

Available online at Association of the Chemical Engineers of Serbia AChE www.ache.org.rs/CICEQ Chem. Ind. Chem. Eng. Q. 28 (3) S3–S4 (2022)

CI&CEQ

## Supplementary material to

## CHEMICAL ENGINEERING METHODS IN ANALYSES OF 3D CANCER CELL CULTURES: HYDRODYNAMIC AND MASS TRANSPORT CONSIDERATIONS

Mia Radonjić <sup>1, 2,§</sup>, Jelena Petrović <sup>1, 2,§</sup>, Milena Milivojević <sup>3</sup>, Milena Stevanović <sup>3, 4, 5</sup>, Jasmina Stojkovska <sup>1, 2</sup>, Bojana Obradović <sup>1,\*</sup>

 <sup>1</sup> Faculty of Technology and Metallurgy, University of Belgrade, Karnegijeva 4, 11000 Belgrade, Serbia
 <sup>2</sup> Innovation Center of the Faculty of Technology and Metallurgy, Karnegijeva 4, 11000 Belgrade, Serbia
 <sup>3</sup> Institute of Molecular Genetics and Genetic Engineering, University of Belgrade, Vojvode Stepe 444a, 11042 Belgrade, Serbia

<sup>4</sup> Faculty of Biology, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Studentski trg 16, 11158, Belgrade, Serbia
<sup>5</sup> Serbian Academy of Sciences and Arts, Kneza Mihaila 35, 11000 Belgrade, Serbia

<sup>§</sup>These authors contributed equally to this work

*Chem. Ind. Chem. Eng. Q.* 28 (3) 211–223 (2022)



Figure 1S. UV-vis spectra of two batches of Ag/honey/alginate microfibers upon dissolution (data represent average of n=3; standard deviations ( $\leq$ 4%) are omitted from the graph for clarity).

## Mathematical modeling of silver release from a packed bed of Ag/honey/alginate microfibers

In this work, the previously applied modeling approach for silver release studies from Ag/alginate microbeads packed in a perfusion bioreactor [1] was adopted for modeling of silver release from a packed bed of Ag/honey/alginate

<sup>\*</sup> E-mail: bojana@tmf.bg.ac.rs

microfibers. The microfibers were modeled as a hydrogel cylinder 3 cm in height (as observed experimentally for the bed expansion).

It was assumed that the AgNP oxidation is faster than the mass transport of silver species through the hydrogel so that the change of silver concentration,  $c_s$ , in the cylindrical hydrogel over time is described by the diffusion – advection equation in the axial direction, *x*.

$$\frac{\partial c_s}{\partial t} = D_s \left( \frac{\partial^2 c_s}{\partial x^2} \right) - u \frac{\partial c_s}{\partial x} \tag{1}$$

where  $D_s$  is the apparent silver diffusion coefficient (of AgNPs, Ag<sup>+</sup> and formed AgCl<sub>x</sub><sup>(x-1)-</sup> species) in the alginate hydrogel adopted as 2.1×10<sup>-15</sup> m<sup>2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> [2] and *u* is the medium velocity through the hydrogel. Silver concentration in the medium,  $c_{sm}$ , at each time point is calculated then as:

$$c_{sm} = \frac{V(c_{so} - \langle c_s \rangle)}{V_m}$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

where  $c_{so}$  is the initial silver concentration in microfibers (2.9×10<sup>-3</sup> mol dm<sup>-3</sup> as the average measured concentration), < $c_s$ > is the average silver concentration in the hydrogel, V is the hydrogel volume (calculated as 0.49 cm<sup>3</sup> based on the microfiber mass and alginate density of 1020 kg m<sup>-3</sup> [2]) and  $V_m$  is the medium volume (15 cm<sup>3</sup>).

Average AgNP concentration in a microfiber <cs> at each time point was calculated by integration:

$$\langle c_s \rangle = \frac{1}{X} \int_0^X c_s(x) \, dx \tag{3}$$

where X is the height of the hydrogel, X=3 cm.

Applied initial conditions were that AgNP concentration in the hydrogel is uniform, while in the medium is equal to zero:

$$t = 0 \qquad c_s = c_o \qquad 0 < x < X$$
$$c_m = \mathbf{0} \tag{4}$$

The silver concentration in medium was negligible in comparison to that in the hydrogel so that the inlet boundary condition was set as c = 0, while the Neumann boundary condition was set at the outlet boundary:

$$\frac{\partial c_s}{\partial x} = \mathbf{0} \qquad \qquad \text{for} \qquad x = X \tag{5}$$

Equations 1-3 were simultaneously numerically solved, where the second derivative of concentration was solved by using the centered finite difference method, while the first derivative by the backward finite difference method.

## REFERENCES

[1] D. D. Kostic, I. S. Malagurski, B. M. Obradovic, Hem. Ind. 71 (2017) 383-394.

[2] 2. D. Kostic, S. Vidovic, B. Obradovic, J. Nanopart. Res. 18 (2016) 76-92.