634       302.723       11.1223       323.043       10180.0273         Separator Recycle       Recycle       46.81       51.38       g       2321.8005       6970.7353       307.9612       56.2431       11.3332       529.2563       10197.3297         10       HP       37.78       47.62       /       8.0676       3.0989       0.2038       777.7055       778.9884       0.01701       1568.0813         Separator       37.37       2.189       g//       8.0676       3.0989       0.2038       777.7055       778.9884       0.01701       1568.0813         Inlet       108       LP Separator       37.37       2.189       g       6.8085       3.0400       0.1975       0.9707       0.2104       0.01699       11.2441         Gas Recycle       11       Distillation       63.53       1.500       g//       1.2592       0.006298       0.05885       776.7348       778.7781       -       1556.8372         11       Distillation       63.53       1.500       g//       1.2592       0.006298       0.05885       776.7348       778.7781       -       1556.8372 </td <td>9F 0D</td> <td>Pulge LD</td> <td>37.70</td> <td>47.02</td> <td>y a</td> <td>2215 0711</td> <td>40.2944</td> <td>307 7654</td> <td>0.3197</td> <td>11 1220</td> <td>520 3640</td> <td>10186 0273</td>	9F 0D	Pulge LD	37.70	47.02	y a	2215 0711	40.2944	307 7654	0.3197	11 1220	520 3640	10186 0273
Big         Separator         Recycle         46.81         51.38         g         2321.8005         6970.7353         307.9612         56.2431         11.3332         529.2563         10197.3297           10         HP         37.78         47.62         /         8.0676         3.0989         0.2038         777.7055         778.9884         0.01701         1568.0813           Separator         Liquid Outlet         -         1568.0813         -         -         1568.0813         -         -         1568.0813         -         -         1568.0813         -         -         1568.0813         -         -         1568.0813         -         -         1568.0813         -         -         1568.0813         -         -         1568.0813         -         -         1568.0813         -         -         1556.8372         -         -         1556.8372         -         -         1556.8372         -         -         1556.8372         -         -         1556.8372	JN	Soparator	57.70	47.02	y	2313.0711	0907.4304	307.7034	55.2725	11.1229	529.5049	10100.0275
Inclusion       Mathematical structure       Mathmatematical structure       Mathematica		Docyclo										
10       HP       37.78       47.62       /       8.0676       3.0989       0.2038       777.7055       778.9884       0.01701       1568.0813         10       HP       37.37       2.189       g/l       8.0676       3.0989       0.2038       777.7055       778.9884       0.01701       1568.0813         10A       LP Separator       37.37       2.189       g/l       8.0676       3.0989       0.2038       777.7055       778.9884       0.01701       1568.0813         10B       LP Separator       37.37       2.189       g       1.2592       0.006298       0.05885       776.7348       778.7781       -       1556.8372         10R       LP Separator       37.37       2.189       g       6.8085       3.0400       0.1975       0.9707       0.2104       0.01699       11.2441         Gas Recycle       31       1.500       g/l       1.2592       0.006298       0.05885       776.7348       778.7781       -       1556.8372         11       Distillation       63.53       1.500       g/l       1.2592       0.006298       0.05885       776.7348       778.7781       -       1556.8372         12       Vent       62.59	9R2	Recycle	46 81	51 38	a	2321 8005	6970 7353	307 9612	56 2431	11 3332	529 2563	10197 3297
10       11       51.10       41.02       1       5.500       5.500       5.200       11.100       11.500       5.500       11.2592       0.006298       0.05885       776.7348       778.7781       -       11.556.8372         10R       LP Separator       37.37       2.189       g       6.8085       3.0400       0.1975       0.9707       0.2104       0.01699       11.2441         Gas Recycle       37.37       2.189       g       6.8085       3.0400       0.1975       0.9707       0.2104       0.01699       11.2441         Indext       Gas Recycle       37.37       2.189       g       6.8085       3.0400       0.1975       0.9707       0.2104       0.01699       11.2441         Indext       Distillation       63.53       1.500       g       1.1780       0.05772       0.006060       6.9995       0.04676       8.2880         12       Vent       62.59       1	10	HP	37 78	47.62	9	8 0676	3 0989	0 2038	777 7055	778 9884	0.01701	1568 0813
Liquid Outlet         10A       LP Separator       37.37       2.189       g/l       8.0676       3.0989       0.2038       777.7055       778.9884       0.01701       1568.0813         10B       LP Separator       37.37       2.189       /       1.2592       0.006298       0.05885       776.7348       778.7781       -       1556.8372         Liquid Outlet	10	Separator	07.70	47.02	,	0.0070	0.0000	0.2000	///./000	770.0004	0.01701	1000.0010
10A       LP Separator       37.37       2.189       g/l       8.0676       3.0989       0.2038       777.7055       778.9884       0.01701       1568.0813         10B       LP Separator       37.37       2.189       /       1.2592       0.006298       0.05885       776.7348       778.7781       -       1556.8372         10B       LP Separator       37.37       2.189       /       1.2592       0.006298       0.05885       776.7348       778.7781       -       1556.8372         10R       LP Separator       37.37       2.189       g       6.8085       3.0400       0.1975       0.9707       0.2104       0.01699       11.2441         Gas Recycle       11       Distillation       63.53       1.500       g/l       1.2592       0.006298       0.05885       776.7348       778.7781       -       1556.8372         11       Distillation       63.53       1.500       g/l       1.2592       0.006298       0.05885       776.7348       778.7781       -       1556.8372         12       Vent       62.59       1.100       g       1.1780       0.05772       0.006060       6.9995       0.04676       8.2880         13       Methanol </td <td></td> <td>Liquid Outlet</td> <td></td>		Liquid Outlet										
Inlet       Inlet <th< td=""><td>10A</td><td>LP Separator</td><td>37.37</td><td>2,189</td><td>a/I</td><td>8.0676</td><td>3.0989</td><td>0.2038</td><td>777,7055</td><td>778.9884</td><td>0.01701</td><td>1568.0813</td></th<>	10A	LP Separator	37.37	2,189	a/I	8.0676	3.0989	0.2038	777,7055	778.9884	0.01701	1568.0813
10B       LP Separator       37.37       2.189       /       1.2592       0.006298       0.05885       776.7348       778.7781       -       1556.8372         10R       LP Separator       37.37       2.189       g       6.8085       3.0400       0.1975       0.9707       0.2104       0.01699       11.2441         10R       LP Separator       37.37       2.189       g       6.8085       3.0400       0.1975       0.9707       0.2104       0.01699       11.2441         11       Distillation       63.53       1.500       g/l       1.2592       0.006298       0.05885       776.7348       778.7781       -       1556.8372         12       Vent       62.59       1.100       g       1.1780       0.05772       0.006060       6.9995       0.04676       8.2880         13       Methanol       62.59       1.100       /       0.08120       0.001132       0.0002374       768.9674       11.6276       -       780.6776         Product       -       -       -       0.7679       767.1038       -       767.8716		Inlet			3.1							
Liquid Outlet         10R       LP Separator       37.37       2.189       g       6.8085       3.0400       0.1975       0.9707       0.2104       0.01699       11.2441         10R       LP Separator       37.37       2.189       g       6.8085       3.0400       0.1975       0.9707       0.2104       0.01699       11.2441         11       Distillation       63.53       1.500       g/l       1.2592       0.006298       0.05885       776.7348       778.7781       -       1556.8372         12       Vent       62.59       1.100       g       1.1780       0.05772       0.006060       6.9995       0.04676       8.2880         13       Methanol       62.59       1.100       /       0.08120       0.001132       0.0002374       768.9674       11.6276       -       780.6776         Product       -       -       -       0.7679       767.1038       -       767.8716	10B	LP Separator	37.37	2.189	1	1.2592	0.006298	0.05885	776.7348	778.7781	-	1556.8372
10R         LP Separator         37.37         2.189         g         6.8085         3.0400         0.1975         0.9707         0.2104         0.01699         11.2441           11         Distillation         63.53         1.500         g/l         1.2592         0.006298         0.05885         776.7348         778.7781         -         1556.8372           12         Vent         62.59         1.100         g         1.1780         0.05772         0.006060         6.9995         0.04676         8.2880           13         Methanol         62.59         1.100         /         0.08120         0.001132         0.0002374         768.9674         11.6276         -         780.6776           Product         -         -         -         0.7679         767.1038         -         767.8716		Liquid Outlet										
Gas Recycle       1       Distillation       63.53       1.500       g/l       1.2592       0.006298       0.05885       776.7348       778.7781       -       1556.8372         12       Vent       62.59       1.100       g       1.1780       0.05772       0.006060       6.9995       0.04676       8.2880         13       Methanol       62.59       1.100       /       0.08120       0.001132       0.0002374       768.9674       11.6276       -       780.6776         Product       14       Distillation       111.2       1.500       /       -       -       0.7679       767.1038       -       767.8716	10R	LP Separator	37.37	2.189	a	6.8085	3.0400	0.1975	0.9707	0.2104	0.01699	11.2441
11         Distillation         63.53         1.500         g/l         1.2592         0.006298         0.05885         776.7348         778.7781         -         1556.8372           12         Vent         62.59         1.100         g         1.1780         0.05772         0.006060         6.9995         0.04676         8.2880           13         Methanol         62.59         1.100         /         0.08120         0.001132         0.0002374         768.9674         11.6276         -         780.6776           Product         -         -         -         0.7679         767.1038         -         767.8716		Gas Recycle			5							
Column Feed         6           12         Vent         62.59         1.100         g         1.1780         0.05772         0.006060         6.9995         0.04676         8.2880           13         Methanol         62.59         1.100         /         0.08120         0.001132         0.0002374         768.9674         11.6276         -         780.6776           Product         -         -         -         0.7679         767.1038         -         767.8716	11	Distillation	63.53	1.500	q/I	1.2592	0.006298	0.05885	776.7348	778.7781	-	1556.8372
12         Vent         62.59         1.100         g         1.1780         0.05772         0.006060         6.9995         0.04676         8.2880           13         Methanol         62.59         1.100         /         0.08120         0.001132         0.0002374         768.9674         11.6276         -         780.6776           Product         -         -         -         0.7679         767.1038         -         767.8716		Column Feed			U							
13         Methanol         62.59         1.100         /         0.08120         0.001132         0.0002374         768.9674         11.6276         -         780.6776           Product         14         Distillation         111.2         1.500         /         -         -         0.7679         767.1038         -         767.8716	12	Vent	62.59	1.100	g	1.1780	0.05772	0.006060	6.9995	0.04676		8.2880
Product 14 Distillation 111.2 1.500 / 0.7679 767.1038 - 767.8716	13	Methanol	62.59	1.100	1	0.08120	0.001132	0.0002374	768.9674	11.6276	-	780.6776
14 Distillation 111.2 1.500 / 0.7679 767.1038 - 767.8716		Product										
	14	Distillation	111.2	1.500	1	-	-	-	0.7679	767.1038	-	767.8716
Bottoms		Bottoms										
14B         Wastewater         42.71         1.810         /         -         -         0.7679         767.1038         -         767.8716	14B	Wastewater	42.71	1.810	/	-	-	-	0.7679	767.1038	-	767.8716

\* *I*: liquid; *g*: gas.

outcome of the plant. The effect on %ROI and the selling price of methanol (SPM) for 0%ROI is shown in Fig. 5 and Fig. 6, respectively. Figs. S1 and S2 in the electronic supplement, on the other hand, show the effect on NP and PBP, respectively. In each case, as expected, with a decrease in the price of feed material, profitability is increased, and the payback period and SPM for 0%ROI are decreased. Additionally, it can be noticed that within the ranges of study, the effect is more pronounced for changes in  $CO_2$  and  $H_2$  prices than for changes in the prices of fuel and catalyst. Clearly, the price of H<sub>2</sub> is the biggest factor in defining the profitability of the process. Mathematical relationships were also developed for the graphical curves of Figs. 5, 6, S1, and S2 and reported along with the data in the figures.

Two generalized correlations that combine the effects of the price of each of CO<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>, NG, and catalyst on %ROI and methanol selling price for 0%ROI were also developed as shown in Eqs. (6) and (7), respectively. For each relationship, 40 data points of Figs. 5, 6, S1, and S2 were employed, and SSE was

used as the objective function to be minimized. The value of SSE for developing Eq. 6 was  $8.78 \times 10^{-5}$  while it was  $3.61 \times 10^{-8}$  for Eq. (7).

$$\frac{8ROI}{SPM=$1.5} = 150.9111 - 417.9215C_{CO2} - 57.1016C_{H2}$$
(6)  
-1.0137C\_{M2} - 0.1510C\_{C2}

$$SPM|_{0\%ROI} = 0.3819 + 3.0964C_{CO2} + 0.4231C_{H2} + 0.007506C_{NG} + 0.001119C_{Cat}$$
(7)

where,  $C_i$  is the cost or price of the *l*th feed material.

Eq. 6 and Eq. 7 can be utilized for calculating %ROI and SPM for 0%ROI for any combination of costs of feed materials discussed above. For example, by halving the H<sub>2</sub> price (0.75) which is expected in the near future [51], and keeping all the other costs as such, %ROI is increased from 29.11 to 71.94 and SPM for 0%ROI decreased from \$1.28 to \$0.97. If in addition, the energy cost is used as \$3.0/MMBtu, %ROI rises to 76.30 and SPM for 0%ROI declines to \$0.93/kg. Furthermore, if the price of CO<sub>2</sub> is reduced to zero as if part of the CO<sub>2</sub> meant for sequestration is routed for methanol synthesis, then %ROI and SPM for 0%ROI, respectively, are calculated as 95.98 and \$0.79/kg.



Figure 5. Effect of variation in costs of CO<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>, energy (NG), and catalyst on %ROI. When not varying in the above relationships, the costs were fixed at \$0.0471/kg CO<sub>2</sub>, \$1.5/kg H<sub>2</sub>, \$7.3/MMBtu energy (NG), and \$60/kg catalyst.



Figure 6. Effect of variation in costs of CO<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>, energy (NG), and catalyst on the selling price of methanol for 0% ROI. When not varying in the above relationships, the costs were fixed at \$0.0471/kg CO<sub>2</sub>, \$1.5/kg H<sub>2</sub>, \$7.3/MMBtu energy (NG), and \$60/kg catalyst.

Comparing the market value of methanol taken as \$0.53/kg [52], the process studied in the present work is found economically unfavorable unless the price of both CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub> is decreased to a very low value. As an example, if the cost of CO2 is kept at \$0.01/kg and the cost of H<sub>2</sub> at \$0.06/kg for an energy cost of \$3/MMBtu, the selling price of methanol becomes less than \$0.53/kg. However, in line with the aims of CO<sub>2</sub> mitigation, while exploiting the valuable chemical content of CO<sub>2</sub>, the proposed process has the potential to be used for further research that may lead it to commercial realization.

#### CONCLUSION

The process flow scheme for the direct CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation to methanol was conceptualized and simulated. The incorporation of gas recycling greatly benefited the process and a 760% increase in ROI was observed when a recycle stream with a recycle ratio of 3.92 was introduced in the simplified base case. For improving the separation of light gases, a simple lowpressure VLE separator yielded a more cost-effective solution than using a gas absorption system or a stabilizer. The reactor cooling with boiler feed water leading to steam generation was proved to be an economically better approach than cooling with cooling water. The recycling ratio had a huge impact on the economic performance of the plant. The net profit first increased and then decreased with an increase in the recycling ratio. An optimum recycle ratio was worked out and calculated as 4.30. Comparing the effect of the prices of CO<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>, NG, and catalyst, the price of green hydrogen has exhibited the biggest effect on the profitability of the process. The study indicates that each year 294 kilotonnes of CO2 would be successfully abated and in addition, 209 kilotonnes of methanol would be produced that could be traded to generate a large sum of capital.

#### **ABBREVIATIONS**

bfw	Boiler feed water
CW	Cooling water
hps	High-pressure steam
lps	Low-pressure steam
HP	High pressure
ID	Internal diameter
LP	Low pressure
MTG	Methanol to gasoline
MTO	Methanol to olefins
NG	Natural gas
NP	Net profit
NRTL	Non-random two-liquid
OD	Outer diameter
PBP	Payback period
RCR	Recvcle ratio

- ROI Return on investment
- SPM Selling price of methanol
- SR Split ratio
- SRK Soave-Redlich-Kwong SSE
- Sum of squares of the errors TCI Total capital investment
- TPC Total product cost
- VLE
- Vapor-liquid equilibrium

#### REFERENCES

- [1] BP, bp Statistical Review of World Energy 2022, Seventyfirst ed., 2022. https://www.bp.com/en/global/corporate/energyeconomics/statistical-review-of-world-energy.html.
- Z. Liu, Z. Deng, S.J. Davis, C. Giron, P. Ciais, Nat. Rev. [2] Earth Environ. 3 (2022) 217-219. https://doi.org/10.1038/s43017-022-00285-w.
- [3] Y. Gao, X. Gao, X., Zhang, Engineering 3 (2017) 272-278. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/J.ENG.2017.01.022.
- G.A. Olah, Angew. Chem. Int. 44 (2005) 2636-2639. [4] https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.200462121
- U.J. Etim, Y. Song, Z. Zhong, Front. Energy Res. (2020) [5] 545431. https://doi.org/10.3389/fenrg.2020.545431.
- M. Behrens, F. Studt, I. Kasatkin, S. Kühl, M. Hävecker, F. [6] Abil-Pedersen et al., Science 336 (2012) 893-897. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1219831.
- [7] K. Stangeland, H. Li, Z. Yu, Energy, Ecol. Environ 5 (2020) 272-285. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40974-020-00156-4.
- [8] D.S. Marlin, E. Sarron, Ö. Sigurbjörnsson, Front. Chem. 6 (2018) 446. https://doi.org/10.3389/fchem.2018.00446.
- M. Bukhtiyarova, T. Lunkenbein, K. Kähler, R. Schlögl, [9] Catal. Lett. 147 (2017) 416-427. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10562-016-1960-x.
- [10] E.S. Van-Dal, C. Bouallou, J. Clean. Prod. 57 (2013) 38-45. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2013.06.008</u>.
- [11] M. Matzen, M. Alhajji, Y. Demirel, Energy 93 (2015) 343-353. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2015.09.043.
- [12] M. Pérez-Fortes, J.C. Schöneberger, A. Boulamanti, E. Tzimas, Appl. Energy 161 (2016) 718–732. https://doi.org/10.2790/89238.
- [13] I.L. Wiesberg, J.L. de Medeiros, R.M.B. Alves, P.L.A. Coutinho, O.Q.F. Araújo, Energy Convers. Manage. 125 (2016) 320-335. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2016.04.041.
- [14] P. Borisut, A. Nuchitprasittichai, Front. Energy Res. 7 (2019) 81. https://doi.org/10.3389/fenrg.2019.00081.
- [15] A.A. Kiss, J.J. Pragt, H.J. Vos, G. Bargeman, M.T. de Groot, Chem. Eng. J. 284 (2016) 260-269. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2015.08.101.
- [16] K. Roh, R. Frauzem, R. Gani, J.H. Lee, Chem. Eng. Res. Des. 116 (2016) 27-47. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cherd.2016.10.007.
- [17] M. Martín, I.E. Grossmann, Comput. Chem. Eng. 105 (2017) 308-316.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compchemeng.2016.11.030.

[18] S. Alsayegh, J.R. Johnson, B. Ohs, M. Wessling, J. Clean. Prod. 208 (2018) 1446-1458.

Chem. Ind. Chem. Eng. Q. 30 (4) 309–323 (2024)

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.10.132.

- [19] S. Szima, C.C. Cormos, J. CO<sub>2</sub> Utilization 24 (2018) 555– 563. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcou.2018.02.007</u>.
- [20] N. Meunier, R. Chauvy, S. Mouhoubi, D. Thomas, G. De Weireld, Renewable Energy 146 (2020) 1192–1203. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2019.07.010</u>.
- [21] T.B.H. Nguyen, E. Zondervan, J. CO<sub>2</sub> Util. 34 (2019) 1– 11. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcou.2019.05.033</u>.
- [22] H.W. Lee, K. Kyeongsu, J. An, J. Na, H. Kim, H. Lee, U. Lee, Energy Res. 44 (2020) 8781–8798. https://doi.org/10.1002/er.5573.
- [23] B.L.de O. Campos, K. John, P. Beeskow, K.H. Herrera Delgado, S. Pitter, N. Dahmen, et al., Processes 10 (2022) 1535. https://doi.org/10.3390/pr10081535.
- [24] T. Cordero-Lanzac, A. Ramirez, A. Navajas, L. Gevers, S. Brunialti, L.M. Gandía, et al. J. Energy Chem. 68 (2022) 255–266. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jechem.2021.09.045</u>.
- [25] M. Yousaf, A. Mahmood, A. Elkamel, M. Rizwan, M. Zaman, Int. J. Greenhouse Gas Control 115 (2022) 103615. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijggc.2022.103615</u>.
- [26] F. Haghighatjoo, M.R. Rahimpour, M. Farsi, Chem. Eng. Process. 184 (2023) 109264. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cep.2023.109264</u>.
- [27] H.H. Chiou, C-J. Lee, B-S. Wen, J-X. Lin, C-L Chen, B.Y Yu, Fuel 343 (2023) 127856. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/i.fuel.2023.127856</u>.
- [28] J.M. Douglas, Conceptual Design of Chemical Processes, McGraw-Hill, New York (1988). ISBN-13: 978-0071001953.
- [29] Mitsubishi Power, Gas Turbine Combined Cycle (GTCC) Power Plants. <u>https://power.mhi.com/products/gtcc</u> [accessed 01 August 2023].
- [30] M.A. Sheikh, Renewable Sustainable Energy Rev. 13 (2009) 2696–2702. <u>http://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2009.06.029</u>.
- [31] W.A. Poe, S. Mokhatab, Modeling, Control, and Optimization of Natural Gas Processing Plants, Gulf Professional Pub., New York (2017). <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/C2014-0-03765-3</u>.
- [32] S.O. Akpasi, Y.M. Isa, Atmosphere 13 (2022) 1958. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/atmos13121958</u>.
- [33] S.S. Kumar, V. Himabindu, Mater. Sci. Energy. Technol. 2 (2019) 442–454. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mset.2019.03.002</u>.
- [34] S. Lipiäinen, K. Lipiäinen, A. Ahola A., E. Vakkilainen, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy (2023). <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2023.04.283</u>.
- [35] G. Leonzio, E. Zondervan, P.U. Foscolo, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy 44 (2019) 7915–7933. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2019.02.056</u>.
- [36] J. Zhang, Z. Li, Z. Zhang, R. Liu, B. Chu, B. Yan, ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng. 8 (2020) 49. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.0c06336</u>.
- [37] M.R. Usman, Z. Shahid, M.S. Akram, R. Aslam, Int. J. Thermophys. (2020) 41:44.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s10765-020-2622-1.

- [38] F. Arena, G. Mezzatesta, G. Zafarana, G. Trunfio, F. Frusteri, L. Spadaro, J. Catal. 30 (2013) 141–151. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcat.2012.12.019</u>.
- [39] C. Yang, Z. Ma, N. Zhao, W. Wei, T. Hu, Y. Sun, Catal. Today 115 (2006) 222–227. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cattod.2006.02.077</u>.
- [40] M.S. Peters, K.D. Timmerhaus, R.E. West, Plant Design and Economics for Chemical Engineers, fifth ed., McGraw-Hill, New York (2003). ISBN-13: 978-0071240444.
- [41] W.D. Seider, D.R. Lewin, J.D. Seader, S. Widagdo, R. Gani, K.M. NG, Product and Process Design Principles: Synthesis, Analysis, and Evaluation, fourth ed., John Wiley & Sons, Inc. (2017). ISBN: 978-1119282631.
- Y. Feng, G.P. Rangaiah, Chem. Eng. August (2011) 22– 29. <u>https://www.chemengonline.com/evaluating-capitalcost-estimation-programs-2/?printmode=1</u>.
- [43] R. Sinnott, G. Towler, Chemical Engineering Design, sixth ed., Butterworth-Heinemann (2020). ISBN: 978-0081025994.
- [44] C.R. Branan, Rules of Thumb for Chemical Engineers, fourth ed., Gulf Professional Pub., New York (2005). <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-7506-7856-8.X5000-2.</u>
- [45] R.N. Watkins, Hydrocarbon Process. 46 (1967) 253–256. <u>https://dokumen.tips/documents/watkins-r-n-sizing-separators-and-accumulators-hydrocarbon-processing.html?page=1</u>.
- [46] P.C. Wankat, Separation Process Engineering: Includes Mass Transfer Analysis, third ed., Pearson Education, Inc., New York (2012). ISBN: 978-0131382275.
- [47] G.D. Ulrich, P.T. Vasudevan, Chem. Eng. April (2006). <u>https://www.chemengonline.com/articles.php?file=2006%</u> <u>2FEng%2FEng04012006\_07.html</u>.
- [48] B. Bane, Cheaper carbon capture is on the way. PNNL, March 2021. <u>https://www.pnnl.gov/news-media/cheapercarbon-capture-way</u> [accessed 11 August 2023].
- [49] S.R. Bhagwat, M. Olczak, Green hydrogen bridging the energy transition in Africa and Europe. European University Institute (2020). <u>https://africa-eu-energypartnership.org/publications/green-hydrogen-bridging-theenergy-transition-in-africa-europe/.</u>
- [50] Markets Energy, <u>https://www.bloomberg.com/energy</u> [accessed 16 April 2022].
- [51] C. Bettenhausen, Chem. Eng. News 101 (2023). https://cen.acs.org/materials/electronicmaterials/Electrolyzers-tools-turn-hydrogen-green/101/i21 [accessed 20 January 2024].
- [52] ChemAnalyst, Methanol Price Trend and Forecast. https://www.chemanalyst.com/Pricing-data/methanol-1 [accessed 11 August 2023].

# SAMAN KHAWAJA<sup>1,2</sup> MUHAMMAD RASHID USMAN<sup>1,3</sup> RABYA ASLAM<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Chemical Engineering and Technology, University of Punjab, New Campus, Lahore, Pakistan

<sup>2</sup>School of Chemical Engineering, Minhaj University Lahore,Civic Center, Twp, Lahore, Pakistan

<sup>3</sup>Engineering Research Centre, University of Punjab, New Campus, Lahore, Pakistan

NAUČNI RAD

# KONCEPTUALIZACIJA I SIMULACIJA PROCESA POSTROJENJA ZA PROIZVODNJU METANOLA NA BAZI $CO_2$

Ova studija konceptualizuje i simulira proces proizvodnje metanola kroz direktnu hidrogenaciju uhvaćenog CO<sub>2</sub>. CuO/ZnO/ZrO<sub>2</sub> je korišćen kao katalizator, a Aspen HYSYS je korišćen za simulaciju procesa. Konfiguraciona optimizacija toka procesa je sprovedena korišćenjem hijerarhijskog pristupa korak po korak. Dobijeni su mnogi alternativni tokovi i izračunate su njihove kapitalne investicije, cena proizvoda i profitabilnost. Diskriminacija među konkurentnim tokovima je izvršena na osnovu neto dobiti i procenta povraćaja investicije. Zadržana šema toka je dalje analizirana radi optimizacije odnosa reciklaže i procene uticaja cene uhvaćenog CO<sub>2</sub>, zelenog H<sub>2</sub>, prirodnog gasa (goriva) i katalizatora na ekonomske performanse postrojenja. Izračunata optimalna vrednost odnosa reciklaže je 4,23. Pored toga, utvrđeno je da je cena H<sub>2</sub> najvažniji parametar u definisanju izvodljivosti i isplativosti procesa. Razvijene su i matematičke korelacije koje povezuju isplativost i cenu gore navedenih sirovina.

*Ključne reči: hvatanje CO*<sub>2</sub>, iskorišćenje CO<sub>2</sub>, ekonomija metanola, CO<sub>2</sub> hidrogenacija, CuO/ZnO/ZrO<sub>2</sub> katalizator.



Available online at Association of the Chemical Engineers of Serbia AChE www.ache.org.rs/CICEQ Chem. Ind. Chem. Eng. Q. 30 (4) 325–333 (2024)

CI&CEQ

## GOLDEN STEPHA NALLATHAMBI<sup>1</sup> BHARATHI GOWRI SASI KUMAR<sup>2</sup> GUVVA SWATHY<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, R.M.K Engineering College, India

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Ethiraj College for Women, India

<sup>3</sup>Mathematics Department, S. A. Engineering College, India

#### SCIENTIFIC PAPER

UDC 544.33.03:66

# THE MAGNETOHYDRODYNAMIC WILLIAMSON FLUID FLOW ON AN EXTENDING SHEET WITH THERMOPHORESIS AND CHEMICAL REACTION

#### Article Highlights

- Non-linear system of Partial differential equations
- MATLAB bvp4c solver is used
- Examines velocity, temperature, and concentration distribution of Williamson fluid
- Chemical reaction, thermophores, and magnetic field parameters are analyzed

#### Abstract

This research investigates the steady, two-dimensional, incompressible flow of a pseudoplastic Williamson fluid subjected to a linearly stretched sheet. The study incorporates the effects of magnetic fields, chemical reactions, and thermophoresis on fluid behavior. By applying boundary layer techniques and similarity transformations, the governing equations are simplified for numerical analysis. The MATLAB byp4c solver is employed to solve the reduced equations. The obtained results are visually represented and thoroughly discussed to comprehend the model's physical characteristics. The investigation highlights the magnetic field's influence, chemical reaction, and impact of thermophoresis particle deposition on the flow behavior of Williamson fluid over the extended sheet. Moreover, significant roles are found for chemical reactions and thermophoresis parameters in determining the fluid concentration near the boundary layer. It is observed that an increase in the chemical reactions and thermophoresis parameters results in a reduced thickness of the fluid concentration near the boundary layer. Notably, an increase in Schmidt value also diminished the thickness of the fluid concentration close to the boundary layer. The magnetohydrodynamic parameter significantly influences the fluid's velocity and temperature near the surface. It has been noted that an increase in the magnetohydrodynamic parameter decreases the fluid's velocity and increases the temperature near the surface. The impact of skin friction coefficient and Nusselt number and the impact of mass transfer coefficient on Williamson fluid will be discussed. The findings acquired are examined in relation to existing research and the correlation is provided as a table.

Keywords: Williamson fluid, magnetohydrodynamics, chemical reaction, thermophoresis.

Pseudoplastic fluids are commonly encountered

Correspondence: G.S. Nallathambi, Department of Mathematics, R.M.K Engineering College, India. E-mail: ngs.sh@rmkec.ac.in Paper received: 28 September, 2023 Paper revised: 20 January, 2024 Paper accepted: 24 February, 2024

https://doi.org/10.2298/CICEQ230928005N

in various applications and industries, making their boundary layer flow an area of significant research interest. Numerous models have been proposed to characterize the behaviour of pseudoplastic fluids; however, the Williamson fluid model has received relatively limited attention. In 1929, Williamson [1] conducted pioneering research on the flow of pseudoplastic materials. He suggested a model equation to explain pseudoplastic fluid flow and validated the conclusions through empirical data analysis. Despite its potential significance, the Williamson fluid model remains an underexplored aspect of pseudoplastic fluid dynamics.

In the realm of boundary layer flow, pioneering research was conducted by Sakiadis [2] on a continuously stretched surface, where he created the fundamental equations for boundary layers in two dimensions. Subsequently, Tou *et al.* [3] examined how transfer processes affect boundary layer flow on a stretching surface in depth. Erickson *et al.* [4] expanded the study to include the impacts of mass transfer, taking into account both injection and suction.

Nadeem et al. [5] explored the intriguing Williamson fluid behavior on a stretched sheet, revealing that as the Williamson parameter increased, velocity profiles and skin friction coefficient deteriorated. Continuing this investigation, Hayat et al. [6] delved into the complexities of Williamson fluid flow across a stretched surface with a magnetic field, heat radiation, and an electric field. Their findings indicated that greater values of the Williamson parameter resulted in a reduction in the wall shear stress.

Shawky et al. [7] brought a new perspective by analyzing the movement of a non-Newtonian fluid under the influence of a magnetic field over an extended porous sheet. They observed that when the Williamson and porosity parameters increased, the rate of heat transfer to the expanded surface significantly increased. These studies collectively shed light on the intricate dynamics of pseudoplastic fluids like Williamson fluid in various boundary layer flow scenarios, offering valuable insights for practical applications in industry and engineering. In the realm of Williamson fluid dynamics, Hussain [8] conducted a comprehensive study on the influence of nanoparticles on Williamson fluid flow over a stretching sheet. The findings revealed that various physical parameters significantly impacted heat transfer near the wall, while their effects were nearly negligible farther away from the wall.

Further exploring the characteristics of Williamson nanofluids, Nadeem and Hussain [9] investigated Williamson nanofluid magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) flow over a heated surface. Notably, they discovered that the Williamson fluid's conductivity was lower than that of Williamson nanofluid., emphasizing the importance of considering nanoparticle additives for enhanced thermal performance. Delving into the complexities of Williamson nanofluids' boundary layer stream, Kebede et al. [10] analyzed its stability and revealed that the velocity profile decreased as a function of various parameters, including Williamson, 326

porosity, unsteadiness, and magnetic field parameters. These studies collectively provide valuable insights into the behavior of Williamson nanofluids, paving the way for potential applications in heat transfer and fluid dynamics research.

Numerous researchers have contributed to the understanding of the progress of boundary layers on surfaces that are linearly stretched [11–13]. Kumaran and Ramanaiah [14] investigated viscous fluid flow over a stretching surface with quadratic stretching, obtaining closed-form solutions. Ali [15] examined the thermal boundary layer's response to temperature changes and power law stretching. Elbashbeshy[16] talked about how viscous fluid flows and transfers heat under exponential stretching conditions.

By including viscous dissipation and elastic deformation, Sanjayanand and Khan [17] expanded the study to consider heat and mass transmission of viscoelastic fluid. A numerical solution for the heat and mass transfer of a viscous fluid over an exponentially extending surface was created by Magyari and Keller [18]. In their examination of the thermal radiation impacts of Jeffery fluid over an exponentially extending surface, Nadeem *et al.* [19] compared the numerical and homotopy analysis method (HAM) results. More recently, Nadeem and Lee [20] investigated the impact of nanoparticles on the exponentially stretched boundary layer flow of viscous fluid.

Numerous processes also depend on the analysis of integrated heat and mass transfer associated with chemical reactions including radioactive waste georepositories, packed bed chemical reactors, and heat exchangers used to cool electronic circuits. Chemical concentration is examined by numerous scholars [21–23].

The MHD flow over a stretching sheet has abundant industrial and manufacturing applications, which include polymer extrusion, continuous casting of metals, petroleum industries, and electrical power generators. Due to its large-scale applications, many researchers attempted to study and analyze the solutions of differential equations describing boundary layer and MHD fluid flow problems along a stretching sheet. Mohammed Ismail et al.[24] addressed the effect of thermal radiation on MHD hybrid nanofluid flow over a stretching cylinder immersed in a porous medium and discussed the various physical properties. They further extended the work in the investigation of numerically solving the heat transfer enhancement due to the radiative magnetohydrodynamics flow of a hybrid nanofluid past a porous stretching cylinder under the influence of variable viscosity as well as suction [25]. Subsequently, SM Ismail et al. [26] have analyzed the MHD flow of an aqueous ethylene glycol nanofluid past a two-way exponentially extending lamina where appropriate transformation is used, thereby the temperature and velocity sketches of copper alumina in aqueous glycol nanofluid for current physical stratum are obtained.

The thermophoresis phenomenon is essential in many practical applications including the removal of small particles from gas streams, aerosol technology, deposition of silicon thin films, and radioactive particle deposition in nuclear reactor safety simulations. Several studies discussed the impact of thermophoresis particle deposition in the literature [27– 28].

Williamson fluid over a continuously moving surface is often encountered in engineering such as polymer processing industries, paint and coatings manufacturing, food processing, inkjet printing, wastewater treatment, etc. Understanding the rheological properties of Williamson fluid is crucial in these engineering applications to optimize processes, ensure proper functionality, and achieve desired product characteristics.

In the current work, we focus on the mass and heat transfer analysis of Williamson fluid over a linearly stretching sheet, leveraging the Williamson fluid model, while considering the influence of a magnetic field, chemical reaction, and thermophoresis. By employing suitable similarity transformations, we simplify the governing boundary layer equations. The resulting equations are solved using numerical methods, and the outcomes are presented through graphs, offering valuable insights into the behavior of the Williamson fluid under linearly stretching conditions.

#### **PROBLEM FORMULATION**

Consider the Williamson fluid model, which is incompressible, steady, and capable of mass transfer while flowing over a linearly stretched sheet in two dimensions in the presence of the following physical properties: chemical reaction, thermophoresis, MHD, viscous dissipation.

The equations represent a comprehensive system that describes the conservation of mass, momentum, energy, and concentration for the Williamson fluid flow over the linearly stretched sheet, considering the effects of chemical reaction, thermophoresis, and magneto-hydrodynamics are [6,29].

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = 0 \tag{1}$$



Figure 1. Schematic diagram.

$$u\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + v\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = v\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} + \sqrt{2}v\Gamma\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} - \left(\frac{\sigma B^2(x)}{\rho c_{\rho}}\right)u \qquad (2)$$

$$u\frac{\partial T}{\partial x} + v\frac{\partial T}{\partial y} = \frac{k}{\rho c_{\rho}} \left(\frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial y^2}\right) + \frac{v}{c_{\rho}} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{\sigma B^2(x)}{\rho c_{\rho}}\right) u^2 \quad (3)$$

$$u\frac{\partial C}{\partial x} + v\frac{\partial C}{\partial y} = D\frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial y^2} - R_C (C - C_\infty) - \frac{\partial}{\partial y} (V_T (C - C_\infty)) \quad (4)$$

where the magnetic conduction is  $B(x) = \frac{B_0}{\sqrt{2x}}$ .

Because of the magnetic conduction, Eqs. (2) and (3) becomes:

$$u\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + v\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = v\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} + \sqrt{2}v\Gamma\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} - \left(\frac{\sigma B_0^2}{\rho 2xc_p}\right)u$$
(5)

$$u\frac{\partial T}{\partial x} + v\frac{\partial T}{\partial y} = \frac{k}{\rho c_{\rho}} \left(\frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial y^2}\right) + \frac{v}{c_{\rho}} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{\sigma B_0^2}{\rho 2xc_{\rho}}\right) u^2 \qquad (6)$$

Subject to the boundary conditions:

$$u = U_0, T = T_w, C = C_w \text{ at } y = 0$$
  
$$u = 0, T = T_w, C = C_w \text{ at } y \to \infty$$
(7)

where the velocities along the x and y axes are denoted by u and v respectively. v is the kinematic velocity.

By using the following similarity transformations [6,27]:

$$\eta = y \sqrt{\frac{U_0}{2\gamma x}}, \ \psi = \sqrt{2\gamma U_0 x} f(\eta), \ \psi - \text{ stream function}$$
$$u = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y}, \ v = -\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x}$$
$$u = U_0 f'(\eta), \ v = -\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{2U_0 \gamma}{x}} f + \frac{1}{2} \frac{U_0 y}{x} f'$$
$$T = (T_w - T_w) \theta(\eta) + T_w$$
$$C = (C_w - C_w) \varphi(\eta) + C_w$$
(8)

Substituting Eq. (8) in Eq. (1), which is satisfied identically. After applying the above similarity 327

transformations, Equations (2-4) becomes:

$$f''' + \lambda f'' f''' + ff'' - Mf' = 0$$
(9)

$$\theta'' + P_r \theta' f + P_r E_c f'' + M P_r E_c f'^2 = 0$$
(10)

$$\varphi'' + \operatorname{Sc}(f\varphi' + \operatorname{R}\varphi - \operatorname{T}_{V}\theta'') = 0$$
(11)

corresponding boundary conditions are:

$$f'(0) = 1, \, \theta(0) = 1, \, \phi'(0) = 1$$
  

$$f'(\infty) = 0, \, \theta(\infty) = 0, \, \phi'(\infty) = 0$$
(12)

where,

- Magnetic Parameter  $= M = \frac{\sigma B_0^2}{\rho U_0}$ , Prandl Number  $= P_r = \frac{\rho C_{\rho V}}{k} = \frac{\mu C_{\rho}}{k}$ , Eckert Number  $= E_c = \frac{U_0^2}{C_{\rho} (T_w - T_{\infty})}$ ,
- Schmidt Number  $= S_c = \frac{v}{D}$ ,

Williamson Dimensionless Parameter =  $\lambda = \frac{\Gamma}{v} \sqrt{\frac{U_0^3}{2x}}$ 

Chemical reaction parameter  $R = -Rc\left(\frac{2x}{U_0}\right)$ 

Thermophoretic parameter  $T_{V} = -\frac{k_{T}(T_{W} - T_{\infty})}{T_{\infty}}$ 

The interesting physical parameters are the local skin-friction coefficient, the local Nusselt number, and the local Sherwood number which can be defined as follows [30]:

$$C_{f} = \frac{\tau_{W}}{\rho U_{0}^{2}}, \ NU_{x} = \frac{xq_{W}}{k(T_{W} - T_{\infty})}, \ Sh_{x} = \frac{xq_{M}}{D(C_{W} - C_{\infty})},$$
  
where  $\tau_{W} = \mu \left[\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + \frac{\Gamma}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}\right)^{2}\right]_{y=0}, \ q_{W}(x) = \left[-k\frac{\partial T}{\partial y}\right]_{y=0}$ 

Using the similarity variable, we get:

$$\sqrt{2}C_{f}\operatorname{Re}_{x}^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left[f''(0) + \frac{\lambda}{2}(f''(0))^{2}\right], \quad NU_{x}\operatorname{Re}_{x}^{-\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{-\theta'(0)}{\sqrt{2}}$$
$$Sh_{x}\operatorname{Re}_{x}^{-\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{-\varphi'(0)}{\sqrt{2}}.$$

#### METHOD OF SOLUTION

The collection of non-linear partial differential equations Eqs. (2-4) were transformed into the ordinarily differentiable Eqs. (8-10) by using similarity transformation for the proposed parameter *Pr*, *M*, *Sc*,

*Ec*,  $\lambda$ , *R*, *Tv*. Further, the equations Eqs. (8–10) are converted to first-order equations as follows:

$$f(1) = f, f(2) = f', f(3) = f'', f(4) = \theta, f(5) = \theta',$$
  
$$f(6) = \varphi, f(7) = \varphi',$$

and Eqs. (8-10), have been written as:

$$f' = f(2)$$
  

$$f''' = (1/(1+\lambda^* f(3)))^* {\binom{f(2)^* f(2)}{f(1)^* f(3)}} + M^* f(2)$$
  

$$\theta' = f(5)$$
  

$$\theta'' = -(\Pr^* f(1)^* f(5)) - Ec^* \Pr^* f(3)^* f(3) - M^* \Pr^* Ec^* f(2)^* f(2)$$
  

$$\varphi'' = f(7)$$
  

$$\varphi'' = -Sc^* f(1)^* f(7) - Sc^* R^* f(6) + {\binom{-(\Pr^* f(1)^* f(5))}{-Ec^* \Pr^* f(3) - \binom{-(\Pr^* f(1)^* f(5))}{-Ec^* \Pr^* f(3) - \binom{M^* \Pr^* Ec^* f(2)^* f(2)}{-Ec^* \Pr^* f(2)^* f(2)}}$$

The bvp4c solver in MATLAB is employed to obtain numerical solutions for the above system. By analyzing graphical representations of fluctuations, we can investigate the influence of different parameters in the governing differential equations on the flow behavior. The problem involves essential dimensionless parameters like Prandtl number, Schmidt number, Eckert number, magnetic parameter, thermophoretic parameter, and chemical reaction parameter. A comprehensive examination of how various physical factors impact the velocity, concentration, and temperature of the fluid in close vicinity to the surface is obtained from these numerical solutions. The heat transfer parameter  $-\Theta'(0)$  and mass transfer parameter  $-\phi'(0)$  are also compared with [31] to evaluate the accuracy of our technique, and they agree very well with it. The association is provided in Table 1.

Table 1. Comparison value of  $-\Theta'(0)$  and  $-\phi'(0)$  for different Pr & Sc at  $\lambda = 0$ , R=0.0, M= 0, Ec = 0.

$\alpha \ SC \ al \ N = 0, \ R = 0.0, \ N = 0, \ EC = 0.$							
(0)		[31]	Present Value				
f(0)	Pr or Sc	<i>-Ө'(0)</i> or -Ф' <i>(0)</i>	<i>-Ө'(0)</i> or -ф' <i>(0)</i>				
0	1	0.4439	0.4473				
	10	1.680	1.6819				
	100	5.545	5.5432				

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The solution to the aforementioned problem is

illustrated by several graphs, each of which shows the outcomes for various parameter value sets. These values of the parameters set are taken from the earlier literature [4,5,32–35]. These graphs provide an extensive overview of the outcomes of computations. The relevant parameters including the Prandtl number, Schmidt number, Eckert number, magnetic parameter, thermophoretic parameter, and chemical reaction parameter are considered for the analysis.



Figure 2. Concentration profile for different values of Tv.

Figure 2 highlights the effect of thermophoresis on the concentration profile. It has been noted that as the thermophoretic parameter increases, the thickness of the concentration at the boundary decreases. As the thermophoretic parameter increases, the thermophoretic force becomes stronger. Consequently, the fluid particles with higher concentration move towards regions of lower temperature, and those with lower concentration move towards regions of higher temperature. This migration of species leads to a reduction in the overall concentration profile. This behavior is clearly explained in Figure 2.

Figure 3 shows that the concentration profile is decreasing for an increasing value of the Schmidt number. When the Schmidt number increases, it implies that molecular diffusivity becomes less effective compared to momentum diffusivity. In other words, momentum transfer dominates over mass transfer. This has a significant impact on the concentration profile within the fluid. Consequently, the concentration gradients in the fluid become less pronounced, leading to a decreasing concentration profile.

Figure 4 shows that the concentration profile is decreasing for an increasing value of the chemical reaction parameter. As the chemical reaction rate increases, it leads to a faster conversion of the reactants into products. In a flow or medium, this results in a depletion of the species concentration as they are consumed more rapidly due to the increased chemical reaction rate. As a consequence, the overall concentration profile decreases along the flow direction.



Figure 3. Concentration profile for different values of SC.





From Figure 5, it is evident that the temperature profile increases as the Eckert number (Ec) increases. The increase of Eckert number indicates a greater kinetic energy relative to the enthalpy change, which can lead to enhanced convective heat transfer. This behavior is observed in Figure 5.

Figure 6 depicts the variations in temperature profiles for different parameter values Pr. When Pr is relatively low, thermal transmission becomes the dominant mode of heat transfer in the system. Consequently, heat can diffuse more quickly in such cases compared to scenarios where Pr has higher values. As a result, the temperature profile exhibits a steeper decline for smaller Pr values, indicating that heat dissipates more rapidly away from the heating surface. On the other hand, for higher Pr values, the dominance of thermal conduction diminishes, leading to slower heat diffusion and a less steep temperature decline. This behavior is clearly explained in Figure 6. Based on the findings presented in Figure 7, a 329



0.6 0.7 0.8 0.9 1

0.5 η

EC = 0.3

EC =0.2

(10)0

Pr = 0.7

R = 0.2

0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4

0

-0.2

0





Figure 6. Temperature profile for different values of Pr.



Figure 7. Temperature profile for different values of M.

noteworthy observation is that the temperature of the fluid rises with increasing values of the magnetic parameter (M). When M increases, it imposes a magnetic force on the fluid, which interacts with the fluid's motion and hinders its movement in turn it increases the temperature of the fluid. From Figure 8, it is observed that the velocity profile decreases for increasing Williamson dimensionless parameter.

From Figure 9, it is evident that as the magnetic parameter (M) increases, the fluid velocity progressively decreases. When M increases, it imposes a magnetic force on the fluid, which interacts with the fluid's motion and hinders its movement, thus impacting the velocity profile.

Figure 10 shows the variation in skin friction coefficient for various values of magnetic parameter (M). It is exhibited that the local skin friction coefficient has increased for a higher value of M. Heat transfer tendency of the fluid for different values of magnetic parameters (M) is shown in Figure 11. It is revealed that heat transfer is high for increasing magnetic parameters (M).



Figure 8. Velocity profile for different values of  $\lambda$ .



Figure 9. Velocity profile for different values of M.

The mass transfer tendency of the fluid for different values of the chemical reaction parameter (R)is shown in Figure 12. It is revealed that mass transfer is high for increasing chemical reaction parameter (R).

#### **Future direction**

In the literature survey, so far limited research has been made to analyze the problem of hybrid nanoparticles with Williamson fluid model. Incorporating nanoparticles into Williamson fluid will enhance the traditional heat transfer properties because of their strong thermal conductivity. The idea of using Williamson nanofluid can be researched further in the future to enhance the heat transfer characteristics of the base fluid.



Figure 10. Skin friction coefficient for different value of M.



Figure 11. Heat transfer parameter for different values of of M.



Figure 12. Mass transfer parameter for different values of of R.

#### CONCLUSION

The study focused on investigating the influences of various dimensionless factors on the temperature,

velocity, and fluid concentration near the surface. The findings revealed significant trends in Williamson fluid, which are summarized as:

As the thermophoretic parameter increases, the strength of the thermal diffusion increases, which leads to the migration of species, and in turn, the concentration of the fluid decreases.

As Sc increases, the fluid's concentration near the surface diminishes.

As the chemical reaction factor rises, the fluid's concentration near the surface diminishes.

The fluid temperature rises with rising Eckert numbers suggesting higher Eckert numbers enhance heat transfer near the surface.

A rise in the Prandtl number causes the fluid temperature to rise suggesting that with lower values of Pr, thermal conduction is greater and that heat can diffuse and move away from the heat surface more quickly than at higher values of Pr.

The increase in the magnetic parameter (M) leads to an increase in the fluid temperature distribution and causes a decrease in the velocity profile.

The velocity profile diminishes as the Williamson dimensionless parameter increases.

Nusselt number and skin friction coefficient increase with increasing magnetic parameters, and Sc increases with increasing chemical reaction parameters.

#### NOMENCLATURE

- U<sub>0</sub> stretching parameter
- Ec Eckert number
- Sc Schmidt number
- Cp specific heat at constant pressure
- C fluid concentration
- T fluid temperature
- Pr Prandtl number
- B Magnetic field
- M magnetic parameter

#### Greek symbols

- η similarity variable
- dimensionless concentration
- λ Williamson fluid parameter
- μ dynamic viscosity
- kinematic viscosity
- ρ density of the fluid
- σ electrical conductivity
- Ψ stream function
- θ dimensionless temperature
- k thermal conductivity

#### Subscripts

- w conditions at the surface
- ∞ conditions far away from the surface

#### REFERENCES

- R.V. Williamson, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 21(1929) 1108– 1111. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/ie50239a035</u>.
- [2] B.C. Sakiadis, AlChe J. 7 (1961) 26–28. https://doi.org/10.1002/aic.690070108.
- [3] F.K. Tsou, E.M. Sparrow, R.J. Goldstein, Int. J. Heat Mass Transfer 10 (1967) 219–235. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0017-9310(67)90100-7</u>.
- [4] L.E. Erickson, L.T. Fan., V.G. Fox, Ind. Eng. Chem. 5 (1966) 19–25. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/i160017a004</u>.
- [5] S. Nadeem, S.T. Hussain, C. LeeBraz. J. Chem. Eng. 30(3) (2013) 619–625. <u>https://doi.org/10.1590/S0104-66322013000300019</u>.
- T. Hayat, A. Shafiq, A. Alsaedi, Alexandria Eng. J. 55(3) (2016) 2229–2240. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aej.2016.06.004.
- H.M. Shawky, N.T. Eldabe, K.A. Kamel, E.A. Abd-Aziz, Microsyst. Technol. 25(4) (2018) 1155–1169. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00542-018-4081-1</u>.
- [8] S. Nadeem, S.T. Hussain, Appl. Nanosci. 4(8) (2014) 1005–1012. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s13204-013-0282-1</u>.
- S. Nadeem, S.T. Hussain, J. Appl. Fluid Mech. 9 (2) (2016) 729–739. https://doi.org/10.18869/acadpub.jafm.68.225.21487.
- [10] T. Kebede, E. Haile, G. Awgichew, T. Waleign, J. Appl. Math. 2020 (2020) 1–13. <u>https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/1890972</u>.
- [11] I.C. Liu, Int. Commun. Heat Mass Transfer 32 (8) (2005) 1075–1084.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icheatmasstransfer.2005.02.003. [12] M.A.A. Hammad, M. Ferdows, Appl. Math. Mech. 33 (7)
- [12] W.A.A. Hammad, M. Ferdows, Appl. Math. Mech. 33 (7) (2012) 923–930. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10483-012-1595-7</u>.
- [13] F.M. Ali, R. Nazar, N.M. Arifin, I. Pop, Appl. Math. Mech. 32 (4) (2011) 409–418. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10483-011-1426-6</u>.
- [14] V. Kumaran, G. Ramanaiah, Acta Mech. 116 (1–4) (1996) 229–233. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01171433</u>.
- [15] M.E. Ali, Int. J. Heat Mass Transfer 16 (1995) 280–290. https://doi.org/10.1016/0142-727X(95)00001-7.
- [16] E.M.A. Elbashbeshy, Arch. Mech. 53 (6) (2001) 643–651. <u>https://am.ippt.pan.pl/am/article/viewFile/v53p643/pdf</u>.
- [17] E. Sanjayanand, S.K. Khan, Int. J. Therm. Sci. 45 (2006) 819–828.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijthermalsci.2005.11.002.

[18] E. Magyari, B. Keller, J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys. 32 (1999) 577–585. <u>http://doi.org/10.1007/s002310000126</u>.

- [19] S. Nadeem, S. Zaheer, T. Fang, Numer. Algorithms 57 (2011) 187–205. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11075-010-9423-8</u>.
- [20] E.Sanjayanand, S. K. Khan, Intl. J. Therm. Sci. 45, (2006) 819–828.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijthermalsci.2005.11.002.

- [21] E. Magyari, and B. Keller, J. Physics D: Appl. Physics, 32, (1999) 577–585. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0022-3727/32/5/012.</u>
- [22] S. Nadeem, S. Zaheer, T. Fang, Numer. Algorithms 57, (2011) 187–205. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11075-010-9423-8.</u>
- [23] S. Nadeem, C. Lee, Nanoscale Res. Lett. 7, (2012) <u>94</u> <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/1556-276X-7-94</u>.
- [24] M.S. Arif, K. Abodayeh, Y. Nawaz, Axioms, 12(5), (2023) 460, <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/axioms12050460</u>.
- [25] Y. Nawaz, M.S. Arif, K. Abodayeh, Int. J. Numer. Methods Fluids, 94 (7), (2022) 920–940. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/fld.5078</u>.
- [26] P. Loganathan, N.G. Stepha, J. Appl. Fluid Mech, 6(4), (2013) 581–588. <u>10.36884/JAFM.6.04.21276</u>.
- [27] M. Ismail, D.M. Gururaj, Heat Transf. 50(4) (2021), 4019– 4038 <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/htj.22062</u>.
- [28] M. Ismail, D.M. Gururaj, J. Nanofluids, 12 (3), (2023), 809–818. <u>https://doi.org/10.1166/jon.2023.1962</u>.
- M. Ismail, D.M. Gururaj, Numer. Heat Transf. B: Fundam. (2023) 1–27. https://doi.org/10.1080/10407790.2023.2257381.
- [30] P. Loganathan, N. Golden Stepha, Asia Pac. J. Chem. Eng. 8(6) (2013), 870–879 <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/apj.1732</u>.
- [31] L.E. Erickson, L.T Fan, V.G. Fox, Ind. Eng. Chem. Fundam. 5(1), (1966), 19–25. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/i160017a004</u>.
- [32] V.K. Garg, S. Jayaraj. Int. J. Heat Mass Transfer, 31 (1998) 875–890. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0017-9310(88)90144-5</u>.
- [33] N.A. Khan, H. Khan, Nonlinear Eng. 3(2), (2014)107–115. https://doi.org/10.1515/nleng-2014-0002.
- [34] H. Maaitah, A.N. Olimat, O. Quran, H.M. Duwairi, Int. J. Thermofluids, 19 (2023) 100361. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijft.2023.100361</u>.
- [35] A.M. Megahed, IJMPC, 31(1), (2020) 2050019. http://doi.org/10.1142/S0129183120500199.

## GOLDEN STEPHA NALLATHAMBI<sup>1</sup> BHARATHI GOWRI SASI KUMAR<sup>2</sup> GUVVA SWATHY<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, R.M.K Engineering College, India

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Ethiraj College for Women, India

<sup>3</sup>Mathematics Department, S. A. Engineering College, India

NAUČNI RAD

# MAGNETOHIDRODINAMIČKI VILIJAMSONOV TOK TEČNOSTI NA PRODUŽENOJ PLOČI SA TERMOFOREZOM I HEMIJSKOM REAKCIJOM

U ovom radu je istraživan stabilan, dvodimenzionalni, nestišljiv tok pseudoplastične Vilijamsonove tečnosti podvrgnute linearno rastegnutom sloju. Studija uključuje efekte magnetnih polja, hemijskih reakcija i termoforeze na ponašanje fluida. Primenom metoda graničnog sloja i sličnosti, glavne jednačine su pojednostavljene za numeričku analizu. Za rešavanje redukovanih jednačina koristi se MATLAB bvp4c rešavač. Dobijeni rezultati su vizuelno predstavljeni i detaljno razmotreni kako bi se sagledale fizičke karakteristike modela. Istraživanje naglašava uticaj magnetnog polja, hemijske reakcije i uticaj taloženja čestica termoforezom na strujno ponašanje Vilijamsonove tečnosti preko produžene ploče. Značajne uloge u određivanju koncentracije tečnosti u blizini graničnog sloja imaju hemijske reakcije i parametri termoforeze. Primećeno je da povećanje parametara hemijskih reakcija i termoforeze dovodi do smanjenja debljine koncentracije tečnosti u blizini graničnog sloja. Primetno je da je povećanje Šmitove vrednosti takođe smanjilo debljinu koncentracije tečnosti blizu graničnog sloja. Magnetohidrodinamički parametar značajno utiče na brzinu tečnosti i temperaturu blizu površine. Primećeno je da povećanje magnetohidrodinamičkog parametra smanjuje brzinu fluida i povećava temperaturu blizu površine. Razmatraće se uticaji koeficijenta trenja, Nuseltovog broja i koeficijenta prenosa mase na Vilijamsonovu tečnost. Dobijeni nalazi se porede sa postojećim istraživanjima, a korelacija je data tabelarno.

Ključne reči: Vilijamsonov fluid, magnetohidrodinamika, hemijska reakcija, termoforeza.



Available online at Association of the Chemical Engineers of Serbia *AChE* www.ache.org.rs/CICEQ

Chem. Ind. Chem. Eng. Q. 30 (4) 335-348 (2024)

CI&CEQ

# CHITRA DEVI VENKATACHALAM<sup>1</sup> PREMKUMAR BHUVANESHWARAN<sup>1</sup> MOTHIL SENGOTTIAN<sup>2</sup> SATHISH RAAM RAVICHANDRAN<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Food Technology, Kongu Engineering College, Perundurai, Tamil Nadu, India.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Chemical Engineering, Kongu Engineering College, Perundurai, Tamil Nadu, India

#### SCIENTIFIC PAPER

UDC 5/6:620

# RELIABILITY-BASED DESIGN OPTIMIZATION OF SCREW SHAFT FOR CONTINUOUS HIGH-PRESSURE HYDROTHERMAL CO-LIQUEFACTION PROCESS

#### Article Highlights

- Structural analysis was performed on the screw-shaft to withstand high pressure in HTCL reactor
- The uniformly varying pressure was applied to the screw shaft to calculate its structural strength
- Helix angle, depth, pitch, and flight length were the dimensional parameters optimized
- Stress, deformation, shear, and bending stress were crucial responses used for structural analysis
- GFRG, SN ratio, and ANOVA methods were used for optimization and recommended for structural simulations

#### Abstract

Hydrothermal co-liquefaction (HTCL) is the prominent process for producing bio-products with a higher conversion rate. It is performed at high temperatures and pressure in the presence of water. Earlier, it was mostly conducted in batch reactors, but it has major limitations including operating volume, back mixing, and tedious process for high productivity. With that, the present investigation is performed on designing the screw shaft for the high-pressure HTCL process. The dimensional factors including flight length, pitch, helix angle, and depth were considered to design the optimal screw shaft. Likewise, principal stresses, shear stress, bending stress, bending moment, and total deformation were regarded as inevitable response variables to analyze the internal strength of the shaft. In this regard, the Taguchi approach provides the  $L_9(3^4)$  orthogonal array as an experimental design. Then, the numerical results from the transient structural analysis were analyzed with the assistance of statistical methods such as Grey Relational Grade (GRG), Grey Fuzzy Reasoning Grade, Analysis of Variance (ANOVA), and Taguchi method to find the most influential dimensions for minimizing the response variable. Consequently, the results from both GRG and Taguchi optimization were compared, and selected the most optimum parameters.

Keywords: hydrothermal co-liquefaction, screw shaft, finite element method, stress analysis, Goodman failure criteria, multi and single response optimization technique.

As stated by the Energy Information Administrati-

E-mail: erchitrasuresh@gmail.com

Paper received: 24 November, 2023

Paper accepted. 24 February, 2024

https://doi.org/10.2298/CICEQ231124004V

on (EIA), the utilization of liquid fuels was 102.22 million barrels per day at the end of 2022 and also it is expected to increase by 2.4 % in forthcoming years.

These gradual increments in the utilization of conventional fuel and its future demand indirectly suggest the need for alternate fuels like biomass energy, which can be produced via thermochemical conversion processes [1]. The commercialization of these biomass conversion technologies would

Correspondence: C.D. Venkatachalam, Department of Food Technology, Kongu Engineering College, Perundurai, Tamil Nadu - 638060, India.

Paper revised: 14 February, 2024 Paper accepted: 24 February, 2024

decrease the emission of greenhouse gases and pollutant particulates, thereby reducing global warming [2]. Among different thermochemical conversion processes, hydrothermal co-liquefaction (HTCL) is the most promising process for the quick conversion of biomass into bio-liquid under both subcritical and supercritical conditions because of using water as solvent and catalyst. HTCL process focuses on the biological degradation of feedstock at higher temperatures (250 °C to 350 °C) and pressure (20 MPa to 25 MPa) to produce a high amount of bio-crude rather than biosolid and biogas [3,4].

In the last few decades, the HTCL process was performed in single and sequence-type hydrothermal batch reactors for various types of biomass conversion. The capacity of these reactors was in the range of 5 mL to 800 mL, and it also consisted of agitators and electrical band heaters to improve the heat transfer and enhance mixing during the hydrothermal conversion. Some of the major limitations of batch reactors including back mixing and inconsistency of the bioproducts are eliminated in the current HTCL reactor [5–7]. Additionally, a wide range of particle sizes can be used in this reactor compared to batch reactors. In the batch reactor, biomass heating, cooling, discharging, and refilling were time-consuming processes that led to lower energy efficiency. Some researchers have also carried out the same process in a semi-continuous reactor using a high-pressure piston feeder with a heat exchanger in the closed chamber. The major drawback of this process is the use of higher input energy for the high-pressure piston pump of 30 MPa as it also increases the operating cost. [8,9].

To overcome these limitations, Efika et al. (2012) and Shengbo et al. (2021) performed thermochemical reactions on screw-type reactors for quick transportation and biomass conversion. A two-stage screw kiln reactor (screw diameter = 6 cm and length = 54 cm) was used for the production of syngas from the waste biomass through pyrolysis which is operated at temperatures between 500 °C and 760 °C and it resulted in better biomass mixing and better heat transfer rate than batch reactors [10,11]. Likewise, Hoekman et al. (2017) developed a twin-screw extruder (having a 25 mm diameter) operating at high pressure of 25 MPa and temperature of 350 °C. It exhibited a shorter residence time (21-28 sec) compared to the conventional reactor [12]. In addition, an auger-type screw reactor was used for recycling the plastic waste through pyrolysis, it is performed at temperatures between 380 °C and 600 °C with better axial dispersion and uniform flow in thermal conditions over the length with respect to time [13].

From the above studies, it was observed that these continuous-type screw reactors had quick

processing rates, higher productivity, and less energy intake. Moreover, the efficiency and chemical properties of the biofuel were also found to be improved in the screw-type continuous reactor with shorter residence time regardless of the thermochemical process [2,10,11,14,15]. Especially, the auger screw was preferred for pyrolysis due to the transition of dry grains and in the case of wet feedstock, the Archimedes screw was preferred for the better transition of particles in the form of slurry [16,17].

Finite Element Method (FEM) is a widely used technique to model and theoretically evaluate the structural integrity of any mechanical component. Likewise, Goodman criteria are preferred while performing the failure analysis under dynamic conditions and it has been used in various designs of components such as the main shaft in gas turbine engines, drive shaft, turbine shaft, and propeller shaft [18,19]. Further, the Grey Relational Grade (GRG) is the most employed optimization technique for multiple responses where the direct responses were converted single response called Grey Relational Grade (GRG), and it is verified with the guidance of Grey Fuzzy Reasoning Grade (GFRG). Recently, it has been performed to achieve machining effectiveness [20], improving the tensile strength of the aluminum alloy pipe [21,22], enhancing the bending and torsional stiffness of the external structure of automobile [23], improving the static and dynamic performance of the drive shaft [18] and beam structure [24].

In the present study, the reactor under consideration consists of an Archimedes screw shaft rotated inside a cylindrical chamber employed with external band heaters, inside which the transition and chemical reaction of the feedstock takes place within the chamber. The shaft was designed to experience both torsional loads (due to shaft rotation) and bending loads (due to reaction pressure) during the continuous HTCL process. The screw shaft is the important component that pushes forward the feedstock towards the length of the reactor and it has to withstand high temperatures of 400 °C and pressure varying from 1 to 25 MPa. To analyze the structural stability of the screw shaft and to study its resistance to stress and deformation at high-pressure FEM is used. In this study, flight length, pitch, depth, and helix angle were considered the vital dimensions to be optimized with respect to principal stresses, bending stress, shear stress, and total deformation. Here, the dimensions for the optimization study were considered by following the standard shaft design procedure. The optimum dimensions of the screw shaft were selected by using the multi-response optimization technique (grey relational grade method), single-response optimization technique (Taguchi's method), and its contribution as

an effective factor is predicted using ANOVA. The method followed for dimensional optimization is given in the flowchart as shown in Fig. 1.



Figure 1. (a) Pressure contour plot; (b) pressure profile for screw shaft enclosed reaction chamber during high-pressure fluid flow.

#### MATERIALS AND METODS

#### Materials

During the HTCL process, the water which is used as the solvent becomes more acidic, and thus the screw shaft is more susceptible to corrosion. So, the screw shaft should be made of a material with easy machinability and high resistance to corrosion, wear, and chloride formation. Because of good acidic, corrosion, and chloride resistance, H13, SS304, and SS316 were initially considered to develop the screw shaft. However, SS304 and SS316 were not selected due to their inadequate mechanical properties such as vield and ultimate strength to sustain high stress, whereas H13 is selected for designing the screw shaft. The presence of high Chromium and molybdenum in H13 enhances the resistance to corrosion and chloride formation [25]. Table S1 (Supporting material) compares the mechanical properties like Young's modulus, bulk modulus, shear modulus, and tensile and compressive strength of H13 with SS304 and SS316, and these values were taken for Finite element analysis [26,27].

The screw shaft was modeled by using the Catia V5R21 for which the dimensions were obtained from the Design Expert Software version 8.0 as given in Table S2. The pressure distribution over the length of the screw shaft in each section of the reactor filled with biomass slurry was determined by fluent analysis.

Furthermore, the Finite Element Method (FEM) was carried out for the discretization of the screw shaft where the structural analysis is performed to know the stress distribution, deformation, bending stress, and FOS through a transient structural module in Ansys R1.

## Metodology

#### Specification of the screw shaft

Flight length, diameter, pitch, helix angle, and depth were considered the important thread dimensions to be optimized for designing an optimal shaft model. The size of depth is essential to find the cross-sectional area and is responsible for the shear and principal stress of the shaft. Likewise, flight length is the major factor responsible for the bendability of the shaft. At last, helix angle and pitch length were crucial parameters for the flowability of fluid in the shaft. Whence, the shaft diameter (58 mm) was fixed by applying the continuity equation (conservation of mass) given in Eq. (1) for the constant mass flow rate of biomass (1 kg/hr). In general, the L/D ratio ranging from 20 to 30 had chosen for the perfect extrusion of the feed material in the screw shaft and also the pitch was another salient parameter for transporting the biomass which ranges from 0.25 to 0.5 % of the screw diameter. In addition, the helix angle of the square thread provides better flow over the transportation which was calculated by using Eq. (2). The depth used for the square thread is always half of the screw pitch. Moreover, it was guite difficult to select the best value from each dimension to develop the optimized design of the screw shaft. Hence, the Design of Experiments (L9 - Taguchi's model) was used to select the better combination of the dimensions to model the HTCL screw shaft as given in Table S2. The diameter of the reaction chamber was calculated through the Continuity equation given by:

The mass flow rate through the inlet  $m_1$  - mass flow rate through the outlet  $m_2$ :

$$m_1 = \rho A V \tag{1}$$

The equation for computing the helix angle of the screw shaft:

$$\phi = \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{P}{\pi D} \right) \tag{2}$$

where P - screw pitch, and D - screw diameter.

#### Finite Element Method (FEM) for the screw shaft

Fig. S1(a,b) illustrates the two-dimensional schematic diagram and 3-D model of the screw shaft used for the finite element analysis. The feed mixture enters zone "A" called as suction zone, and here the

feed material is freely moved along the screw shaft and it reaches the compression zones "B" and "C". The feedstock gets compressed and becomes finer particles during HTCL in this zone. A high-pressure water nozzle is provided to improve the chemical conversion and operating pressure in zone "D". Likewise, zones "E" and "G" represent the second and third compression zones, while "F" and "H" represent the expansion zones respectively. The high-pressure zones (C, D, E) are marked using a red box in Fig. S1 (a). Further, the discretization was made by converting the screw shaft into triangular elements using FEM during structural analysis. The governing Eqs. (3,4) were used for meshing the uniformly rotating shaft considering the gyroscopic effect and resisting moment. Further discretization of the screw shaft was done using the adaptive meshing technique for the size of 2 mm and is given in Fig. S1(c,d). Also, the high smoothing mesh was adapted to get better convergence results, especially on transient conditions [28-30]. For pure torsion acting on the screw shaft, it was assumed that the shaft material is homogeneous and perfectly elastic. It was also assumed that the torsional moment is uniform over the shaft length, the stress does not exceed the limit of proportionality and the deformation is negligible.

The global force equation for screw shaft using FEM is given by Eq. (3):

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{K} \end{bmatrix} \{ \mathcal{U} \} = \{ \mathcal{F} \} \tag{3}$$

where [K]- stiffness matrix,  $\{u\}$  - displacement matrix, and  $\{F\}$  - force matrix.

The derived FEM equation applied for meshing the screw shaft is given by Eq. (4):

#### Loading and boundary conditions

The uniformly varying pressure (from 1 MPa to 23 MPa) developed in different zones through the length of the HTCL reactor is given in Fig. S2(a). This pressure variation happens due to the alternate thread portion (left and right thread) in the screw shaft. It was observed that the screw shaft experienced a maximum torque of 125000 Nm while running at 60 rpm and a maximum pressure of 23 MPa. Fig. S2(a) shows the different pressure zones that were found by applying the HTCL operating conditions onto the screw shaft enclosed with the reaction chamber. The pressure analysis was performed using a fluent module pressure 229

profile developed for similar HTCL conditions as shown in Fig. S2(b). It shows a gradual increment in pressure from 1 to 23 MPa till the length of 0.8 m, after which it starts to decrease significantly. High pressure was developed at the mid-section of the screw shaft due to the water injection. Likewise, a slight pressure decrease was observed at the end zones (F, G, H) of the screw shaft due to the choking of feedstock.

During the FEM analysis, the shaft was divided into seven zones based on the different pressure acting on each section of the screw shaft given in Fig. S1(a). In addition, the shaft is free to rotate and pressure (uniformly varying load) is acting in all directions. The analysis was carried out for 7 secs (number of steps) with a time step of 0.5 sec and solved using the workenergy method with governing equation as given in Eq. (4). It was considered that the pressure is acting gradually concerning time in each zone of the screw shaft under transient conditions and the timedependent pressure was calculated by using the SN curve in FEM analysis shown in Table S3.

#### Response variable studies to optimize the design

Principal stresses, shear stress, bending moment, bending stress, total deformation, and Factor of Safety (FOS) were the crucial response variables considered for structural analysis. These variables were numerically estimated using the structural analysis in simulation software. Principal stresses were calculated to realize the internal strength of the screw shaft for the given dimensions. It should be less than the ultimate tensile strength (UTS) for any material and its crosssection to resist the external force. Maximum and minimum principal stresses were estimated by using Eq. (5) and it is acting on the corresponding planes, which the angle ranges from 0° to 180° in the finite element method [31,32]. Similarly, bending moment and deformation were considered to evaluate the amount of deflection and change of cross section during the action of external force. The bending moment might be varied along the overall length of the shaft, depending on the distribution of applied load [33]. It is necessary to ensure that the moment developed in the shaft should be within acceptable limits to avoid excessive deflection [34]. The bending moment was calculated by considering the shaft with a simply supported beam with a uniformly varying load which is given in Eq. (6). The Permanent deformation occurs when the stress value exceeds the yield stress and further increment of load leads to fracture due to shear and bending stress [35]. Bending and shear stress were the two major stresses responsible for estimating the fatigue failure or lifetime of the screw shaft. The maximum shear stress acting on the fractured surface of the screw shaft was estimated using Eq. (7).

The Goodman failure criteria given in Fig. 3 show the good relationship between bending and shear stress for calculating the endurance cycle and safe limits of the screw shaft [36].

Maximum and minimum principal stresses were calculated using  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$  given by Eq. (5):

$$\sigma_{\max,\min} = \frac{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2}{2} \pm \left[\frac{\sigma_1 - \sigma_2}{2}\right] X \cos(2\theta)$$
(5)

The bending moment of the shaft with a simply supported beam with a uniformly varying load was calculated by using Eq. (6):

Bending moment, 
$$M = \left(\frac{WXL}{2}\right) - \left(\frac{WL^2}{2}\right)$$
 (6)

The shear stress of any shaft was calculated by using Eq. (7):

$$\tau_{\max} = \frac{TD}{2J} \tag{7}$$

Goodman's safety factor for the given dimensions of the screw shaft was estimated using Eq. (8) [36]:

$$\frac{\sigma_{ta}}{s_{\theta}} + \frac{\sigma_{tm}}{s_{U}} = \frac{1}{n}$$
(8)

where n - Goodman safe limit.

Total deformation of the screw shaft was calculated by using Eq. (9):

$$\sigma_{total} = \sqrt{\chi^2 + \gamma^2 + Z^2} \tag{9}$$

#### Procedure for dimensional optimization

The well-established procedure was followed to perform the dimensional optimization based on the flow chart given in Fig. 1. Firstly, the multiple responses were converted into a single response termed GFRG using the fuzzy method. Then, the GFRG result was applied to the signal-to-noise ratio method in Taguchi's technique to carry out single response optimization based on estimated variance. ANOVA was performed to realize the contribution of individual dimensions with respect to the response variable. The two sets of dimensions were predicted from Fuzzy and Taguchi's method. The screw shaft was designed depending on the predicted two sets of dimensions and the best simulation result was taken to the design and fabrication process.

#### Grade relational grade optimization method

The robustness of the dimensional factors for designing the screw shaft can be improved using a multi-response optimization method termed Grey

Relational Grade (GRG). Initially, the normalized value is estimated from the primary results using Eqs. (10,11) based on the requirements of "Larger-the-better" or "Smaller-the-better" conditions. Then, the Grey Relational Coefficient (GRC) was calculated through Eq. (12) and it is an essential term to obtain the GRG values. The final results were verified with the Grey Fuzzy Reasoning Grade (GFRG) and evaluated by following the fuzzy logic. Fuzzification, Rule base, Decision making, and Defuzzification were the four major steps to be followed to bring the value of GFRG. In fuzzification, the Mamdani interface system was applied to relate the input and output parameters. Furthermore, the membership function was prepared using linguistic variables based on the sequential values of both input factors and output response (GRG value), which helps to generate the fuzzy rules. The rank was provided to both GRG and GFRG values depending on the highest value was graded as one and so on [20,37,38]. The normalized values of the original response sequence were obtained by:

$$y_{n}^{*} = \frac{y_{n}(q) - \min y_{n}(q)}{\max y_{n}(q) - \min y_{n}(q)}$$
(10)

if Larger-the-better.

$$y_{n}^{*} = \frac{\max y_{n}(q) - y_{n}(q)}{\max y_{n}(q) - \min y_{n}(q)}$$
(11)

if Smaller-the-better. Where,  $y_n^*$  - normalized value of respective runs,  $y_n(q)$  - primary response value of respective run from simulation results, max  $y_n(q)$  - maximum value of individual response variable, min  $y_n(q)$  - minimum value of individual response variable,  $y_d^*$  - deviation of individual response from normalized value.

The grey relational coefficient (GRC) can be evaluated as follows:

$$\rho(q) = \frac{\Delta \min + \rho \Delta \max}{\Delta y_{q}^{*} + \rho \Delta \max}$$
(12)

where,  $\rho(q)$  - Grey relational coefficient,  $y_d^*=1$ -  $y_n^*$  - deviation from the normalized value,  $\rho$  - weightage for coefficient. Generally,  $\rho = 0.5$  was taken.

#### Taguchi's optimization technique

Taguchi's method plays a vital role in optimizing the dimensional parameters with respect to a single response at a minimal number of trials. It also uses the orthogonal array technique to build the design of experiments, which mainly depends on degrees of freedom. Taguchi produces the results based on calculating the mean and deviation of the response variable. When the deviation is less or equal mean obtained, the response variable will not change concerning dimensional factors. In addition, the signal-to-noise analysis was also considered for building a sustainable model with minimum deviation [21,39,40]. In this regard, three levels with four factors (3<sup>4</sup>) models were chosen for designing the efficient screw shaft. For 3<sup>4</sup> models, there were four orthogonal arrays (L<sub>9</sub>, L<sub>12</sub>, L<sub>16</sub>, and L<sub>18</sub>) available for constructing the design of experiments.

The GFRG attained from the Fuzzy Interface System (FIS) was implemented in Taguchi's method to find out the optimal values of dimensional factors. Here, the rank was provided to each parameter based on the deviation of the response variable. It was also expressed from the SN ratio graph by measuring the deviation from the target value relative to the noise present in the system. SN ratio is commonly classified into three types namely smaller-the-better, larger-thebetter, and nominal-the-better based on the specific objective of the response variable which is given in Eqs. (13–15). Most of the stress values prefer the smallerthe-better type SN ratios to find the optimal dimensional factor [41,42].

When the response variable is recommended to be smaller then:

$$\alpha = -10\log\frac{1}{m}\sum_{a=1}^{m}z_i^2 \tag{13}$$

When the response variable is recommended to be nominal then:

$$\alpha = -10\log \frac{1}{m} \sum_{a=1}^{m} \frac{\mu^2}{\sigma^2}$$
(14)

When the response variable is recommended to be larger then:

$$\alpha = -10\log \frac{1}{m} \sum_{a=1}^{m} \frac{1}{z_i^2}$$
(15)

#### Analysis of Variance (ANOVA)

The statistical method (ANOVA) is applied to know the impact of the different factors with respect to the response variable. ANOVA uses the results that were obtained from the GRG optimization. It also defines the null and the alternative hypothesis to find the significance of the different factors by evaluating the means of the response variable. The P and F values are more important in choosing the suitable hypothesis based on significant values. In case, the P-value is less than or equal to 0.05, then the null hypothesis is not applicable for the particular response or else the specific factor is acceptable for the null hypothesis and

also it shows a similar mean value at each level. Finally, *R*-squared and adjusted *R*-squared are the salient parameters for explaining the proportion of the variation of the response variable, which ranges from 0 to 1. Null hypothesis ( $H_0$ ) means a similar response at each level of dimensional factors and alternative hypothesis ( $H_1$ ) has a different response at each level of dimensional factors [21,40].

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

# Maximum and minimum principal stress affecting the screw shaft

Fig. 2a illustrates the maximum principal stress of the screw shaft, in which run-8 experience the lowstress value of 8.5176 x 10<sup>7</sup> Pa and run-2 exhibits the high stress of 5.9686 x 10<sup>8</sup> Pa was calculated using Eq. (5). Likewise, run-1, 4, 5 and 9 possess the stress values from 3 x  $10^8$  Pa to 3.7623 x  $10^8$  Pa and run-3, 6 and 7 resist the external force ranges from 1.05 x 10<sup>8</sup> Pa to 1.51 x 10<sup>8</sup> Pa. These stress values of the screw shaft are under the limit of bulk modulus (160 x 10<sup>9</sup> Pa) of H13. In the case of minimum principal stress given in Fig. 2b, the highest  $(1.7854 \times 10^8 \text{ Pa})$  and lowest (2.2018 x 10<sup>7</sup> Pa) stress value was possessed by run-2 and run-8 respectively. The stress value ranges from 2.7148 x  $10^7$  Pa to 1.0834 x  $10^8$  Pa for the remaining sets of Taguchi's runs. By considering the abovementioned principal stress value, the dimensions used for run-8 were suggested for designing the screw shaft due to their high resistance to external pressure.

Apart from principal stress, the stress ratio is also important for describing the fatigue life of the screw shaft. It is the ratio of the difference between minimum and maximum principal stress to the sum of these two stresses. Fatigue life mainly depends on the temperature, loading, and stress developed in the testing material. In addition, the stress ratio shows an indirect proportionality with the fatigue life [36,43]. In this regard, run-6 and run-2 have the lowest and highest stress ratios of 0.28 and 0.56 respectively. The stress ratio from 0.43 to 0.54 was possessed by the residual runs of the FEM analysis. From the aforementioned data, the dimensions suggested for run-6 and run-8 have higher fatigue life compared to other runs for the given loading conditions shown in Table S3. So, it withstands a large number of cycles under dynamic conditions of the screw shaft. It is also noted that the small L/D ratio delivers the higher fatigue life of the shaft and resists the maximum principal stress.

# Total shear force and maximum bending moment acting on the screw shaft

The different dimensions of the screw shaft in



Figure 2. Contour plot for (a) maximum principal stress in Pa; (b) minimum principal stress in Pa under external pressure for Taguchi's design of screw shaft.

each run given in Table S2 were converted into the beam with a corresponding circular cross-section for beam analysis under the finite element method. The cross-section of each beam provides the different area moment of inertia in the Y and Z axes calculated using Eq. (6). Area moment of inertia always shows the indirect proportionality with the bending moment, which implies that the shaft will bend very less for a larger area moment of inertia. Moreover, it depends on the geometrical property of the shaft. The boundary conditions used for the beam analysis are similar to the stress analysis. The beam was segmented into seven sections based on the pressure acting on the shaft given in Fig. S1 (Supplementary material) and Fig.1a.

Shear force at the given section is the rate of change of bending moment with respect to the axial distance given in Eq. (7). It is also the first derivative and shows direct proportionality with the bending moment. In this regard, the bending moment should be minimal for any cross section to withstand the deflection. Additionally, the bending moment mainly depends on the nature and magnitude of the load, type of support, and also dimensional parameters like flight length, diameter, and depth of the shaft [34,44]. Now,

the type of load and support are similar for each model of the screw shaft. In this respect, Fig. S3b shows the lowest (9537.2 Nm) and highest (14884 Nm) bending moments of the screw shaft exhibited by run-2 and run-4 respectively. The bending moment lies between 9646.8 Nm and 14771 Nm for the rest of Taguchi's runs. There was a slight difference in bending moment (110 Nm) between run-2 and run-8. As recommended in the principal stress, the dimensions applied for run-2 and run-8 are suitable for designing the screw shaft with minimum bending effects due to having less area moment of inertia compared to the remaining models. Also, these runs have a smaller L/D ratio to resist the bending against the external load.

# Influence of maximum bending and shear stress on the screw shaft

Figs. S4a and S4b illustrate the contour plot for bending and shear stress, which were derived by solving the FEM equation under transient conditions. These stresses mainly depend on the geometrical dimensions like radius (distance from the neutral axis), length, and helix angle of the shaft and it should be minimum for any material to withstand the fatigue failure. In the present study, the minimum values of bending (9.1276 x  $10^8$  Pa) and shear stress (3.7969 x  $10^7$  Pa) were experienced in run-8, which also exhibits the maximum fatigue safety factor of n=1.2 estimated using Eq. (8). Moreover, run-8 withstands the stress of 1.2 times higher than the allowable stress of H13. Table S4 shows the maximum endurance limit of  $10^7$  was observed for run-8, as a result of the intersection of bending and shear stress acting below the modified Goodman line shown in Fig. 3. Meanwhile, run-9 shows the maximum bending stress value of

4.9549 x  $10^9$  Pa and its corresponding shear stress of 1.2643 x  $10^8$  Pa but it possesses the minimum safety factor of 0.21 and endurance limit of  $10^3$ , which was inappropriate dimensions for designing the screw shaft. It was noticed that the wide range of bending and shear stress was perceived for the remaining runs from 1.2169 x  $10^9$  Pa to 4.0939 x  $10^9$  Pa and 4.6412 x  $10^7$  Pa to 2.2281 x  $10^8$  Pa respectively. It also provides an intermediate safety factor from 0.26 to 0.87 and an endurance limit from  $10^3$  to  $10^6$  for residual runs, which is also not suitable to design the screw shaft [32,36].



Figure 3. Goodman life diagram for calculating the endurance cycle of the screw shaft.

From the above details, it is noted that the bending and shear stress is gradually increased with decreasing the diameter and increasing the length of the shaft. The safety factor calculated in Table S4 shows a noticeable increase when decreasing the helix angle of the screw. Also, the bendability of the screw is decreased by increasing the helix angle. It is observed that the bending stress decreased as a result of increasing pitch length at the constant diameter of the shaft, which shows that the pitch can indirectly influence the bending and shear stress of the screw shaft. The thread occurs very close for a shorter pitch that can evenly distribute the higher stress over the length of the shaft at a given torque. Hence, run-8 shows a shorter length, larger pitch, diameter, and helix angle which is accepted for designing the safe screw shaft.

# Total deformation and its factor of safety experienced by the screw shaft

Fig. S5a,b represents the total deformation and FOS, which was determined for each run of Taguchi's

design. In this regard, the maximum deformation of 5.5917 mm was acting on the mid-section of run-2 shown in Fig S5a. Likewise, run-8 shows the minimum deformation of 0.457 mm acting on the mid-section of the screw shaft. In addition, the second and third minimum deformation were experienced by run-6 and 7 which shows the larger diameter and shorter flight length. Run-4, 6, 8, and run-1, 3, and 7 also show that the deformation gives direct proportionality with flight length at a constant diameter. Hence, it is observed that the shaft with a shorter length provides less deformation than the larger flight length.

In Goodman's calculation, the safety factor was calculated using mean bending and shear stress experienced by the shaft. It can endure stress 1.2 times higher than the allowable stress of the material. Now, the principal and shear stress were used for determining the safety factor of the screw shaft. From Fig. S5b, it is observed that the FOS range from 3.94 to 15 was considered a safe design under static and dynamic conditions of the shaft. It shows that the structure can resist the maximum stress of 3.5 to 15
times more than the allowable stress. In specific, the safety factor is comparatively less for runs-1, 2, and 8 due to the minimum helix angle of the screw but these values are not considered inappropriate dimensions for designing the screw shaft. The design with an optimal safety factor suggests the economic structure of the screw shaft for the given hydrothermal process. In the case of FOS, all nine runs are applicable for designing the screw shaft with more reliability and cost-efficient.

# Conversion of multiple responses to single response using GRG optimization

The numerical results of each response variable obtained from transient structural simulation are given in Table S5. The multiple responses like principal stress, shear stress, bending stress, bending moment, and total deformation were converted to a single response, called GRG. Initially, the response parameters were converted into normalized values. Then, the computed deviation can be applied to find the GRC values using Eq. (12) for individual runs. Further, Table S6 provides the values of grey relational grade determined by taking the mean of GRC values of respective runs [20]. Finally, the rank was allocated to each run depending on the highest to lowest GRG values given in Table S6. From the GRG analysis, it is

observed that run-8 is positioned as rank-1 due to the higher GRG value of 0.992 and run-9 acquired the GRG value of 0.434, positioned as rank-9. It suggested that the dimensions of run-8 are preferred to design the screw shaft.

The Grey Fuzzy Reasoning Grade (GFRG) was predicted to verify the formulated GRG values. In this regard, the Mamdani interface system was applied to relate the input factors like flight length, pitch, helix angle, and depth and also consider the GRG value as output. The linguistic variable was assigned to each level of input parameters as low, medium, and high shown in Fig. S6a. Likewise, it was specified to output parameters as extremely low, very low, low, low medium, medium, high, very high, and extremely high as expressed in Fig. S6b of a certain range from 0.434 to 0.992 as given in Table S7. Then, these linguistic variables were assisted in formulating the nine fuzzy rules based on certain conditions as given in Table S8. Moreover, Fig. S7. illustrates the fuzzy interface system that provides the values of GFRG depending on customized fuzzy rules. It shows the GFRG value of run-8, which is the higher value among other runs. It also provides the intermediate responses to their corresponding inputs, which helps to understand the variation of GFRG value.



Figure 4. Comparison of grades concerning simulation trials.

The behavior of the response parameters in terms of GRG and GFRG values are given in Table S9 and the graphical representation is shown in Fig. 4. Whence, it is observed that the GFRG is improved up to 6.1 % as compared to GRG, which implies the reduction of fuzziness in the simulation system. Aforementioned in the previous section, run-8 shows the higher GFRG value of 0.998 and is positioned as rank-1 as given in Table S9 and Fig. 4, and is preferred to design the screw shaft.

#### Signal-to-Noise analysis using GFRG

Signal-to-Noise analysis given in Table S10 provides the ranking method to select the prominent control factors based on the delta value, which is the deviation between the actual and target value of the response variable, GFRG. Higher and lower delta values suggested the extreme and moderate deviation

a) Means of GFRG

of the response with respect to the control factors. In this regard, the depth of the screw shaft possesses a higher delta value of 3.272 and is positioned as rank-1. Likewise, flight length and pitch show intermediate deviations of 2.52 and 1.25 ranked as 2 and 3 respectively. The Helix angle shows the minimum delta value of 0.76 and is positioned as rank-4, which shows the less significant factor for a corresponding response. These values were compared with the SN ratio graph shown in Fig. 5b, which also suggests the similar behavior of the control factors concerning GFRG. From Fig. 5b, it is observed that flight length and depth showed a higher deviation from the mean than other factors as mentioned in Table S11. Moreover, Fig. 5 also preferred the shorter flight length and depth of 1160 mm and 7.25 mm, nominal pitch, and helix angle of 21.75 mm and 6.8° respectively for reducing the direct responses acting on the screw shaft.

#### b) SN ratios of GFRG



Figure 5. Means and SN ratios of grey fuzzy relational grade.

#### Single response optimization using GFRG

ANOVA was employed to calculate the contribution of each dimensional factor with respect to a single response referred to as GFRG. It suggests that flight length is the most significant factor with a contribution of 31.52%. Likewise, pitch, helix angle, and depth also convey the noticeable contributions of 27.96%, 22.5%, and 18.02%. The *P*-values calculated in Table S11 were less than 0.05 which rejects the null

hypothesis with the acceptance of the alternative hypothesis. It shows potential intent toward the deviation of the GFRG from the mean value. Moreover, Table S11 provides the estimated *R*-squared and adjusted *R*-squared values as 99.34% and 97.36% which also shows the vital deviation in the GFRG with respect to different levels of dimensional factors. Nevertheless, flight length, pitch, helix angle, and depth were crucial factors for controlling direct responses like principal stress, shear stress, bending stress, bending moment, and total deformation. Eq. (16) proposed the regression equation to find the intermediate GFRG with respect to input factors.

The regression equation for calculating the intermediate GFRG value is given by:

$$GFRG = 0.6700 + 0.1537 w_1 - 0.0510w_2 - 0.1027w_3$$
$$+ 0.0637 x_1 + 0.0547 x_2 - 0.1183 x_3 + 0.8231y_1$$
$$+ 0.0463 y_2 - 0.01083 y_3 + 0.1093 z_1$$
$$+ 0.0310 z_2 - 0.1403 z_3$$
(16)

where, w - flight length, x - pitch, y - helix angle and z - depth.

#### Confirmation test for the screw shaft

When analyzing the various results obtained from both numerical and statistical methods for the given response variable, it is capable of determining the optimum dimensions for designing the efficient screw shaft. Initially, the two sets of dimensions were selected

a) Confirmation test based on GFRG value

as 1160 mm, 14.5 mm, 4.5°, 7.25 mm, and 1160 mm, 14.5 mm, 9°, and 7.25 mm based on the results of GFRG and SN analysis. The final transient structural analysis was performed to get the perfect set of values from the above-mentioned dimensions. Fig. 6a,b reveals that similar values of bending stress and bending moment were obtained for both analyses. The values of principal and shear stress possess 9.8% and 37.5% higher internal resistance in SN analysis when compared to GFRG analysis. In the case of total deformation, the value acquired from SN analysis shows 87.5% less deformation compared to GFRG analysis. Even though slight increment in principal and shear stress, this highest value is limited within the ultimate stress of H13. In addition, the total deformation is the most considerable response to design the screw shaft among other responses. Hence, the optimized dimensions obtained from the SN analysis were preferred to design the screw shaft. The optimum values of flight length, pitch, helix angle, and depth were selected as 1160 mm, 21.75 mm, 6.8°, and 7.25 mm respectively.





Figure 6. Confirmation structural analysis depends on GFRG and SN analysis.

#### CONCLUSION

The optimal screw shaft has been designed for the high-pressure HTCL reactor with the help of FEM

followed by statistical optimization using GRG, GFRG, ANOVA, and Taguchi's method. Maximum principal stress, maximum shear stress, maximum bending

stress, total bending moment, and deformation were taken as the crucial response variables for dimensional optimization of depth, helix angle, flight, and pitch length. It is observed that the dimensional parameters present in run-8 show the minimum stress and total deformation. In addition, the Goodman method also conveys that run-8 has ensured a higher safety limit and endurance limit of 1.20 and 10<sup>7</sup> cycles respectively. The above-mentioned five response variables were successfully converted into a single response termed GRG during multi-response optimization. The result possesses that run-8 dimensions have the highest GRG and GFRG value of 0.992 and 0.998 respectively, and it is suitable for designing the screw shaft. Conversely, the SN ratio from Taguchi analysis suggests a slightly modified dimension in helix angle compared to run-8. The two sets of dimensions were taken for confirmation test as set-1 consists of flight length=1160 mm, depth=7.25 mm, helix angle=4.5°, pitch length=14.5 mm, and set-2 comprise of flight length=1160 mm, depth=7.25 mm, helix angle=6.8°, and pitch length=21.75 mm depending on the results from GFRG and SN analysis respectively. From the confirmation results, it is recommended that the dimensions obtained from the SN analysis provide very little deformation of 0.0566 mm, which is 87.5 % lower than the deformation formed in the dimensions of the GFRG analysis. From the above details, the optimal dimensions were selected from SN analysis (set-2) as 1160 mm, 14.5 mm, 9°, and 7.25 mm for respective flight length, pitch, helix angle, and depth of the screw shaft.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research work was supported by the Department of Science and Technology, Ministry of India under the sanction order no. DST/TDT/WMT/Plastic Waste/2021/08(G)&(C). This research article is based on numerical simulations and optimization conducted by the authors. The authors would like to thank all the researchers who contributed to the research work. Throughout this study, the authors acknowledge Kongu Engineering College for their support of the research facility.

#### REFERENCES

- C.D. Venkatachalam, S.R. Ravichandran, M. Sengottian, Environ. Eng. Res. 27(2021), 200555-200571. <u>https://doi.org/10.4491/eer.2020.555</u>.
- [2] F. Campuzano, R.C. Brown, J.D. Martínez, Renew. Sust. Energ. Rev. 102(2019), 372-409. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2018.12.014</u>.

- [3] S.S. Toor, L.A. Rosendahl, A. Rudolf, Energy 36 (2011), 2328-2342. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2011.03.013</u>.
- [4] C.D. Venkatachalam, M. Sengottian, S.R. Ravichandran, K. Subramaniyan, P. Kalappan Thangamuthu, Period. Polytech. Chem. Eng. 65 (2020), 105-115. <u>https://doi.org/10.3311/PPch.15187</u>.
- [5] M.S. Wahyudiono, M. Goto, Fuel 88 (2009) 1656-1664. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2009.02.028.
- [6] B. Miljkovic, Period. Polytech. Chem. Eng. 67 (2023) 62-73. <u>https://doi.org/10.3311/PPch.20257</u>.
- M.S. Wahyudiono, M. Goto, Chem. Eng. Process 47 (2008) 1609-1619. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cep.2007.09.001.
- [8] I.M. Sintamarean, I.F. Grigoras, C.U. Jensen, S.S. Toor, T.H. Pedersen, L.A. Rosendahl, Biomass Convers. Biorefin. 7 (2017) 425-435. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s13399-017-0247-9</u>.
- [9] P. Brassard, S. Godbout, V. Raghavan, J.H. Palacios, M. Grenier, D. Zegan, Energies 10 (2017) 288-302. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/en10030288</u>.
- [10] G. Shengbo, Y. Peter Nai Yuh, C. Yoke Wang, X. Changlei, W.M. Wan Adibah, L. Rock Keey, P. Wanxi, Y. Tong-Qi, T. Meisam, A. Mortaza, S.k. Christian, L. Su Shiung, Renew. Sust. Energ. Rev. 135(2021) 110148-110162. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2020.110148</u>.
- [11] C.E. Efika, C. Wu, P.T. Williams, J. Anal. Appl. Pyrolysis 95(2012) 87-94. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaap.2012.01.010</u>.
- [12] S.K. Hoekman, A. Broch, L. Felix, W.E. Farthing, Energy Convers. Manag. 134(2017) 247-259. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2016.12.035.
- [13] P. Evangelopoulos, H. Persson, E.K. Kantarelis, W. Yang, Process Saf. Environ. Prot. 143 (2020) 313-321. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psep.2020.07.006.
- [14] S. Ge, P.N.Y. Yek, Y.W. Cheng, C. Xia, W.A.W. Mahari, R.K. Liew, P. Wanxi, Y. Tong-Qi, T. Meisam, A. Mortaza, S.k. Christian, L. Su Shiung, Renew. Sust. Energ. Rev. 135 (2021) 110148-110162. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2020.110148</u>.
- [15] L. Halasz, Period. Polytech. Chem. Eng. 34 (1989) 173-196. <u>https://pp.bme.hu/ch/article/view/2721</u>.
- [16] A.Y. Doost, D.L. William, Sustainability 12 (2020) 7352-7385. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/su12187352</u>.
- [17] M. Meise, L. Jäger, A. Wilk, T. Heitmann, S. Scholl, Chem. Ing. Tech. 92 (2020) 1074-1082. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/cite.202000092</u>.
- [18] E.P. Bilalis, M.S. Keramidis, N.G. Tsouvalis, Mar. Struct. 84 (2022) 103194. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marstruc.2022.103194</u>.
- [19] S.P.S. Kumar, R. Rao, B.A. Rajeevalochanam, Procedia Eng. 55 (2013) 499-509. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.proeng.2013.03.287.
- [20] C. Moganapriya, R. Rajasekar, P. Sathish Kumar, T. Mohanraj, V.K. Gobinath, J. Saravanakumar, Struct. Multidiscip. Optim. 63 (2020) 1169-1186. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00158-020-02751-9</u>.
- [21] S. Ajith Arul Daniel, R. Pugazhenthi, R. Kumar, S. Vijayananth, Def. Technol. 15 (2019) 545-556. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dt.2019.01.001</u>.

- [22] S.M. Senthil, R. Parameshwaran, S. Ragu Nathan, M. Bhuvanesh Kumar, K. Deepandurai, Struct. Multidiscipl. Optim. 62 (2020) 1117-1133. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00158-020-02542-2.
- [23] F. Xiong, D. Wang, S. Zhang, K. Cai, S. Wang, F. Lu, Struct. Multidiscipl. Optim. 57 (2017) 441-461. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00158-017-1749-6</u>.
- [24] W. Yao, K. Cai, Y. Xu, Optimizing the beam-like structure of a vehicle body using the grey-fuzzy-Taguchi method 53 (2020) 49-70. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/0305215X.2019.1698033</u>.
- [25] M. Saleh, Z. Zaidi, M.H. Ionescu, C. Hurt, K. Short, J.E. Daniels, P. Munroe, L.E. Edwards, D. Bhattacharyya, Int. J. Plast. 86 (2016) 151-169. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijplas.2016.08.006</u>.
- [26] V.A. Girisha, M.M. Joshi, L.J. Kirthan, A. Bharatish, R. Hegde, Sādhanā 44 (2019) 1-8. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12046-019-1111-3.
- [27] H. Kweon, J. Kim, O. Song, D. Oh, Nucl. Eng. Technol. 53 (2021) 647-656. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.net.2020.07.014.
- [28] B. Bozorgmehri, V.-V. Hurskainen, M. K. Matikainen, A. Mikkola, J. Sound Vib. 453 (2019) 214-236. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsv.2019.03.022.
- [29] R. Scheepers, P.S. Heyns, J. Mech. Sci. Technol. 30 (2016) 4063-4074. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s12206-016-0819-9</u>.
- [30] N.L. Pedersen, J. Strain Anal. Eng. 56 (2020) 195-205. <u>https://doi.org/10.1177/0309324720969530</u>.
- [31] O.A. Samuel, I.O. Bankole, O.I. Christianah, O.M.A. Adeyinka, F.K. Joseph, J. Mater. Res. 8 (2019) 105-111. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmrt.2017.10.007</u>.
- [32] Á. Sass, A. Kummer, Z. Ulbert, A. Egedy, Period. Polytech. Chem. Eng. 65 (2021) 536-549. <u>https://doi.org/10.3311/PPch.17095</u>.
- [33] Z. Yongjie No, X. Hongmei No, Appl. Eng. Agric. 35 (2019) 453-460. <u>https://https://doi.org/10.13031/aea.13351</u>.

- [34] S. Seifoori, A. Mahdian Parrany, M. Khodayari, Eng. Fail. Anal. 116 (2020) 104752-104768. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.engfailanal.2020.104752.
- [35] L. Dao-Kui, L. Xian-Fang, Cr Mecanique 344 (2016) 556-568. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crme.2016.01.007</u>.
- [36] A. Roy, P. Palit, S. Das, G. Mukhyopadyay, Eng. Fail.
   Anal. 112 (2020) 104511-104526.
   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.engfailanal.2020.104511.
- [37] K. Cai, D. Wang, Struct. Multidiscipl. Optim. 56 (2017) 1539-1553. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00158-017-1728-y.
- [38] Q. Sawei, X. Zhigang, H. Hong, Y. Zhong, X. Erli, X. Congchang, L. Luoxing, Struct. Multidiscipl. Optim. 64 (2021) 4129-4145. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00158-021-03035-6</u>.
- [39] A. Tanmaya, G. Raghvendra, A. Sudeekcha, S. Vishal, K. Manish, K. Saket, Sustain. Futures 2 (2020) 100039-100050. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sftr.2020.100039</u>.
- [40] X. Deng, S. Wang, H. Youssef, L. Qian, Y. Liu, Struct. Multidiscipl. Optim. 62 (2020) 2833-2847. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00158-020-02640-1</u>.
- [41] D. Sunil, R.N. Suresh Kumar, Measurement 169 (2021) 108340-108347.
   <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.measurement.2020.108340</u>.
- [42] B. Maël, B. Johan, V. Laura, R.-C. Ainhoa, C. Edwin, Renew. Energy. 172 (2021) 941-954. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2021.03.076</u>.
- [43] M.A. Perras, H. Wannenmacher, M.S. Diederichs, Rock Mech. Rock Eng. 48 (2014) 1647-1671. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00603-014-0656-z.</u>
- W. Zhang, J. Yang, C. Li, R. Dai, A. Yang, J. Vib. Control 23 (2016) 1183-1192. https://doi.org/10.1177/1077546315590908.

CHITRA DEVI VENKATACHALAM<sup>1</sup> PREMKUMAR BHUVANESHWARAN<sup>1</sup> MOTHIL SENGOTTIAN<sup>2</sup> SATHISH RAAM RAVICHANDRAN<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Food Technology, Kongu Engineering College, Perundurai, Tamil Nadu, India.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Chemical Engineering, Kongu Engineering College, Perundurai, Tamil Nadu, India

NAUČNI RAD

# PROJEKTOVANJE ZASNOVANA NA POUZDANOSTI OPTIMIZACIJA PUŽNE OSOVINE ZA KONTINUALNI PROCES HIDROTERMALNE KO-LIKVEFAKCIJE VISOKOG PRITISKA

Hidrotermalna ko-likvefakcija (HTKL) je istaknuti proces za proizvodnju bioproizvoda sa većom brzinom konverzije. Izvodi se na visokim temperaturama i pritisku u prisustvu vode. Ranije se uglavnom sprovodio u šaržnim reaktorima, koji imaju velika ograničenja uključujući radnu zapreminu, povratno mešanje i tegoban proces za visoku produktivnost. S tim u vezi, ovo istraživanje je sprovedeno s ciljem projektovanja pužne osovine za HTKL proces visokog pritiska. Dimenzionalni faktori, uključujući dužinu kretanja, korak, ugao spirale i dubinu, uzeti su u obzir da bi se dizajnirala optimalna pužna osovina. Isto tako, glavni naponi, napon smicanja, napon savijanja, moment savijanja i ukupna deformacija smatrani su neizbežnim odzivnim promenljivima za analizu unutrašnje čvrstoće osovine. U tom smislu, Taguči L9 (34) ortogonalni niz je korišćen kao eksperimentalni plan. Zatim su numerički rezultati analize prelazne strukture analizirani uz pomoć statističkih metoda kako bi se pronašle najuticajnije dimenzije za minimiziranje odzivnih promenljivih. Shodno tome, upoređeni su rezultati različitih metoda i izabrani su najoptimalniji parametri.

Ključne reči: hidrotermalna ko-likvefakcija, pužna osovina, metoda konačnih elemenata, analiza napona, Gudmanovi kriterijumi otkaza, tehnika optimizacije višestrukog i jednog odziva.



## ITUMELENG KOHITLHETSE SUTER KIPLAGAT EVANS MUSAMBA BANZA ROBERT MAKOMERE

Department of Chemical and Metallurgical Engineering, Vaal University of Technology, Private Bag X021, South Africa

#### SCIENTIFIC PAPER

UDC 669:66:621:519.87

Available online at Association of the Chemical Engineers of Serbia AChE www.ache.org.rs/CICEQ Chem. Ind. Chem. Eng. Q. 30 (4) 349–357 (2024)

CI&CEQ

# BLAST FURNACE SLAG FOR SO<sub>2</sub> CAPTURE: OPTIMIZATION AND PREDICTION USING RESPONSE SURFACE METHODOLOGY AND ARTIFICIAL NEURAL NETWORK

#### Article Highlights

- Blast furnace slag preparation and characterization for SO<sub>2</sub> capture
- BFS optimization through Central Composite Design
- Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm in ANN for predicting the performance of the sorbent
- The combined optimization and prediction approach for optimum performance

#### Abstract

The main reaction parameters examined were the amount of blast furnace slag, the hydration duration, ammonium acetate concentration, and temperature. The Response surface methodology was employed to quantify their impact on the sorbent's surface area. Using a central composite design, the surface area of the resulting sorbent corresponding to Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) was investigated. The sorbents produced range in surface area from 49.89 to 155.33 m<sup>2</sup>/g. Additionally, the effectiveness and response prediction capacities of the Response Surface Methodology (RSM) and Artificial Neural Network (ANN) modeling methodologies were investigated. The models were assessed using various statistical metrics, including (MSE) mean squared error, (ARE) average relative errors, the (SSE) sum of squared errors, (HYBRID) Hybrid fractional error function, (SAE) Sum of the absolute errors,  $(R^2)$  coefficient of determination, and Root means square. According to statistical evidence, the ANN approach surpassed the RSM-CCD model approach. The surface area of the sorbent was shown to be significantly influenced by interactions between variables in addition to all the individual variables examined. The sorbent was made from a material with substantial structural porosity based on SEM. The functional groups were identified using FTIR. The XRF determined the elemental composition of BFS-based sorbents.

Keywords: blast furnace slag, optimization, central composite design, artificial neural network, response surface methodology.

The control of atmospheric pollution brought on by combustion processes like coal has recently been subject to stricter environmental restrictions worldwide.

Paper received: 17 July, 2023

Paper revised: 5 February, 2024

https://doi.org/10.2298/CICEQ230717006K

The most plentiful and cost-effective energy source is coal, essential for supplying the world's rising need for electricity [1]. Burning coal provides various difficulties even if it is a significant energy source. The discharge of pollutants, particularly sulphur dioxide, into the environment is one of the most critical problems facing enterprises that burn coal. Sulphur in coal combines with air during combustion to create sulphur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>). Sulphur dioxide is one of the many contaminants in our atmosphere (SO<sub>2</sub>) [2]. Burning things containing sulfur releases a harmful gas into the atmosphere. All types of coal and oil include sulphur. Industrial facilities that use fossil fuels to produce energy or extract metal

Correspondence: I. Kohitlhetse, Vaal University of Technology, Departement of Chemical and Metallurgical Engineering, Bag X021, Vanderbijlpark, South Africa. E-mail: 222386568@edu.vut.ac.za

Paper accepted: 4 March, 2024

from ore are the primary sources of SO<sub>2</sub> emissions (smelter). Other anthropogenic sources include locomotives, ships, and other large vehicles or equipment that burn sulphur-rich fuel. Volcanoes are the sole crucial natural source of SO<sub>2</sub>. Only roughly one-third of all SO<sub>2</sub> emissions into the atmosphere come from volcanoes. Volcanic SO<sub>2</sub> has little effect in South Africa because no active volcanoes exist [3].

Both direct SO<sub>2</sub> exposure and secondary pollutants created when SO<sub>2</sub> combines with other airborne molecules negatively affect health. A significant secondary contaminant associated with SO<sub>2</sub> is delicate particulate matter. Exposure to SO<sub>2</sub> results in immediate symptoms such as breathing difficulties, respiratory system injury, and a burning sensation in the nose, throat, and lungs. Severe and long-term health effects include early death, heart and lung disease, dementia, and problems with conception [4]. They also have diminished cognitive function. Aside from the harmful effects on human health, every combustion process that releases SO<sub>2</sub> releases large volumes of greenhouse gases into the environment. SO2 sources thus have a disadvantageous immediate consequence on the region's health and a negative long-term impact on human well-being globally due to greenhouse gas emissions, which drive global warming.

Several SO<sub>2</sub> emission control techniques based on emission prevention or flue gas capture turned out to be investigated, and some came to be implemented in sulphur dioxide-discharging industries. Since sulphur dioxide emissions are proportional to the amount of sulphur in fuel and the total used in combustion, reducing sulphur content can result in significant reductions. Using ultra-low sulphur flue is the most environmentally friendly way to reduce sulphur dioxide emissions; however, obtaining cleaner flue comes at a high refining cost [4]. Installing a sulphur restoration unit that generates profit-making sulphur in the form of sulphuric acid is an option if the gas flow consists of a high concentration of sulphur and the restoration unit can withstand the corrosive environment associated with acid sulphur [5]. In industries that use coal as a fuel source, sulphur in pyrite (Fe<sub>2</sub>S) can be easily removed by physically washing coal with water. However, increased practical costs and fuel-efficient design property changes could offset this. Even though many treatment methods, including WFGD (FGD), biological processes, and technological innovations based on electron beam irradiation, can achieve high sulphur removal efficiency, several problems still need to be solved, including high space requirements and high safety protection requirements [6]. Coronal pulse discharge, on the other hand, is a relatively new and

unproven SO<sub>2</sub> removal method, even though it does not require a particle accelerator and offers the highest level of protection. Because of its simplicity and high desulphurization capacity, flue gas desulphurization (FGD) is the most frequently used method to reduce SO<sub>2</sub> emissions [7].

A variety of sorbents that are various chemical compounds and naturally occurring materials can be quickly produced, or waste generated by a variety of processes can be used in the method. Several flue gas desulphurization (FGD) techniques are water- selfsufficient, resulting in lower operating costs and no wastewater production. It is necessary to utilize the proper Flue Gas Desulphurization (FGD) technology to reduce SO2 emissions into the environment [8]. Coal combustion produces coal fly ash, another type of combustion waste, and pollutants like sulphur dioxide (SO2). Researchers have looked into flue gas desulphurization using absorbent synthesis from a combination of calcium hydroxide, calcium oxide, and calcium sulphate to address these difficulties [9]. Numerous studies have shown that, for instance, when coupled with Ca (OH)2 or CaO during the hydration process, coal ash can create an absorbent with a higher SO2 capture capacity than hydrate lime [2,8,10].

Blast Furnace Slag (BFS), a byproduct of the iron and steel industry, has promise as a practical and affordable substitute sorbent for flue qas desulphurization technology. The two main ingredients for removing SO<sub>2</sub> are abundant in BFS: CaO and silica. They produce Calcium Silicate Hydrate Aggregates, which are essential for increasing the surface area of the Sorbent. According to some studies, sorbent made of iron blast furnace slag and hydrated lime (BFS/HL) exhibits higher SO<sub>2</sub> reactivity than hydrated lime alone under the dry or semi-dry FGD method [4]. It is clear from earlier sources that the production of high surface area calcium silicate hydrates during the sorbent preparation or slurring process is caused by an enhancement in the sorbent's reactivity patterns, using calcium, or the capacity to capture SO<sub>2</sub>[4].

When a dependent output variable is impacted by several independent input factors rather than one factor at a time, the response surface methodology is a statistical method for optimizing the process [11]. The output variable's name is the response. RSM assesses all process factors concurrently while predicting an outcome as an improved systematic approach to experimentation. The central composite design is one of the critical components of RSM. The experiments used a three-level experimental design called the central composite design, which combined the axial and factorial design points. Its key benefit is that it demands multiple experimental runs to determine the proper conditions for experimentation [12].

A model of computation called an artificial neural network can be used to predict how biological neurons process input. Most neural network models feature hidden layers in addition to input and output layers; the number depends on the inquiry type of investigation [8]. The primary property of a neural network is its ability to carry out internal calculations to derive the desired output from a set of input data. Given enough data, the ANN can evaluate multifactorial, non-linear, linear, and complex procedures by training the multiple inputoutput networks technique [13,14]. Because it reliably and effectively depicts the non-linear interactions between the variables and responses of various processes, ANN may be used in intricate systems [15].

In the current study, the surface area was assessed by BET analysis by investigating the effect of BFS amount, the ammonium acetate amount, hydration time, and hydration temperature. With the fewest experiments, the RSM approach enables the study of the individual variables and their combined impacts in the experimental range. This study's main objective is to evaluate the surface area of BFS for SO<sub>2</sub> capture using RSM and ANNs, estimate their effectiveness, and compare ANN and RSM techniques. The experimental data was then compared to the outcomes of the two models.

#### MATERIALS AND METODS

#### Raw materials

The Blast furnace slag was collected from a local company around Vanderbijlpark, South Africa. The ammonium acetate (>98%) and the calcium oxide (>97%) were all purchased from Merck, and the brine sludge was collected from a local chloral alkali industry.

#### Sorbent preparation of the sorbent

A known quantity (250 g) of brine sludge was heated for 4 hours, and 900 °C was set as the temperature in a furnace with muffles for maximal calcination. 200 mL of distilled water was heated to 65 °C, and 10 g of CaO was added while vigorously agitated. A certain amount (0–1 g) of ammonium acetate as the hydration agent and blast furnace slag (0–5 g) were added to the slurry at the same time. The hydration process was then accelerated by heating the blast furnace slag slurry for a set amount of time (1–10 hours) at a certain hydration temperature (30–90 °C). The resulting slurry underwent filtration for 2 hours at 210 °C during drying. The powdered sorbents were pelletized, smashed, and crushed to less than 75  $\mu$ m to produce the required nanoparticle size.

#### Characterization of the sorbent

The functional compounds contained in the sorbent were examined using Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (PerkinElmer UATR). Scanning electron microscopy (Philips XL30FEG) was employed to assess the shape of the surface. X-ray fluorescence (Philips expert 0993) was used for qualitative as well as quantitative techniques. The materials' BET surface area and pore volume were measured using an N2 sorption-desorption apparatus and ASAP 2020 Micromeritics.

# Analysis using artificial neural networks and the response surface approach

# Centrale composite design in Response surface methodology

Recent years have seen a rise in interest in response surface methodology (RSM), a set of mathematical and statistical methods for assessing the effects of numerous independent variables. RSM assesses the relationships between the response(s) and the independent variables, in addition to the effects of individual variables on the processes, whether operating alone or in conjunction [11]. This approach provides many benefits, including being less costly, requiring fewer experiments, exploring how different factors interact to affect response, predicting a response, assessing method suitability, and consuming less time [16]. This strategy employs low-order polynomial equations in a predefined area of the independent variables to attempt to find the optimal values for the independent variables for the most positive results. The sorbents' BET surface area was investigated using the CCD. This design helps assess how the sorbent preparation variables affect the sorbent's particular surface area. The variables for sorbent preparation explored are hydration time, BFS amount, hydrating agent (ammonium acetate) amount, and hydration temperature. The RSM analysis consists of 21 experimental data sets, with six central points.

According to Eq. (1), the response was calculated using an empirical second-order polynomial equation.

$$z = X0 + XaA + XbB + XcC + XdD + XaaA2 + XbbB2 + XccC2 + XddD2 + XabAB + XacAC + XadAD + XbcBC + XbdBD + XcdCD$$
(1)

where Z is the predicted outcome;  $X_0$  denotes the model constant; Xa, Xb, Xc, and d denote linear coefficients; Xab, Xac, Xad, Xbd, and Xcd denote cross-product coefficients, and Xaa, Xbb, Xcc, and Xdd denote quadratic coefficients. A, B, C, and D denote independent variables.

The experimental design, analysis of variance, regression analysis, and optimization of process parameters in the desulphurization process were carried out using Design-Expert 13. ANOVA p-value and the regression coefficient ( $R^2$ ) were used to assess the model's acceptability.

The steps in the optimization process are as follows: choosing the process variables and responses; choosing the experimental design; conducting experiments to gather data; fitting the model equation to the experimental data; performing an analysis of variance (ANOVA); and finally, determining the optimal conditions [17].

#### Artificial neural network (Levenberg-Marquardt)

Three-layer system: one neuron on the output layer, one hidden layer with six different modes, four neurons on the input layer, and measurements of hydration temperature, BFS, and ammonium acetate (4-6-1). The most common network, known as a backpropagation (BP-ANN) network, trains an approach for modeling data from experiments using a first-order gradient descent technique. It works well to cut down on errors with each repeat. Out of various backpropagation (BP) methods, we chose the Marquardt-Levenberg learning strategy. In the simulation and prediction of the sorbent production using ANN, the logsigmoid function of transfer (log sig) in the layer that was hidden with four neurons in the first laver and a linear transfer function in the output node were both used. Modular artificial neural networks were developed using the Neural Networks (NN) toolbox and the mathematical application MATLAB 2022a. The ANN model's configuration processes involve the following steps: collecting data, training and test set selection, conversion of data into ANN inputs, identifying, training, and testing network structures, if necessary, repeating the processes several times to get the best model, and implementation of the best ANN model [13].

#### Error analysis functions

The Root mean square error (RMSE), Mean square errors (MSE), Average relative errors (ARE), Hybrid fractional error function (HYBRID), Sum of squares of errors (SSE), and Sum of absolute errors (SAE) Statistical evaluation tests were used to prove that the models were satisfactory [16]. The non-linear methods presented in Eqs. (2–7) were used to compare data from experiments with model-predicted data.

$$RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \frac{\left( Z_{(e)} - Z_{(p)} \right)^{2}}{Z_{(e)}} \right)}$$
(2)

$$SSE = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( Z_{(e)} - q_{(p)} \right)^2$$
(3)

$$SAE = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ \left( Z_{(e)} - Z_{(p)} \right) \right]$$
(4)

$$HYBRID = \frac{1}{N - M} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \frac{\left( Z_{(e)} - Z_{(p)} \right)^{2}}{q_{e(e)}} \right) x 100 \quad (5)$$

$$ARE = \frac{100}{M} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ \left[ \frac{\left( Z_{(e)} - Z_{(p)} \right)^{i}}{Z_{(e)}} \right] \right]$$
(6)

$$MSE = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( Z_{(e)} - Z_{(p)} \right)^2$$
(7)

where *N* is the total number of measurements, *M* is the maximum amount of model parameters, and Z(p) and Z(e) are the expected and measured values, expressed as m<sup>2</sup>/g, respectively.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### The morphological analysis

Figure 1a depicts the morphological appearance of the blast furnace using SEM. An irregularly shaped structure of various sizes with a smooth surface was readily seen in the sample. The increased magnification shows the high structural porosity of the particle. Figure 1b shows SEM micrographs of sorbents after treatment. Before sulphation, the sorbent's overall pore structure and irregularly shaped particle arrangement are seen in the image. The sorbent has open spaces, implying that sulphation reactions can occur [8].



Figure 1. SEM of (a) the blast furnace and (b) after treatment.

#### Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy Analysis

Figure 2 shows the antisymmetric vibration of the Ca-O and Si-O-Si bonds due to the strong, intense peak between 570 and 1100 cm<sup>-1</sup> in the raw blast furnace slag. Because of the presence of calcium

hydroxide, the hydroxyl group is thought to be stretching vibrational bonds around 3500 cm<sup>-1</sup>, which is related to the weak absorption band. In all the figures, the absorption peak associated with the C-O vibrations in the carbonate structure can be seen at 1600 cm<sup>-1</sup> and roughly 700 cm<sup>-1</sup>. The Ca-O vibration bond is attributed to the significant absorption band at 587 cm<sup>-1</sup>. Silica and calcium oxide, which are essential in expanding the surface area of the sorbents, are among the components that make up the functional group present [4].



#### X-Ray Fluorescence analysis

Table 1 below provides the chemical breakdown of BFS before and after treatment as determined by XRF analysis. The total element found in blast furnace slag makes up most of the complex heterogeneous substance known as BFS. After treatment, some elements are absent in the sorbent due to the sulphation and calcination process reaction.

Before treatment				After tre	eatment
Element	Line	Mass %	Atom %	Mass %	Atom %
С	Κ	7.78	24.63	23.32	39.59
0	K	5.09	11.99	33.23	42.19
Mg	K	0.52	0.8	1.11	0.92
Si	K	1.72	2.32		
S	K	14.36	16.91		
Ca	K	4.11	3.88	34.13	17.3
Mn	K	47.42	32.58		
Fe	K	8.85	5.95		
Au	М	11.69	2.24	9.5	0.98

#### Table 1. The elemental composition of the sorbent.

#### Response surface plots

A CCD was used to assess the impact of four experimental factors on the area region of an absorbing material made from blast furnace slag. The resulting sorbent's surface area corresponding to Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) was investigated. The sorbents produced range in surface area from 49.89 to

155.33 m<sup>2</sup>/g. The surface area of the sorbent is a function of hydration temperature and ammonium acetate content. Figure 3a illustrates the variations in absorbing material surface dimensions as a function of the ammonium acetate concentration (B) and hydration temperature (D). The sorbent surface area significantly increases when large volumes of ammonium acetate are employed. The production of Very significant hydroxyl complexes having a wide area of contact is facilitated by hydrating agents, which may be the cause for this. Although high temperatures raise the sorbent's surface area, when the reaction time is lengthened, the sorbent's surface area also grows over time [18]. The pozzolanic reaction has been reported to create calcium silicate-hydrated compounds at higher temperatures and longer hydration times [19]. Figure 3b shows how the sorbent surface area changes; it expands when the ammonium acetate level is significant. This demonstrates unequivocally that the moisturizing agent ammonium acetate enhances the hydration level of a hydroxide aggregate with a large surface area. The surface area of the sorbent also increases with the addition of more blast furnace slag. The phenomenon is explained by the fact that when more bagasse is used, calcium oxide and more silica are combined, producing more calcium silicate [20].



Figure 3. The interaction between (a) temperature and ammonium acetate and (b) blast furnace slag and ammonium acetate on the surface area.

Eq. (8) is produced by the final model displayed below after Fisher's Test is used to exclude the irrelevant terms.

Surface area = +110.42 + 27.69A + 44.89B - 7.98C + 45.13D + 40.48AB - 3.43AC + 28.96AD + 0.4900BC + 28.91BD - 10.29CD + 12.21A<sup>2</sup> - 20.57B<sup>2</sup> + 15.11C<sup>2</sup> - 11.31D<sup>2</sup>

(8)

By contrasting the factor coefficients, the coded equation can be used to determine the relative importance of the elements. A positive sign in front of the phrases indicates a synergistic influence, whereas an antagonistic influence is shown by a negative sign [11]. The results of these statistical tests indicate that the regression model equations properly show the link 353 between the important experimental parameters and the surface area of the sorbent. It is evident through regression analysis that the coefficient for hydration temperature (D) is the largest of all the variables. Therefore, we can conclude that this variable significantly impacts the surface area of sorbents made from blast furnace slag.

The analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to confirm that the model was adequate by testing for variance-based variations in means between two or more category groups; an ANOVA test is a sort of statistical test used to assess if there is a statistically significant difference variable. Table 3 displays this at a 95% confidence level. The third-order algebraic equation was put to the test. A regularly distributed continuous dependent variable and two or more categorical independent variables (factors) make up a two-way ANOVA (analysis of variance). The model is suggested to be significant by the model's *F*-value of 13.56. An *F*-value this big might happen owing to noise only 0.21% of the time [21].

When the *P*-value is less than 0.0500, model terms are regarded to be significant. A, B, C, D, AB, AD, BD, CD, and B2 are crucial model terms. If the value exceeds 0.1000, model terms are not significant. If your model includes many unnecessary terms (apart from those required to maintain hierarchy), removing them

may improve the performance. The lack of fit *F*-value of 45.31 suggests that the lack of fit is considerable. Noise can result in a substantial Lack of Fit F-value only 0.18% of the time. A significant lack of fit in the model is undesirable since we want it to fit. Temperature (D) possessed a major impact on surface area (C) relative to ammonium acetate content (A). In contrast to variables A and B, the quadratic terms D greatly impacted the surface of the absorbent area. The C variable has very minimal influence on the surface region of the sorbent. The absorbing material surface region is unaffected by the cubic expression. The interaction between components B and C does not significantly affect the surface area.

While the other stayed constant, the impact of one factor was assessed and plotted versus surface area. Compared to the other three criteria, hydration substantially affected surface area more. Ammonium acetate concentration came next, and then the impact of time and hydration on blast furnace slag. The surface area rises with temperature and ammonium acetate concentrations. Raising hydration length and hydration time has little effect on surface area [8]. Table 2 also exhibits the impact. A high *F*-value for the hydration temperature indicates that it likely significantly impacts the surface area.

		Tabl	e 2. ANOVA.			
Source	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F-value	<i>p</i> -value	
Model	15353.31	14	1096.67	13.56	0.0021	significant
A-Blast furnace slag	1532.92	1	1532.92	18.95	0.0048	
B-Ammonium acetate	e 4029.33	1	4029.33	49.81	0.0004	
C-Time	636.36	1	636.36	7.87	0.0310	
D-Temperature	4073.79	1	4073.79	50.36	0.0004	
AB	2621.42	1	2621.42	32.41	0.0013	
AC	94.12	1	94.12	1.16	0.3222	
AD	1341.89	1	1341.89	16.59	0.0066	
BC	1.92	1	1.92	0.0237	0.8826	
BD	1337.26	1	1337.26	16.53	0.0066	
CD	847.07	1	847.07	10.47	0.0178	
A²	380.84	1	380.84	4.71	0.0731	
B <sup>2</sup>	1079.75	1	1079.75	13.35	0.0107	
C²	582.46	1	582.46	7.20	0.0364	
D²	326.49	1	326.49	4.04	0.0913	
Residual	485.35	6	80.89			
Lack of Fit	464.83	2	232.41	45.31	0.0018	significant

R<sup>2</sup> = 0.952; R<sup>2</sup> Predicted = 0.925; R<sup>2</sup> Adjusted = 0. 954.

#### Artificial Neural network

The backpropagation method of Levenberg-Marquardt was used to train the MLP network (4:6:1). However, it generally takes more Space, so this approach is quicker. This happens when the validation samples' mean square error increases, indicating that generalization is no longer improving. The best number of neurons in the hidden layer, the best number of validation and training data, and the best number of testing samples were all determined using this technique [13]. Of the 21 samples employed in the ANN 354 modeling, 70% (15 samples), 15% (3 samples), and 15% (3 samples) were used for training, testing, and training validation, respectively. The network's interactions with training, testing, and validation data are depicted in Figure 4. Correlation coefficients for training, testing, validation, and total data were determined to be 0.999, 0.994, 0.964, and 0.992, respectively. The straight line also demonstrates a linear relationship. The experimental (goal) and forecasted (output) results are related. The results suggest high agreement between the actual data and the data predicted by the model. Therefore, the coefficient of total correlation reveals the excellent prediction capacity of the developed ANN model, making it suitable for correctly predicting data [22].



Predicted and actual data RSM and ANN

The actual (experimental) and anticipated values for the surface area are compared and statistically analyzed in Table 3. According to the results, both models can adequately predict the surface area. The RMSE, MSE, ARE, HYBRID, SSE, SAE, and R<sup>2</sup> values for the RSM model were all found to be 0.065, 0.049, 0.024, 0.314, 0.018, 0.198 and 0.952, respectively, whereas the ANN model was found to be 0.009, 0.020, 0.012, 0.188, 0.06, 0.110 and 0.992. Both models provide relevant statistical data, according to statistics, indicating that they may be used in this procedure [15]. The accuracy of the RSM and ANN techniques in displaying actual and expected results is seen in Table 3. The linear fit also shows both models' remarkable capabilities. Although both models can predict the surface, the ANN model displayed better RMSE, MSE, ARE, HYBRID, SSE, SAE, and R<sup>2</sup> values.

Both models could accurately predict the sorbent's surface area, the Response surface method, and the Artificial neural network. The artificial neural network (ANN) was implemented in Table 4 to maximize the sorbent's surface area [15].

	Table 3. Compariso	n of artificial neural	l networks (ANN	) and Response surface	method (RSM).
--	--------------------	------------------------	-----------------	------------------------	---------------

-		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			Surface a	rea(m²/g)
Run	Blast furnace slag (g)	Ammonium acetate (g)	Hydration period (hrs)	Hydration temperature (°C)	RSM	ANN
1	5	1	8	30	112.71	113.22
2	5	1	2	30	116.62	116.98
3	5	0	8	90	68.6	70.25
4	0	1	2	90	142.18	145.24
5	5	0	2	90	115.64	115.96
6	0	0	8	30	89.18	90.01
7	0	1	8	90	110.74	112.25
8	0	0	2	30	81.34	80.97
9	0	0.5	5	60	99.96	100.00
10	5	0.5	5	60	155.33	156.32
11	2.5	0	5	60	49.96	50.98
12	2.5	1	5	60	139.75	140.21
13	2.5	0.5	2	60	133.18	135.29
14	2.5	0.5	8	60	127.69	130.39
15	2.5	0.5	5	30	58.99	60.28
16	2.5	0.5	5	90	149.25	150.22
17	2.5	0.5	5	60	104,46	105.85
18	2.5	0.5	5	60	101.48	100.40
19	2.5	0.5	5	60	105.17	105.98
20	2.5	0.5	5	60	107.60	108.95
21	2.5	0.5	5	60	103.34	105.37
				RMSE	0.065	0.009
				MSE	0.049	0.020
				ARE	0.024	0.012
				HYBRID	0.314	0.188
				SSE	0.018	0.006
				SAE	0.198	0.110
				R <sup>2</sup>	0.952	0.992

Table 4	The o	ontimum	predicted	conditions
1 4010 1.	1110 0	punnann	prouiotou	001101101101

•	
Variables	Values
Reaction time	5 h
Reaction temperature	60°C
Ammoniumacetate	0.5 g
BFS	5 g
Surface area	150.00 m²/g

#### CONCLUSION

This study demonstrated that it is possible to prepare sorbent for DFGD. SEM micrographs indicated high porosity and open spaces, which show that there is room for sulphation reaction. Silica and calcium oxide were identified in the functional group that was observed on the FTIR. The results show that when blast furnace slag is employed as a pozzolan substance, a pozzolanic reaction occurs that results in aggregation of hydrated calcium silicates, expanding the area of the sorbent's surface. RSM analysis revealed that the sorbent preparation variables significantly affect the final sorbent surface area. Higher blast furnace slag and ammonium acetate content increased surface area; a moderate rise was seen at high temperatures and during lengthy hydration time. A quadratic model was developed to link the independent variables and the surface area. The RMSE, MSE, ARE, HYBRID, SSE, SAE, and R<sup>2</sup> values for the RSM model were all found to be 0.065, 0.049, 0.024, 0.314, 0.018, 0.198 and 0.952, respectively, whereas the ANN model generated 0.009, 0.020, 0.012, 0.188, 0.06, 0.110 and 0.992. This can be due to the small number of datasets available for application. Working with a lot of different data sets may be more effective for the ANN model.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author would like to acknowledge the Department of Chemical and Metallurgical Engineering at Vaal University of Technology for providing continuous operational resources.

#### ABBREVIATION

ANN	Artificial neural network
BFS	Blast furnace slag
RMSE	Root means square errors
ARE	Average relative errors
MSE	Mean square errors
CCD	Central composite design
BP	Back-propagation
FGD	Flue gas desulphurization
DFGD	Dry flue gas desulphurization
WFGD	Wet flue gas desulphurization
LM	Levenberg-Marquardt model
ML	Multilayer perceptron
HL	Hydrated lime
MPSD	Derivative of Marquardt's percent standard deviation
RMSE	Root means square errors
BP	Back-propagation
1 1 4	Lovonhora Marguardt model

LM Levenberg-Marquardt model

#### REFERENCES

 B.J. Shokri, F. Shafaei, F.D. Ardejani, S. Entezam, Soil Sediment Contam. 32 (2023) 23–40. https://doi.org/10.1080/15320383.2022.2090895.

- [2] L. Lerotholi, R.C. Everson, L. Koech, H.W.J.P. Neomagus, H.L. Rutto, D. Branken, B. B. Hattingh, P. Sukdeo, Clean Technol. Environ. Policy 24 (2022) 2011–2060. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10098-022-02308-y.
- C. Zheng, K. Li, C. Zhang, D. Deng, Sep. Sci. Technol. 56 (2021) 2499–2506. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/01496395.2020.1833218</u>.
- [4] R.S. Makomere, H.L. Rutto, L. Koech, Arabian J. Sci. Eng. 48 (2022) 8871–8885. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s13369-022-07491-0</u>.
- [5] A. López-Olvera, S. Pioquinto-García, J. Antonio Zárate, G. Diaz, E. Martínez-Ahumada, J.L. Obeso, V. Martis, D.R. Williams, H.A. Lara-García, C. Leyva, C.V. Soares, G. Maurin, I.A. Ibarra, N.E. Dávila-Guzmán, Fuel 322 (2022) 124213. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2022.124213</u>.
- [6] X. Li, T. Huhe, T. Zeng, X. Ling, Z. Wang, H. Huang, Y. Chen, Heliyon 8 (2022) 11463. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2022.e11463</u>.
- G. Long, C. Yang, X. Yang, T. Zhao, M. Xu, J. Mol. Liq. 302 (2020) 112538. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molliq.2020.112538</u>.
- [8] R. Makomere, H. Rutto, L. Koech, H. Rutto, L. Koech, J. Environ. Sci. Health, Part A 58 (2023) 191–203. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/10934529.2023.2174334</u>.
- D. Gazioglu Ruzgar, S. Altun Kurtoglu, M.F. Fellah, J. Nat. Fibers 19 (2022) 1366–1375. https://doi.org/10.1080/15440478.2020.1764459.
- [10] Y. Yu, R. Zhao, J. Chen, H. Yao, Chem. Eng. J. 431 (2022) 134267. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2021.134267</u>.
- [11] M. Banza, H. Rutto, Int. Nano Lett. 12 (2022) 257–272. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40089-022-00369-x.
- [12] S. Chellapan, D. Datta, S. Kumar, H. Uslu, Chem. Data Collect. 37 (2022) 100806. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cdc.2021.100806</u>.
- M. Banza, H. Rutto, T. Seodigeng, Soil Sediment Contam. Int. J. 0 (2023) 1–21. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/15320383.2023.2178384</u>.
- [14] C. Mgbemena, S. O. Onyegu, Qeios (2023) 32388. https://doi.org/10.32388/GEGPL7.
- [15] A.A. Ayoola, F.K. Hymore, C.A. Omonhinmin, O.C. Olawole, O.S.I. Fayomi, D. Babatunde, O. Fagbiele, Chem. Data Collect. 22 (2019) 100238. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cdc.2019.100238.
- [16] J. Kabuba, M. Banza, Results Eng. 8 (2020) 100189. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rineng.2020.100189</u>.
- [17] M. Banza, H. Rutto, Can. J. Chem. Eng. 101 (2023) 896– 908. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/cjce.24384</u>.
- [18] Z. Zhao, K. Patchigolla, Y. Wu, J. Oakey, E.J. Anthony, H. Chen, Fuel Process. Technol. 221 (2021) 106938. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuproc.2021.106938</u>.
- J.X. Liu, J. Li, W.Q. Tao, Z. Li, Fluid Phase Equilib. 536 (2021) 112963. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fluid.2021.112963.
- [20] J. Lim, J. Kim, Fuel 327 (2022)124986. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2022.124986.
- [21] J.R. Hanumanthu, G. Ravindiran, R. Subramanian, P. Saravanan, J. Indian Chem. Soc. 98 (2021)100086. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jics.2021.100086.

[22] D.S.P. Franco, F.A. Duarte, N.P.G. Salau, G.L. Dotto, Chem. Eng. Commun. 206 (2019) 1452–1462. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/00986445.2019.1566129</u>.

## ITUMELENG KOHITLHETSE SUTER KIPLAGAT EVANS MUSAMBA BANZA ROBERT MAKOMERE

Department of Chemical and Metallurgical Engineering, Vaal University of Technology, Private Bag X021, South Africa

NAUČNI RAD

# ŠLJAKA VISOKE PEĆI ZA HVATANJE SO₂: OPTIMIZACIJA I PREDVIĐANJE POMOĆU METODOLOGIJE POVRŠINE ODZIVA I VEŠTAČKE NEURONSKE MREŽE

Glavni istraživani reakcioni parametri bili su količina šljake visoke peći, trajanje hidratacije, koncentracija amonijum-acetata i temperatura. Metodologija površine odziva (MPO) u kombinaciji sa centralnim kompozitnim planom je korišćena za kvantifikovanje njihovog uticaj na površinu sorbenta po Brunauer-Emet-Teleru (BET). Površina dobijenhi sorbenata se kreću od 49,89 do 155,33 m²/g. Pored toga, istražena su efikasnost i kapaciteti predviđanja odgovora metodologija MPO i veštačke neuronske mreže (VNM). Modeli su procenjeni korišćenjem različitih statističkih metrika, uključujući srednju kvadratnu grešku, prosečnu relativnu grešku, (SSE) zbir kvadratnih grešaka, hibridnu funkciju frakcione greške, zbir apsolutnih grešaka, koeficijent determinacije, a koren srednje kvadratne vrednosti. Prema statističkim dokazima, VNM model je nadmašio pristup MPO modela. Pokazalo se da na površinu sorbenta značajno utiču interakcije između faktora pored svih pojedinačnih faktora. Prema SEM-u, sorbent je napravljen od materijala sa značajnom strukturnom poroznošću. Funkcionalne grupe su identifikovane korišćenjem FTIR. Rentgenskm analizom je određen elementarni sastav sorbenata.

Ključne reči: šljaka visoke peći, optimizacija, centralni kompozitni plan, veštačka neuronska mreža, metodologija površine odziva.



MUHAMMAD THORIQ AL FATH<sup>1</sup> GHENDIS EKAWATI AYU<sup>1</sup> GINA CYNTHIA RAPHITA HASIBUAN<sup>2</sup> NISAUL FADILAH DALIMUNTHE<sup>1</sup> VIKRAM ALEXANDER<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Chemical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Universitas Sumatera Utara, Padang Bulan, Medan, Indonesia

<sup>2</sup>Department of Civil Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Universitas Sumatera Utara, Padang Bulan, Medan, Indonesia

SCIENTIFIC PAPER

UDC 606:66.098:678.07

Ache www.ache.org.rs/CICEQ

Chem. Ind. Chem. Eng. Q. 30 (4) 359-365 (2024)

CI&CEQ

# THE EFFECT OF GLYCEROL AND SAGO STARCH ADDITION ON THE CHARACTERISTICS OF BIOPLASTICS BASED ON ORANGE PEEL PECTIN

#### Article Highlights

- The high pectin content in orange peel boasts 42.5% pectin, suitable for bioplastics synthesis
- Examining orange peel pectin with glycerol and sago starch for improved bioplastics
- Tensile strength (4.22 MPa) and elongation (24%) in pectin:starch (75:25%) and glycerol (40%)

#### Abstract

Sustainable food packaging materials have significant interest in addressing environmental issues by making renewable substitutes such as bioplastics based on pectin. Orange peel has a relatively high pectin content of 42.5%, which can be synthesized into bioplastics. Pectin-based bioplastics tend to have limitations in terms of mechanical and physical strength due to the hygroscopic nature of pectin. This study aims to determine the effect of adding glycerol and sago starch on the characteristics of orange peel pectinbased bioplastics. The casting method was used in the bioplastic formulation using the variation of the pectin:starch ratio (75:25, 65:35, 55:45; and 50:50%) and glycerol composition (10, 20, 30, and 40%). Based on the results, the pectin obtained was categorized as low methoxyl pectin and ester pectin. The characterizations of bioplastics achieved the maximum value of the tensile strength of bioplastics was 4.22 MPa, obtained by adding pectin:starch (50:50%) and 10% glycerol. The maximum value of the elongation properties at the break of bioplastics is 24%, obtained by adding the composition of pectin:starch (75:25%) and 40% glycerol. The more additions of the pectin:starch and glycerol composition result in a higher water vapor evaporation rate. Hence, utilizing orange peel pectin-based bioplastics offers a sustainable solution by exploring repurposing waste to create bioplastics for food packaging, thereby contributing to environmental preservation.

Keywords: bioplastics, pectin, starch, orange peel.

Plastics are widely used in the packaging industry, especially in food packaging, so it must come from environmentally friendly biodegradable materials.

https://doi.org/10.2298/CICEQ231214007A

Bioplastics are a renewable source of great interest to researchers because provide a solution to the limitations of petroleum-based polymer resources and can reduce environmental pollution [1,2]. In 2021, the global production capacity of bioplastics reached approximately 2.42 million tons, with nearly 48 percent (1.15 million tons) of the volume destined for the packaging market - the largest market segment within the bioplastics industry [3]. Bioplastics can be defined as plastics that are bio-based and biodegradable so that microorganisms can naturally decompose them [4]. Bioplastics are usually derived from natural materials

Correspondence: M.T. Al Fath, Department of Chemical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Universitas Sumatera Utara, Padang Bulan, Medan, 20155, Indonesia. E-mail: thoriq@usu.ac.id Paper received: 14 December, 2023 Paper revised: 29 February, 2024 Paper accepted: 6 March, 2024

such as polysaccharides (carbohydrates), polypeptides, and lipids [5].

Pectin is a natural biopolymer compound with a high molecular weight (ranging from 50 to 150 thousand), primarily found in plants. Galacturonic acid molecules linked with  $\alpha$ -(1-4)-glycoside bonds to form polygalacturonic acid are the constituent components of pectin [6,7]. One source of pectin can be reused as raw material for producing edible films that can be used as food packaging with biodegradable and environmentally friendly capabilities, namely waste derived from fruit peels. Pectin is one of the carbohydrates that can be isolated from orange peel, considering that the productivity of orange plants, especially in Sumatera Utara, Indonesia, is relatively high, around 448,476 tons in 2022 [8]. The pectin content in orange peels is also quite large at around 42.5%, so orange peels have the potential to be reused as a source of pectin in the manufacture of bioplastics [9,10]. Pectin and essential oil are the main contents of citrus peel, especially the albedo part. Pectin in orange peel can be isolated using a solidliquid extraction process. Pectin can make bioplastics more robust, better, and stable because it has a high gel-forming ability. However, too many hydrocolloids and pectin have hydrophilic properties, so the resulting bioplastics will tear easily [11].

Pectin-based bioplastics with starch can improve pectin-based bioplastics' physical and mechanical characteristics. Starch is a glucose polymer consisting of amylopectin and amylose. Starch can be produced by the extraction process of materials containing high carbohydrates, such as corn, sweet potato, cassava, sago, and taro [12]. Starch is a carbohydrate composed of two components, amylose and amylopectin. Linear polymers with  $\alpha$ -(1 $\rightarrow$ 4) glucose units in starch are called amylose. Plants with a reasonably high starch content, namely sago (Metroxylon sp), are 82.94%. Based on information from the Indonesian Ministry of Agriculture in 2021, sago production is estimated to reach 381,065 tons [13]. Using sago starch as a raw material for bioplastics has several advantages, such as an abundant supply of raw materials that can be biodegradable. Bioplastics made from starch also still have some disadvantages, such as being able to absorb water excessively and being rigid [14].

Bioplastics from natural polymers like pectin and starch are generally brittle and rigid. Glycerol is one example of a plasticizer that can be added to produce flexible and mechanical solid properties [15]. Glycerol as a plasticizer is often chosen because it has a high boiling point of 290 °C. This results in no glycerol evaporating during processing, which is more beneficial. In addition, glycerol can reduce 360 Chem. Ind. Chem. Eng. Q. 30 (4) 359–365 (2024)

intermolecular and intramolecular bonds found in starch and pectin. Adding glycerol concentration will increase the flexibility of starch, pectin, and gelatinbased biocomposites [16]. Glycerol is widely used in the manufacture of bioplastics, as done by Yuniarti et researched the manufacture al. [17] and characterization of bioplastics made from sago starch (Metroxylon sp) with acetic acid and glycerol, which concluded that the physical and mechanical properties of bioplastics can increase with the addition of glycerol and acetic acid. Darni et al. [18] also researched the effect of plasticizers on the properties of pectin-based edible films, showing better mechanical properties and water vapor permeability in glycerol compared to sorbitol.

Based on this explanation, this study aims to make bioplastics on the effect of adding glycerol and sago starch on the characteristics of orange peel pectin-based bioplastics produced. In the growing field of chemical engineering, exploring sustainable alternatives to plastics is a must. This research also contributes by utilizing growing eco-friendly materials, addressing the issue of environmental problems, and encouraging a more sustainable future.

#### MATERIALS AND METODS

The materials used such as orange peel for pectin and sago starch, were obtained from supermarkets around Medan, Sumatera Utara, Indonesia. Foodgrade glycerol was used. Hydrochloric acid (HCl), ethanol ( $C_2H_5OH$ ), and aquadest were used as pectin isolation materials, as sodium chloride (NaCl), sodium hydroxide (NaOH), and phenolphthalein indicators. The equipment used in this study were analytical balance, three-neck flask, beaker glass, filter paper, measuring cup, bioplastics mold, hot plate, magnetic stirrer, and 100 mesh sieve.

#### Procedure for pectin isolation from orange peel

Weighed 6 g of orange peel powder and poured it into a three-neck flask, then added 200 ml of 0.02 N HCI solution at 70 °C for 60 minutes. Filtered and added 96% ethanol to the filtrate with a volume ratio of 1:1 while stirring to form a precipitate.Separated the precipitate using filter paper. Filter the precipitate and wash it using ethanol continuously. The precipitate was dried to a constant weight and sieved using a 100-mesh sieve.

#### **Bioplastics preparation procedure**

Weighed 3 g of pectin/starch in the ratio of 75:25; 65:35; 55:45; 50:50%, poured into a beaker glass, and added 75 ml of distilled water. Then, heat the solution

to 70 °C using a hot plate. Glycerol was added with 10, 20, 30, and 40% variations and then stirred until homogeneous. After mixing evenly, the solution was cooled before molding. The solution was poured into the mold and then dried for 48 hours at room temperature. Then, the bioplastics was removed from the mold.

#### **Pectin Analysis**

#### Equivalent Weight

An amount of 0.5 g of pectin was moistened with 5 ml of ethanol and then dissolved in 100 ml of distilled water supplemented with 1 g of NaCl. Titrated slowly using 0.1 N NaOH with phenolphthalein indicator until it turns pink color and the volume of NaOH used is noted [19]. The equivalent weight of pectin can be calculated by Eq. (1).

Equivalent weight 
$$(mg) = \frac{samle weight (mg)}{ml NaOH \times N NaOH} \times 100\%$$
(1)

#### Methoxyl content

A total of 25 ml of 0.25 N NaOH was added to the neutral solution from the determination of equivalent weight, then stirred and allowed to stand for 30 minutes under closed conditions at room temperature. A total of 25 ml of 0.25 N HCl was added and titrated with 0.1 N NaOH with phenolphthalein indicator until it turned pink color, and the volume of NaOH used was noted [20]. Pectin methoxyl content can be calculated with Eq. (2).

$$Methoxyl \ content(\%) = \frac{ml \ NaOH \times 31 \times N \ NaOH \times 100}{sample \ weight(mg)}$$
(2)

The value 31 is the molecular weight of methoxyl which is  $CH_3O$ .

#### Galacturonate content

The volume of NaOH obtained from the determination of equivalent weight and methoxyl content is used to calculate galacturonic content with the following Eq. (3) [20].

$$Galacturonate \ levels = \frac{176 \times 0.1z \times 100}{sample \ weight(mg)} + \frac{176 \times 0.1y \times 100}{sample \ weight(mg)}$$
(3)

where, *z*-volume of NaOH based on equivalent weight; *y*-volume of NaOH based on methoxyl content; the value 176 is the molecular weight of galacturonate.

#### Degree of esterification

The methoxyl content and galacturonic content that have been obtained are used to calculate the

degree of esterification of pectin using Eq. (4) as follows [20].

Degree of esterification = 
$$\frac{176 \times \% methoxyl}{31 \times \% galacturonate}$$
 (4)

The value 31 represents the molecular weight of methoxyl; the value 176 represents the molecular weight of galacturonate.

#### Characteristics and physical properties of bioplastics

The physical strength of bioplastics can be determined based on the tensile strength and elongation at break values of the resulting starch/pectin-based bioplastics. The ASTM 882 standard with the provisions of the Universal Testing Machine (UTM) model was used to test the tensile strength and elongation at break of pectin-based bioplastics at the Laboratorium Polimer, Department of Chemical Engineering, Universitas Sumatera Utara, Medan, Indonesia. Eqs. (5) and (6) calculate the tensile strength and elongation at break, respectively:

$$\sigma = \frac{F_{\text{max}}}{A_0} \tag{5}$$

where  $\sigma$  is the tensile strength (N/m<sup>2</sup>),  $F_{max}$  is the tensile force applied to the cross-section of the specimen (N), and  $A_0$  is the initial cross-sectional area of the specimen before force load (m<sup>2</sup>).

$$elongation(\%) = \frac{\Delta I}{I_0} \times 100\%$$
 (6)

where  $\Delta$ /is the change in length (cm) and h is the initial length (cm).

#### Analysis Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM)

The morphological of biocomposite was observed by SEM EVO MA 10 ZEISS at magnification ranging from 1000x to 5000x in Laboratorium Penelitian dan Pengujian Terpadu, Universitas Gadjah Mada, Yogyakarta, Indonesia.

#### Water vapor transmission rate

The film was placed on the mouth of a porcelain dish with a diameter of 7 cm and a depth of 2 cm filled with 10 g of silica gel. The edges of the film and the porcelain cup were glued with glue. Put the porcelain cup into a container containing 40% NaCl (b/v). Calculated the film changes every 1 hour until reaching the 7th hour by Eq. (7) [21].

Water – vapor transmission rate = 
$$\frac{\Delta x}{A}$$
 (7)

where  $\Delta x$  - linear slope (g/h); A - film area (m<sup>2</sup>).

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### Orange peel pectin analysis

Pectin was characterized from orange peel to see the equivalent weight, methoxyl content, galacturonic content, and degree of esterification produced. These values can be seen in Table 1. The yield value and equivalent weight of pectin from orange peel were 25.38% and 510.52 mg, respectively. These results can be compared with other raw materials such as Dragon Fruit (*Hylocereus polyrhizus*) peel has a pectin content of 12% and yields 11% [22] while Grapefruit (*Citrus Maxima*) peel has a pectin content of 40% and yields 27.3% pectin [23]. Considering the use of this fruit peel material as waste is still small so it needs to be encouraged. The content of unesterified free galacturonic acid in the pectin molecular chain is the equivalent weight. Free galacturonic acid that is free of methyl ester (unesterified) is called pectic acid. The equivalent weight results obtained in this study did not meet the standards of the International Pectin Procedures Association (IPPA) (2003), which ranged from 600–800 mg [24]. This is influenced by pectin content, plant type, and extraction method, which can affect the equivalent weight of pectin [19].

Table 1.	Characterization	results of	<sup>r</sup> orange	peel	pectin.
----------	------------------	------------	---------------------	------	---------

Characterization	Values	IPPA Standard	
Yield (%)	25.38	-	
Equivalent Weight (mg)	510.52	600–800	
Methoxyl (%)	5.20	>7.12 (pectin with high methoxyl)	
		<7.12 (pectin with low methoxyl)	
Galacturonic acid (%)	64.06	60	
Degree of esterification (%)	46.09	>50% (high ester pectin)	
		<50% (low-ester pectin)	

Methoxyl content is the number of esterified methyl groups in orange peel pectin that can affect gel formation ability. Pectin with methoxyl content >7% is called high methoxyl pectin, while pectin with methoxyl content <7% is called low methoxyl pectin. In this study, pectin was obtained with a methoxyl percentage of 5.20%, so the pectin produced was categorized as low methoxyl pectin [25].

Galacturonic content is an important parameter affecting the pectin gel's structure. Table 1 shows that the galacturonic content obtained has met the IPPA (2003) standard of 64.06% [24]. Galacturonic levels that have met the standards of IPPA (2003) can be concluded to have broken the chain of pectin components against other components, such as hemicellulose contained in orange peel [19]. The degree of esterification is a parameter that states the percentage of esterified carboxyl groups. Pectin with a degree of esterification > 50% is called high methoxyl pectin, while pectin with a degree of esterification < 50% is called low methoxyl pectin. The study obtained pectin with a degree of esterification of 46.09%, so the pectin obtained in this study is included in the category of low-ester pectin [15,25].

# Effect of glycerol and sago starch addition on tensile strength value of orange peel pectin-based bioplastics

Fig. 1 shows the effect of glycerol and sago starch addition on the tensile strength value of orange peel pectin-based bioplastics.



strength value of orange peel pectin-based bioplastics.

Fig. 1 shows that the maximum tensile strength of bioplastics is 4.22 MPa, which is obtained in addition to pectin:starch composition (50:50%) and 10% glycerol. The minimum value of bioplastics tensile strength is 1.01 MPa in addition to pectin:starch composition (75:25) and 40% glycerol. According to Japanese Industrial Standard 1707:1975, the minimum value for tensile strength for bioplastics is 0.39 MPa [26]. The maximum tensile strength value of bioplastics indicates that the composition of starch has a significant role in the tensile strength value of bioplastics compared to pectin. This is because sago starch is known to have main components in the form of amylose and amylopectin, which will affect the mechanical properties of bioplastics. The more the composition of pectin increases, the tensile strength value of bioplastics will decrease. This is because pectin

comprises galacturonic acid molecules that bind to  $\alpha$ -(1-4)-glycoside bonds to form polygalacturonic acid. Polygalacturonic acid is an amorphous region with lower stiffness than crystalline regions, such as amylopectin found in starch.

Fig. 1 also shows that the maximum tensile strength value continues to decrease until the addition of 40% glycerol. At the addition of 10% glycerol in the composition of pectin:starch (50:50%), the highest tensile strength value is due to glycerol reducing intermolecular interactions between pectin and starch [27]. This is also supported by the scanning electron microscopy (SEM) analysis results, shown in Fig. 2a, which shows that bioplastics have a more stable surface.



Figure 2. SEM results of pectin:starch (50:50%) composition bioplastics in the addition of (a) 10% glycerol and (b) 40% glycerol.

Fig. 2 shows the scanning electron microscopy (SEM) analysis results of pectin:starch (50:50%) composition bioplastics in the addition of 10% glycerol and 40% glycerol. Bioplastics surfaces must be produced homogeneous and gap-free to maintain the characteristics [28]. However, adding 20%, 30%, and 40% glycerol decreased the tensile strength. This is because the glycerol added is too high, so glycerol disrupts not only intermolecular interactions between pectin and starch molecules but also disrupts intramolecular bonds in starch and pectin, thereby reducing the tensile strength value of the resulting bioplastics as can be seen in Fig. 2b where the resulting surface is less stable and indicated to form a plasticsol film caused by excess glycerol.

#### Effect of glycerol and sago starch addition on elongation at break value of orange peel pectin-based bioplastics

The effect of glycerol and sago starch addition on the elongation at the break value of orange peel pectinbased bioplastics can be seen in Fig. 3

Fig. 3 shows that the maximum value of elongation properties at the break of bioplastics is 24%, which is obtained by adding pectin:starch composition (75:25%) and 40% glycerol. This is due to the high

composition of pectin, which will increase the amorphous area, thereby increasing the bioplastics' flexibility. The Japanese Industrial Standard 1707:1975 for elongation at break value is between 10%-50%[26]. The minimum elongation value at the break of bioplastics is 6.39% in the addition of pectin:starch composition (50:50%) and 10% glycerol. This is due to the difference in polarity between starch, pectin, and glycerol, resulting in a decrease in the elongation at the break value of the bioplastics produced [29]. Hassani et al. obtained similar results when observing the decrease in elongation at the break of the nanocomposite bioplastics film of potato starch and gum arabic with the addition of boron oxide nanoparticles and Anise hyssop (Agastache foeniculum) essential oil [30].



Figure 3. Effect of glycerol and sago starch addition on elongation at break value of orange peel pectin based bioplastics.

# Effect of glycerol and sago starch addition on water vapor transmission rate of orange peel pectin-based bioplastics

The effect of the addition of glycerol and sago starch on the water vapor transmission rate of orange peel pectin-based bioplastics is shown in Fig. 4.



Figure 4. Effect of glycerol and sago starch addition on water vapor transmission rate of orange peel pectin-based bioplastics.

The maximum water vapor evaporation rate is  $15.67 \text{ g/m}^2$ .h when adding pectin:starch (50:50%) and 40% glycerol. The use of pectin contributes to forming a linked structure in bioplastics that can limit air exchange [31]. Fig. 4 also shows that the more the pectin: starch and glycerol composition is added, the 363

higher the water vapor evaporation rate. This is due to glycerol, which acts as a plasticizer with waterabsorbing properties, so the transfer of water vapor from the environment to the surface of bioplastics becomes faster. In addition, glycerol has a small molecule, so it will more easily enter the amorphous network in pectin and starch so that there are more opportunities for water to be absorbed and water transfer in the film [27,32]. Bioplastics protects the product against ambiance risk variations according to the packaging settings [33].

#### CONCLUSION

Bioplastics based on pectin from orange peel formulated with sago starch and glycerol have been created in this study. Based on the results, the pectin obtained from orange peel were yielding of 25.38% or equivalent weight of 510.52 mg, categorized as low methoxyl pectin (5.20%) and low ester pectin (46.09%). The addition of glycerol and sago starch in formulating orange peel pectin-based bioplastics showed significant changes in the mechanical and physical properties of the bioplastics produced. The maximum tensile strength of bioplastics is 4.22 MPa, obtained by adding pectin:starch composition (50:50%) and 10% glycerol. The maximum value of elongation at the break of bioplastics is 24%, obtained by adding pectin:starch composition (75:25%) and 40% glycerol. The formulation given follows the Japanese Industrial Standard 1707:1975. Scanning electron microscopy confirms the supported analysis of the surface of the bioplastics to the interaction between the variation formulated. The more the addition of pectin:starch and glycerol composition, the higher the water vapor evaporation rate. The utilization of orange peel as pectin also provides an excellent alternative to orange peel waste solutions. The future research direction is to apply food packaging to prevent oxidation by observing changes in food color and texture.

#### REFERENCES

- L.G. Hong, N.Y. Yuhana, E.Z.E. Zawawi, AIMS Mater. Sci. 8 (2021) 166–184.
   <u>https://dx.doi.org/10.3934/matersci.2021012</u>.
- M. Simonic, F. Zemljic, Chem. Ind. Chem. Eng. Q. 27 (2021) 79–84.
   https://dx.doi.org/10.2298/ciceq191024026s.
- [3] Anonim, Applications for Bioplastics, Berlin, 2022. https://www.european-bioplastics.org/market/applicationssectors/.
- [4] I. Karimi Sani, M. Masoudpour-Behabadi, M. Alizadeh Sani, H. Motalebinejad, A.S.M. Juma, A. Asdagh, H. Eghbaljoo, S.M. Khodaei, J.W. Rhim, F. Mohammadi,

Food Chem. 405 (2023) 134964. https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2022.134964.

- [5] M.T. Al Fath, G.E. Ayu, M. Lubis, G.C.R. Hasibuan, N.F. Dalimunthe, RASAYAN J. Chem. 16 (2023) 1630–1636. <u>https://dx.doi.org/10.31788/rjc.2023.1638464</u>.
- [6] A.F. Fracasso, C.A. Perussello, D. Carpiné, C.L. de O. Petkowicz, C.W.I. Haminiuk, Int. J. Biol. Macromol. 109 (2018) 784–792. https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2017.11.060.
- [7] I.K. Sani, L. Aminoleslami, S.S. Mirtalebi, M.A. Sani, E. Mansouri, H. Eghbaljoo, A.T. Jalil, R.D. Thanoon, S.M. Khodaei, F. Mohammadi, B. Kazemzadeh, Food Package. Shelf Life 37 (2023) 101087. https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fpsl.2023.101087.
- [8] Anonim, Produksi Buah-Buahan Di Sumatera Utara, Jakarta, 2022. <u>https://sumut.bps.go.id/statictable/2022/03/08/2578/produksi-buahan-menurut-kabupaten-kota-dan-jenis-tanaman-di-provinsi-sumatera-utara-2020-dan-2021.html.</u>
- [9] V. Bátori, M. Jabbari, D. Åkesson, P.R. Lennartsson, M.J. Taherzadeh, A. Zamani, Int. J. Polym. Sci. 2017 (2017) 9732329. <u>https://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2017/9732329</u>.
- [10] M.T. Al Fath, H. Nasution, H. Harahap, G.E. Ayu, AlP Conf. Proc. 2175 (2019) 020012. <u>https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5134576</u>.
- [11] M. Chaichi, M. Hashemi, F. Badii, A. Mohammadi, Carbohydr. Polym. 157 (2017) 167–175. <u>https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2016.09.062</u>.
- [12] M.G. Kupervaser, M.V. Traffano-Schiffo, M.L. Dellamea, S.K. Flores, C.A. Sosa, Food Hydrocoll. Heal. 4 (2023) 100138. <u>https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fhfh.2023.100138</u>.
- Direktorat Jenderal Perkebunan, Statistical of National Leading Estate Crops Commodity 2019–2021, Jakarta, 2021.
   <u>https://ditjenbun.pertanian.go.id/template/uploads/2021/04</u> /BUKU-STATISTIK-PERKEBUNAN-2019-2021-OK.pdf.
- [14] J. Prachayawarakorn, W. Pattanasin, Songklanakarin J. Sci. Technol. 38 (2016) 129–136. <u>https://www.thaiscience.info/Journals/Article/SONG/10981</u> <u>886.pdf</u>.
- [15] Rosida, Sudaryati, A.M. Yahya, J. Phys. Conf. Ser. 953 (2018) 012248. <u>https://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/953/1/012248</u>.
- [16] M.A. Bertuzzi, E.F. Castro Vidaurre, M. Armada, J.C. Gottifredi, J. Food Eng. 80 (2007) 972–978. <u>https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2006.07.016</u>.
- [17] L.I. Yuniarti, G.S. Hutomo, A. Rahim, Agrotekbis 2 (2014) 38–46. <u>https://media.neliti.com/media/publications/246268-none-70e1bb8e.pdf</u>.
- [18] Y. Darni, H. Utami, R. Septiana, R.A. Fitriana, J. Bahan Alam Terbarukan 6 (2017) 158–167. <u>https://dx.doi.org/10.15294/jbat.v6i2.9707</u>.
- [19] Z. Octarya, D. Afni, R. Jurusan, P. Kimia, F. Tarbiyah, K. Uin, S. Riau, J. Agroteknologi 4(2) (2014) 27–31. <u>https://ejournal.uin-suska.ac.id/index.php/agroteknologi/article/view/1134</u>.
- [20] P.C. Sharma, A. Gupta, P. Kaushal, Indian J. Nat. Prod. Resour. 5 (2014) 184–189.

https://dx.doi.org/http://op.niscpr.res.in/index.php/IJNPR/a rticle/view/2182.

- [21] H. Nasution, H. Harahap, M.T. Al Fath, Y. Afandy, in: IOP Conf. Ser. Mater. Sci. Eng., Institute of Physics Publishing, 2018. <u>https://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1757-899X/309/1/012033</u>.
- [22] R.V. Listyarini, P.R. Susilawati, E.N. Nukung, M.A.T. Yua, J. Kim. Sains Dan Apl. 23 (2020) 203–208. https://dx.doi.org/10.14710/jksa.23.6.203-208.
- [23] L. Maghfiroh, K.F. Hanum, E. Sedyadi, I. Nugraha, F.A. Nurlaili, Proceeding Int. Conf. Sci. Eng. 2 (2019) 201–205. <u>https://dx.doi.org/10.14421/icse.v2.86</u>.
- [24] S. Ranggana, Manual Analysis of Fruit and Vegetable Products, Tata McGraw-Hill, 1977. <u>https://books.google.co.id/books/about/Manual\_of\_Analysis\_s\_of\_Fruit\_and\_Vegetabl.html?id=i3ZGNQAACAAJ&redir\_esc=y.</u>
- [25] G.W. Pradana, A.M. Jacoeb, S. Ruddy, J. Pengolah. Has. Perikan. Indones. 20 (2017) 609–619. <u>https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/7cd0/119895e87ddd250b</u> 2516445f0270b5771fb0.pdf.
- [26] M.A. Budiman, Uju, K. Tarman, IOP Conf. Ser. Earth Environ. Sci. 967 (2022). <u>https://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/967/1/012012</u>.
- [27] J.S. Yaradoddi, N.R. Banapurmath, S. V. Ganachari,

### MUHAMMAD THORIQ AL FATH<sup>1</sup> GHENDIS EKAWATI AYU<sup>1</sup> GINA CYNTHIA RAPHITA HASIBUAN<sup>2</sup> NISAUL FADILAH DALIMUNTHE<sup>1</sup> VIKRAM ALEXANDER<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Chemical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Universitas Sumatera Utara, Padang Bulan, Medan, Indonesia

<sup>2</sup>Department of Civil Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Universitas Sumatera Utara, Padang Bulan, Medan, Indonesia M.E.M. Soudagar, A.M. Sajjan, S. Kamat, M.A. Mujtaba, A.S. Shettar, A.E. Anqi, M.R. Safaei, A. Elfasakhany, M.I.H. Siddiqui, M.A. Ali, J. Mater. Res. Technol. 17 (2021) 3186–3197. https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmrt.2021.09.016.

- [28] I.K. Sani, M. Alizadeh, Food Packag. Shelf Life 33 (2022) 100912. <u>https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fpsl.2022.100912</u>.
- [29] M. Fazeli, R.A. Simão, Macromol. Symp. 380 (2018) 1–8. <u>https://dx.doi.org/10.1002/masy.201800110</u>.
- [30] D. Hassani, I.K. Sani, S. Pirsa, J. Polym. Environ. 32 (2024) 1972-1983. <u>https://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10924-023-03114-3</u>.
- [31] Z. Farajinejad, I.K. Sani, M. Alizadeh, S. Amiri, J. Polym. Environ. (2023). <u>https://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10924-023-03159-4</u>.
- [32] A. Syarifuddin, Yunianta, J. Pangan Dan Agroindustri 3 (2015) 1538–1547. https://jpa.ub.ac.id/index.php/jpa/article/view/278.
- [33] H. Eghbaljoo, M. Alizadeh Sani, I.K. Sani, S.M. Maragheh, D.K. Sain, Z.H. Jawhar, S. Pirsa, A. Kadi, R. Dadkhodayi, F. Zhang, S.M. Jafari, Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr. (2023) 1– 17. https://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10408398.2023.2280769.

# UTICAJ DODATKA GLICEROLA I SAGO SKROBA NA KARAKTERISTIKE BIOPLASTIKE NA BAZI PEKTINA OD KORE POMORANDŽE

Održivi materijali za pakovanje hrane imaju značajan interes u rešavanju ekoloških problema stvaranjem obnovljivih zamena, kao što je bioplastika na bazi pektina. Kora pomorandže ima relativno visok sadržaj pektina od 42,5%, koji se može sintetizovati u bioplastiku. Bioplastika na bazi pektina ima ograničenja u pogledu mehaničke i fizičke čvrstoće zbog higroskopne prirode pektina. Ova studija ima za cilj da utvrdi efekat dodavanja glicerola i skroba sagoa na karakteristike bioplastike na bazi pektina od kore pomorandže. Metoda livenja je korišćena u formulaciji bioplastike korišćenjem varijacije odnosa pektin:skrob (75:25, 65:35, 55:45 i 50:50%) i sastava glicerola (10, 20, 30 i 40%). Na osnovu rezultata, dobijeni pektin je kategorisan kao pektin sa niskim sadržajem metoksila i estara pektina. Karakterizacijama bioplastike postignuta je maksimalna vrednost zatezne čvrstoće od 4,22 MPa za bioplastiku dobijenu korišćenjem odnosa pektin:skrob od 50:50% i 10% glicerola. Maksimalna vrednost svojstava elongacije pri lomljenju bioplastike od 24% dobijena je korišćenjem odnosa pektin:skrob 75:25% i 40% glicerola. Više dodataka sastava pektin: skrob i glicerol dovodi do veće brzine isparavanja vodene pare. Stoga, korišćenje bioplastike na bazi pektina od kore pomorandže nudi održivo rešenje istraživanjem otpada za dobijanje bioplastike za pakovanje hrane, čime se doprinosi očuvanju životne sredine.

Ključne reči: bioplastika, pektin, skrob, kora pomorandže.

NAUČNI RAD



Journal of the Association of Chemical Engineers of Serbia, Belgrade, Serbia

# Chemical Industry & Chemical Engineering

**CI&CEQ** 

Vol. 30

Contents: Issues 1-4

YEAR 2024

#### No. 1

Sema Akyalcin, Levent Akyalcin, Morten Bjørgen, Catalytic performance of desilicated HZSM-12 for benzylation reaction of benzene with benzyl alcohol
Lalgudi Srinivas Bhadrinarayanan, Chinthalacheruvu Anand Babu, A resins-neutralization coupled route for the treatment of stainless-steel pickling effluent: A research study 11
Puji Wahyuningsih, Muslimah Muslimah, Yusnawati Yusnawati, Local salt purification in aceh timur regency using alkaline (KOH and NAOH) activated bentonite
Cemre Avşar, Suna Ertunç, Fluoride removal from phosphogypsum: A study on a pre-industrial scale and its mathematical analysis
Bensaber Bensebia, Fatma-Zohra Chaouche, Ouahida Bensebia, Soumia Kouadri Moustefaï, <b>Bed expansion in</b> turbulent bed contactor: experiments and prediction47
Asli Dönmez, Çetin Kadakal, <b>Hot-air drying and degradation</b> kinetics of bioactive compounds of gilaburu ( <i>Viburnum</i> <i>opulus</i> L.) fruit
Rondang Tambun, Anggara Dwita Burmana, Bode Haryanto, Vikram Alexander, <b>The effect of vacuum process on</b> <b>biodiesel production from palm kernel fatty acid distillate</b> 73
Loganathan Gokulanathan, Jegan Annamalai, Experimental investigation of micro-ECM on MONEL 400 alloy using

# No. 2

- Beatriz Menossi Ribeiro, Leandro Da Rin De Sandre Junior, Giancarlo De Souza Dias, Michelle Da Cunha Abreu Xavier, Alex Fernando De Almeida, Elda Sabino Da Silva, Alfredo Eduardo Maiorano, Rafael Firmani Perna, Sergio Andres Villalba Morales, **Cross-linked whole cells for the sucrose transfructosylation reaction in a continuous reactor......**99

Kadhum Audaa Jehhef, Musaab Kadem Rasheed, Mohamed	
Abed AI Abas Siba, Numerical simulation of the oscillating	3
thin plate impact on nanofluids flow in channel	23

- Ricardo Arbach Fernandes De Oliveira, Julio Henrique Zanata, Gabriela Cantarelli Lopes, Numerical study of turbulence on drag coefficient determination for particle agglomerates . 161

#### No. 3

Ananthakumar Sudalaimani, Barathiraja Rajendran, Thiyagaraj Jothi, Ashokkumar Mohankumar, <b>Effects of exhaust gas</b> <b>recirculation on diesel engine using hybrid biodiesel</b> 179
Heitor Otacílio Nogueira Altino, Giovani Aud Lourenço, Carlos Henrique Ataíde, Claudio Roberto Duarte, <b>The influence of</b> <b>moisture content on drilled cuttings' properties of bed</b> <b>packing and flowability</b>
Ananthakumar Sudalaimani, Barathiraja Rajendran, Thiyagaraj Jothi, Mathanbabu Mariappan, <b>Combustion, emission, and</b> <b>performance characteristics of hybrid biofuel at different</b> <b>compression ratios</b>
Rengasamy Rajaraman, Rajamanickam Muthucumaraswamy, Impact of chemical reaction, viscous dissipation, and thermal radiation on MHD flow past an oscillating plate . 223
Thitiporn Suttikul, Sirimas Manthung, Sasikarn Nuchdang, Dussadee Rattanaphra, Thongchai Photsathian, One-step conversion of ethane to ethylene oxide in AC parallel plate dielectric barrier discharge
Radmila Milenkovska, Nikola Geskovski, Petre Makreski, Anita Grozdanov, Emil Popovski, Gjorgji Petrushevski, Maja Simonoska Crcarevska, Kristina Mladenovska, Functionalized carbon nanostructures as temozolomide

Imene Ghezal, Ali Moussa, Imed Ben Marzoug, Ahmida El-Achari, Christine Campagne, Faouzi Sakli, Development of a multi-layered, waterproof, breathable fabric for fullweather apparel 265

#### No. 4

- Stefan Vukmanović, Jasmina Vitas, Snežana Kravić, Zorica
   Stojanović, Ana Đurović, Biljana Cvetković, Radomir
   Malbaša, Influence of main production variables on
   nutritional characteristics of winery effluent kombucha 285

Saman Khawaja, Muhammad Rashid Usman, Rabya Aslam, Conceptualization and process simulation of a CO <sub>2</sub> based methanol production plant	)9
Golden Stepha Nallathambi, Bharathi Gowri Sasi Kumar, Guvv Swathy, The magnetohydrodynamic Williamson fluid flow of an extending sheet with thermophoresis and chemical reaction	a >n 25
Chitra Devi Venkatachalam, Premkumar Bhuvaneshwaran, Mothil Sengottian, Sathish Raam Ravichandran, Reliability based design optimization of screw shaft for continuous high-pressure hydrothermal co-liquefaction process	- 85
Itumeleng Kohitlhetse, Suter Kiplagat Evans, Musamba Banza Robert Makomere, Blast furnace slag for SO <sub>2</sub> capture: Optimization and prediction using response surface methodology and artificial neural network	, 19
Muhammad Thoriq Al Fath, Ghendis Ekawati Ayu Gina Cynthia Raphita Hasibuan, Nisaul Fadilah Dalimunthe, Vikram Alexander, The effect of glycerol and sago starch addition on the characteristics of bioplastics based on orange peel pectin	э 59
Contents: Vol. 30, Issues 1-4, 2024	57
Author Index, Vol. 30, 2024	9



Journal of the Association of Chemical Engineers, Belgrade, Serbia

# Chemical Industry & Chemical Engineering

CI&CEQ

Vol. 30

Author Index

YEAR 2024

## A

Ahmida El-Achari (3) 265 Alex Fernando De Almeida (2) 99 Alfredo Eduardo Maiorano (2) 99 Ali Moussa (3) 265 Ana Đurović (4) 285 Ananthakumar Sudalaimani (3) 179; (3) 207 Anggara Dwita Burmana (1) 73 Anita Grozdanov (3) 243 Anita Grozdanov (3) 243 Anita Smailagić (4) 275 Aparna Kallingal (2) 89 Ashokkumar Mohankumar (3) 179 Asli Dönmez (1) 59 Aysu Kayalioğlu (2) 143

# В

Barathiraja Rajendran (3) 179; (3) 207; (4) 295 Beatriz Menossi Ribeiro (2) 99 Bensaber Bensebia (1) 47 Bharathi Gowri Sasi Kumar (4) 325 Biljana Cvetković (4) 285 Bode Haryanto (1) 73 Borivoj Stepanov (2) 135

# С

Carlos Henrique Ataíde (3) 193 Cemre Avşar (1) 35 Çetin Kadakal (1) 59 Chinthalacheruvu Anand Babu (1) 11 Chitra Devi Venkatachalam (4) 335 Christine Campagne (3) 265 Claudio Roberto Duarte (3) 193

### D

Damir Đaković (2) 135 Dussadee Rattanaphra (3) 231

## Ð

Đorđije Doder (2) 135

# Е

Elda Sabino Da Silva (2) 99 Emil Popovski (3) 243

# F

Faouzi Sakli (3) 265 Fatma-Zohra Chaouche (1) 47

# G

Gabriela Cantarelli Lopes (2) 161 Ghazwan S. Ahmed (2) 151 Ghendis Ekawati Ayu (4) 359 Giancarlo De Souza Dias (2) 99 Gina Cynthia Raphita Hasibuan (4) 359 Giovani Aud Lourenço (3) 193 Gjorgji Petrushevski (3) 243 Golden Stepha Nallathambi (4) 325 Guvva Swathy (4) 325

### Η

Heitor Otacílio Nogueira Altino (2) 111; (3) 193

CI&CEQ	Vol. 30	Author Index	YEAR 2024
1			
1		Musaab Kadem Rasheed (2) 123	
	_	Musamba Banza (4) 349	
Ilaiyavel Sivakumaran (4) 29	5	Muslimah Muslimah (1) 25	
Imed Ben Marzoug (3) 265		Mustafa A. Ahmed (2) 151	
Imene Ghezal (3) 265	<b>.</b>		
Itumeleng Kohitlhetse (4) 34	9		
		N	
J		Nikola Geskovski (3) 243	
		Nikola Milivojević (2) 135	
Jasim I. Humadi (2) 151		Nisaul Fadilah Dalimunthe (4) 359	
Jasmina Vitas (4) 285			
Jegan Annamalai (1) 81			
José Teixeira Freire (2) 111		0	
Julio Henrique Zanata (2) 16	1		
		Ouahida Bensebia (1) 47	
К			
		Р	
Kadhum Audaa Jehhef (2) 1	23		
Kristina Mladenovska (3) 24	3	Poriasamy Manikandan Sriniyasan (	2) 257
Kumutha Ramalingam (4) 29	95	Petro Makroski (3) 2/3	5) 257
<b>J</b> <sup>1</sup> ()		Pradeen Kumar Chinnusamy (3) 257	,
		Pranesh Ravichandran (3) 257	
L		Premkumar Bhuvaneshwaran (4) 33	5
		Puii Wahyuningsih (1) 25	5
Lalqudi Srinivas Bhadrinaray	anan		
(1) 11	anan		
Leandro Da Rin De Sandre	lunior	R	
(2) 33 Lovent Akvalcin (1) 1			
Levent Akyaicin (1) 1	1) 81	Rabya Aslam (4) 309	
	1) 01	Radmila Milenkovska (3) 243	
		Radomir Malbaša (4) 285	
м		Rafael Firmani Perna (2) 99	
		Raghul Thangamani (3) 257	
Maia Natió (4) 275		Rajamanickam Muthucumaraswamy	(3) 223
Maja Nalic (4) 275 Maja Simonoska Croarovska	(2) 2/2	Rengasamy Rajaraman (3) 223	
Maja Simonoska Cicalevska	(3) 243	Ricardo Arbach Fernandes De Olive	ira (2) 161
295	207, (4)	Robert Makomere (4) 349	
Michelle Da Cunha Abreu Xa 99	avier (2)	Rondang Tambun (1) 73	
Mohamed Abed Al Abas Siba	a (2)		
Morten Bjørgen (1) 1			
Mothil Sengottian (4) 335			
Muayad A. Shihab (2) 151			
Muhammad Rashid Usman (	(4) 309		
Muhammad Thoriq Al Fath (4	4) 359		

CI&CEQ	Vol. 30	Author Index	YEAR 2024		
S		Thiyagaraj Jothi (3) 179; (3) 207 Thongchai Photsathian (3) 231			
Saman Khawaja (4) 3	09				
Sasikarn Nuchdang (	3) 231				
Sathish Raam Ravichandran (4) 335		V			
Sema Akyalcin (1) 1					
Sergio Andres Villalba Morales (2) 99 Shankar Sehgal (2) 151 Sirimas Manthung (3) 231 Snežana Kravić (4) 285 Sonja Veljović (4) 275		Vanessa Dal-Bó (2) 111			
		Vikram Alexander (1) 73; (4) 359			
		Viktor Nedović (4) 275			
		Vinila Mundakkal Lakshmanan (2) 89			
		Vladimir Pavlović (4) 275			
Soumia Kouadri Mous	stefaï (1) 47				
Sreepriya Sreekumar	(2) 89				
Stefan Vukmanović (4) 285		Y			
Steva Lević (4) 275					
Suna Ertunç (1) 35		Yokeshwaran Sanmugam (3) 257			
Suriya Palaniraj (3) 257		Yusnawati Yusnawati (1) 25			
Surya Karuppasamy (	(3) 257				

# т

Tatjana Šolević Knudsen (4) 275 Thitiporn Suttikul (3) 231

Suter Kiplagat Evans (4) 349

# Ζ

Zeyad A. Abdullah (2) 151 Zorica Stojanović (4) 285